Review

Significant impact of 2D graphene nanosheets on large volume change tin-based anodes in lithium-ion batteries: A review
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HIGHLIGHTS

• The significant impact of 2D graphene nanosheets on Tin-based anodes has been reviewed.
• Various Sn/graphene based binary, ternary composites have been summarized.
• The preparation methods, properties and mechanism of Sn/graphene composites have been concluded.
• The challenges and perspectives of this field have been reviewed as well.
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ABSTRACT

Sn-based materials have attracted much attention as anodes in lithium ion batteries (LIBs) due to their low cost, high theoretical capacities, and high energy density. However, their practical applications are limited by the poor cyclability originating from the huge volume changes. Graphene nanosheets (GNSS), a novel two-dimensional carbon sheet with one atom thickness and one of the thinnest materials, significantly address the challenges of Sn-based anodes as excellent buffering materials, showing great research interests in LIBs. In this review, various nanocomposites of GNS/Sn-based anodes are summarized in detail, including binary and ternary composites. The significant impact of 2D GNSs on the volume change of Sn-based anodes during cycling is discussed, along with with their preparation methods, properties and enhanced LIB performance.

1. Introduction

As one of the most important energy-storage devices, lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have attracted a lot of attention in both academic research and commercial applications, such as cell phones, laptops and digital cameras [1–5]. Despite many advantages over other competing electrochemical power sources, the use of LIBs exhibit some limitations when used in electric vehicles, hybrid electric vehicles and plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, since they require high energy density, good cycling performance and high rate capability [6–8]. As a result, the search for new anode and cathode materials providing enhanced electrochemical properties while remaining economical is always an ongoing study [9].

Graphite, as the commercialized anode material, has its own advantages, such as low and flat working potential, long cycle life and low cost [10]. On the other hand, graphite limits the lithium storage...
During the charge/discharge process \([21,22]\), the high potential hampered by its poor cyclability, resulting from large volume change over 1.0 V of the transition metal oxides (like NiO, Co3O4, Fe2O3, etc.). Derivatives such as Sn-based alloys, tin oxides, tin sulfides, and selenides, are also attractive anode materials for LIBs. A significant advantage of Sn-based anodes over the commercial graphite is that they avoid solvent intercalation, and improve safety performance of LIBs. Moreover, the Sn based anodes exhibit lower potential hysteresis than transition metal oxides. Therefore, Sn based anodes have attracted tremendous interest as promising anode materials for LIBs. \([13–20]\). However, the practical application of Sn-based anodes is hampered by its poor cyclability, resulting from large volume changes of 250%, which results in electrical disconnection from conductive agents (e.g., carbon black) and the current collectors during the charge/discharge process \([21,22]\). The high potential hysteresis in the charge/discharge curves is an important concern for LIB applications. For example, very high potential hysteresis more than 1.0 V of the transition metal oxides (like NiO, Co3O4, Fe2O3, etc.) seriously prevents their commercialization as anodes in LIBs. The characteristic Li–Sn alloying/de-alloying reactions are shown as shoulders between 0.4 V and 0.8 V, which are characterized by an acceptable potential hysteresis, enabling the commercialization possibility of these types of anodes. The hysteresis is partly related to the electrical conductivity of the active materials. The active materials with higher electrical conductivity exhibit the improved potential hysteresis, which originates from decreased electrochemical polarization. For instance, the LiFePO4 cathodes combined with conductive materials such as carbon showed lower hysteresis than pristine LiFePO4 \([23]\). In the case of anode side, it was reported that the metal oxide/carbon nanocomposites can also effectively improve the hysteresis problem \([24–26]\). Several approaches have been proposed to address the challenges of the large volume change anodes. One effective method is to assemble the active particles into different nanostructures \([27,28]\), such as nanowires \([29,30]\), nanospheres \([31,32]\), nanotubes \([33–35]\), nanocubes \([36,37]\) and hollow nanostructures \([15,38–41]\). The second method involves doping with an inactive element to reduce the volume change \([42,43]\). The third method is to make nanocomposites with an inactive/active matrix and stable components \([40,44–49]\). In the nanocomposites, the stable matrices act as a mechanical buffering zone against the large volume change of the active materials \([44,50]\). Effective matrices provide the conducting backbone for the active materials, and the soft structures are beneficial to buffering the internal stress of electrodes suffering from large volume change. Therefore, Sn-based nanocomposites with matrices have been demonstrated to effectively improve anode battery performance.

1.1. Li-storage properties of graphene

Graphene is a single layer of sp² carbon atoms arranged hexagonally and has generated enormous excitement for various potential applications because of its fascinating properties \([51–62]\), such as a high intrinsic carrier mobility \((200 \text{ 000 cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})\), excellent thermal conductivity \((5000 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})\), high optical transmittance \((-97.7\%)\), high theoretical specific surface area \((2630 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})\), and superior mechanical strength \([59]\).

As one of the new carbon materials, the Li-storage properties of graphene have been widely studied by numerous researchers \([63–68]\). P.C. Lian et al. and A. Shanmugharaj’s group synthesized graphene nanosheets (GNs) from graphite powder through oxidation followed by rapid thermal expansion in nitrogen atmosphere \([69,70]\). The different electrochemical properties of GNs, expanded graphite and graphene have been compared as well \([71]\). The research results show that the reversible capacities of GNs are almost double those of expanded graphite electrode and three times those of graphite electrode. D.Y. Zhao’s group \([72]\) prepared novel mesoporous graphene nanosheets (MGNs) with an excellent reversible capacity of 1040 mAh g⁻¹ at 100 mA g⁻¹ in the second cycle, and 833 mAh g⁻¹ after 60 cycles. The atomically flat graphene layers with mesopores provide high contact surface area for lithium ion adsorption and intercalation, while the open mesopores in the orthogonal direction on the nanosheets offer efficient transport pathways for ion diffusion toward the deep portions of the stacked graphene layers thus delivering excellent lithium ion storage capacity and cycling performance \([72]\). Several researchers reported that the greatly improved capacities in disordered GNs are mainly ascribed to additional reversible storage sites such as edges and other defects \([58,73–75]\). However, it should be noted that high surface area of graphene increased the contact area between the anode and the electrolyte, which results in more SEI formation in the first discharge \([76]\). For example, GNs could show a high discharge capacity of 1233 mAh g⁻¹, but its high surface area resulted in a low Coulombic efficiency of 54% with irreversible capacity of 672 mAh g⁻¹. In our previous studies \([77]\), three types of GNs with varying size, edge sites, defects and number of layers have been successfully created. It was demonstrated that controlled morphologies and microstructures of GNs have important effects on the cyclic performance and rate capability of LIBs. Meanwhile, the specific functional groups can further enhance the reversible capacity of reduced graphene \([78]\). The capacity at potentials greater than 1.5 V is predominantly attributed to phenol groups, while the capacity of the redox couple at 1.1 V results from cyclic edge ether groups.

Nitrogen (N) or boron (B) as a dopant and/or N/B-containing functional groups was employed to enhance the GNs performance \([79–88]\). For doped GNs, the intense growth of the disorder-induced D band indicates that Li⁺ intercalation/de-intercalation into graphene sheets upon cycling brings about a more noticeable change in the degree of long-range ordering in the hexagonal lattice than that of GNs \([88]\). H.M. Cheng et al. \([89]\) reported an electrode made with heteroatom (N, B)-doped chemically derived GNs that exhibited extremely high rate performance and large capacity. The doped GNs showed a high reversible capacity of >1040 mAh g⁻¹. In another study, X.L. Feng’s group \([89,90]\) developed a facile approach to synthesize free-standing fully fluorinated GNs, which have high capacity, high rate capability and stable cycling performance. The effects of the electron-deficient N-doped GNs on lithium storage were investigated via first-principles calculations \([91]\), and the enhanced reversible capacity was attributed to the N-doped system. It was concluded that the unique 2D structure, disordered surface morphology, heteroatom defects, better electrode/electrolyte wettability, increased inter-sheet distance, and improved electrical conductivity of the doped graphene are beneficial to Li-storage performances and thus show significant advantages for LIB anodes in comparison to those of pristine chemically derived graphene and other carbonaceous materials \([89,92]\).

The complex and interesting folded structures of GNs have attracted much attention, since the folding of a structure can change its form and functionality, which may induce new and
distinct properties in GNSs [93–95]. Thus, various flexible graphene papers (GPs) have been designed. Vacuum filtration is the mostly widely used approach for the development of GPs [96] and the flexible structure of GPs makes them potential anodes for freestanding batteries. In our previous research, we studied in detail the influence of GP thickness on its electrochemical performance [97]. We concluded that the capacity decline with the increase of GP thicknesses is associated with the dense restacking of GNSs and a large aspect ratio of GPs. The effective Li⁺ diffusion distance in GPs is mainly controlled by the thicknesses. The diffusion proceeds mainly in the in-plane direction, while cross-plane diffusion is restrained. As such, the effective contact of GNSs with electrolyte is limited and the efficiency of carbon utilization is very low in the thick GPs. However, the unique feature of graphene will be lost when the GNS are assembled into GPs, in which they are closely stacked. Thus, access of electrolyte to the GNS is restrained and consequently, a barrier for Li diffusion into the GNSs is established, leading to lower specific capacities of GPs [97,98]. In addition, the multi-layer graphene/single-walled carbon nanotube flexible free-standing film electrodes were prepared by a time-efficient microwave autoclave method [99]. The flexible film exhibited good charge capacity of about 303 mAh g⁻¹ after 50 cycles. The presence of carbon nanotubes in the electrode, which forms a middle-sandwich structure with GNSs, can provide efficient conductive pathways to improve the ionic conductivity, further enhancing the electrochemical properties of GPs. Thus, for the development of flexible GP-based anodes, pure GPs will not be adopted; the trend has been for researchers to develop complex films with Sn or Si nanomaterials to enhance the properties of GPs.

1.2. The advantages of graphene for Sn-based anodes

GNSs have great research interests as buffering materials for large volume change Sn-based anodes in LIBs, owing to their remarkable features: (i) the high flexibility of graphene could be an excellent supporting matrix or coating layer. During the charge/discharge processes, the volume expansion and particle aggregation would be relieved by the buffering GNSs. (ii) The rich functional groups at the GNS surface can serve as an appealing 2D substrate for the anisotropic growth of various Sn-based materials [100]. (iii) The high intrinsic surface area and outstanding electrical conductivity of GNSs provide an ideal platform for the storage and transportation of lithium ions and electrons [100]. As a result, a lot of effort has been devoted to introducing GNSs into Sn-based anode materials, and a large number of papers have been published based on this idea [101–108]. Sn-based anode materials for LIBs have many merits, such as low cost, high theoretical capacities, and high energy density. However, volume expansion/contraction limits further improvements to the anode performance. The flexibility and high conductivity of graphene makes it an excellent matrix material. The introduction of graphene into Sn-based anode materials addresses the problems of large volume changes, resulting in high reversible capacity, rate capability and structural stability of the composites. The importance strongly motivates us to review the significant effects of graphene as excellent matrix on large volume change Sn-based materials as potential anodes for LIBs. It is important to review the recent achievements and development of GNSs as an excellent matrix to address the challenges of large volume change Sn-based anodes in LIBs. To date, few review papers have focused on this topic in detail. In this review article, we mainly focus on how a matrix of 2D GNSs mitigates the problems of Sn-based anodes originating from large volume change upon cycling, including their preparation methods, properties and enhanced LIB performance. The important effects of GNS doping are also reviewed.

2. Sn/graphene-based binary composites

2.1. Sn/GNS composites

Based on the advantages of GNSs, Sn/GNS composites have been widely studied with continuous exploration of GNSs [101,109,110]. Via microwave reduction [111], Sn/GNSs composites were synthesized with a stable reversible capacity of about 500 mAh g⁻¹. Other improvements have been reported with Sn-nanoparticle/GNS nanocomposites [112]. As shown in Fig. 1(e), the optimized Sn/GNS electrode exhibits improved reversible capacity and cycling stability (838.4 mAh g⁻¹ after 100 cycles).

It has been found that the morphologies and particle sizes of Sn composites with GNSs have a critical influence on the electrochemical performance of the nanocomposite. C.X. Wang et al. [113] studied the Sn/graphene nanocomposites synthesized by a combination of a microwave hydrothermal approach and a one-step hydrogen gas reduction. The prepared composite anodes with smaller Sn particle size (10–20 nm, see Fig. 1(b)) exhibit an initial discharging capacity of 946 mAh g⁻¹ and a reversible charging capacity of 542 mAh g⁻¹, which is better than anodes made with larger particles (60–120 nm, see Fig. 1(a)). It is considered that the smaller particle sizes on the graphene will effectively reduce the pulverization and cracking of Sn nanoparticles. The reduced particle size can significantly increase the rate of lithium insertion/extraction, because of the short distances for lithium-ion transport within the particles. To some degree, the smaller particles of Sn can reduce the pulverization and cracking due to the decrease of absolute volume change, resulting in the enhancement of electrochemical performances [114,115]. However, the increased reversible capacity and cycling stability of the pure Sn nanoparticles are still not satisfied for the practical application because the proposed nanoscale strategy does not fully address the volume change problem of the anodes. In addition to using nanosized Sn, the existence of graphene in the composites can further relieve the volume expansion/contraction of Sn nanoparticles [27]. Another approach for Sn/GNS composites is to design an ingenious structure of Sn in order to relieve the volume expansion and the increasing lithium ion expansion coefficient. Using a conventional film deposition and annealing process, multilayer nanocomposites of Sn-nanoparticle arrays sandwiched between graphene layers were obtained (Fig. 1(c)) and exhibit similar performance [10]. The composites show higher reversible capacity and excellent cycling performance at a current density of 5 A g⁻¹. The 1D Sn nanopillars and 2D graphene layers enable efficient transport of both Li ions and electrons while offering morphological flexibility to hamper structural failure during cycling. The enhanced electrochemical performance of both composites can be attributed to the highly conductive GNS matrix, the high specific surface area of tin nanoparticles and the synergy between the GNSs and Sn nanoparticles [10,113]. The existence of 2D layered GNSs highly inhibit the agglomeration of Sn-based nanoparticles. Moreover, Sn-based nanoparticles between graphene layers efficiently suppress the restacking of graphene. Thus, the composites show a beneficial synergistic effect between graphene and Sn based nanoparticles.

As a matrix, the morphology and structure of graphene have a remarkable effect on the Li-storage properties of the nanocomposite. The Sn@GNS nanostructure was synthesized in situ into a vertically aligned graphene host that sandwiches the nanocomposites [116] and exhibited larger-than-theoretical reversible capacities of 1037 mAh g⁻¹ even after prolonged cycling, in addition to a coulombic efficiency of more than 97%. In another study, a novel structure of graphene networks anchored with Sn@GNS was synthesized using an in situ CVD technique using metal precursors as a catalyst and three-dimensional self-assembled NaCl particles.
As a result, long-term cyclic stability at high rates (a high capacity of 1022 mAh g\(^{-1}\)) were achieved, as shown in Fig. 1(f). The uniquely designed structure of both composites, which provide ultra-thin, flexible graphene sheets to accommodate changes in volume, introduces large surface areas, high electrical conductivity, and shortened transportation lengths for both Li ions and electrons, contributing to its excellent performance [116]. Meanwhile, the graphene shells with high elasticity can effectively maintain the structural and interfacial stabilization of Sn nanoparticles as well as accommodate the mechanical stress resulting from the severe volume change of the anodes [117].

Furthermore, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy analysis was used to understand the much higher performance of the composites. The medium-to-high-frequency depressed semicircle and a low-frequency linear tail are consistent with impedance spectra of the previous Sn-based anodes. The semicircle at high frequency is an indication of SEI resistance (spectra of the previous Sn-based anodes. The semicircle at high and a low-frequency linear tail are consistent with impedance was used to understand the much higher performance of the Sn/GNS electrode (e), and graphene networks anchored with SnO2-Graphene composites (f); Reproduced from Refs. [112,117]; Nyquist plots of 3D SnO2-PGNWs and Sn/C composite over the frequency range from 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz (g); Schematic illustration of 3D SnO2-PGNWs (h); Reproduced from Ref. [117].

2.2. Tin oxide/graphene composite

Different from a Sn anode, SnO\(_2\) initially reacts with lithium to form Sn and Li\(_2\)O. Then the produced Li\(_2\)O can serve as a “cushion” to buffer the large volume change during alloying and dealloying of Sn with lithium, which is an important reason why the cyclability of SnO\(_2\) is better than that of Sn [118,119]. However, the performance of SnO\(_2\) anodes still does not satisfy commercial requirements. The commercial requirements of anode materials can be summarized as i) large reversible capacity; ii) stable performance and long cycle life; iii) stability of the electrode and no side reactions with the electrode; and iv) low cost and environmentally friendly. Thus, recent research interest has involved improving their electrochemical performance by designing a variety of nanostructures, such as SnO\(_2\) nanowires [120–122], SnO\(_2\) nanotubes [34,35,123], SnO\(_2\) nanosheets [124–127], 3D SnO\(_2\) nanoflowers [128], and hollow nanostructures [41,129,130]. Similar to Sn anodes, combining SnO\(_2\) with a buffering system like carbon [39,116,131–133], carbon nanotube [134–137], conductive polymer [138–140] or graphene, is an effective approach to improve performance. Importantly, it has been demonstrated that SnO\(_2\)/GNS composites significantly enhance battery performance [102,141–146].

The SnO\(_2\)/GNS composites have been fabricated in a number of reports using different methods [124,141–144,147–154]. A classical work of SnO\(_2\)/GNS has been done by I. Honma [141], in which the schematic illustration for it is shown in Fig. 2(g). Another simple wet chemical method was proposed to synthesize the SnO\(_2\)/graphene composites [155]. And a special structure of SnO\(_2\) nanoparticles entrapped in a graphene framework [156] was synthesized by covalently linking SnO\(_2\)-anchored graphene oxide layers with diboronic acids. In our previous research [119], SnO\(_2\)-graphene nanosheets (SnO\(_2\)/GNS) containing both amorphous and crystalline SnO\(_2\) phases were fabricated via advanced atomic layer deposition (ALD). The different morphologies of both composites can be seen in Fig. 2(e, f), in which the dimensions of the crystalline SnO\(_2\) 2 nanoparticles were in the range of 30–40 nm, while the amorphous ones were in the range of 3–5 nm. As a result, it was observed that the SnO\(_2\)/GNS nanocomposites achieve good cycling performance (a high capacity of 793 mA h g\(^{-1}\) in 150th cycle). The results demonstrated that amorphous SnO\(_2\)-GNS is more effective than the crystalline SnO\(_2\)-GNS in overcoming the electrochemical and mechanical degradation of SnO\(_2\). The morphological evolution of the crystalline SnO\(_2\)-GNS and the amorphous SnO\(_2\)-GNS is suggested in Fig. 3 (e, f). The introduction of GNSs into the SnO\(_2\)-based nanocomposite improves performance by increasing its electrical conductivity and releasing strain energy [119,157]. Moreover, controlling the size of the SnO\(_2\) nanoparticles in the SnO\(_2\)/GNS composites is critical for anode performance. For example, an anode consisting of small SnO\(_2\) nanoparticles in an electronically conductive graphene network...
delivers a superior cyclability, with capacities of 1813 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after over 1000 cycles under a high current density of 2 A g\(^{-1}\) [157]. The reasons for such high capacities are: (1) the ultra-small sizes of the SnO\(_2\) particles partially initiated a reversible conversion between SnO\(_2\) and Li\(_2\)O upon cycling, and accommodated the volume changes during the charge–discharge process. (2) The graphene network not only enhanced the conductivity of the composite but could also reversibly accommodate a large amount of lithium. (3) The severe expansion–contraction of SnO\(_2\) during lithiation–delithiation resulted in the graphene being mesoporous with more activated sites for Li storage. (4) The polymeric gel-like film formation as a result of electrolyte decomposition contributed to extra capacity [157].

In addition to the SnO\(_2\) nanoparticle/graphene nanocomposites mentioned above, SnO\(_2\) has also been engineered into various morphologies for SnO\(_2\)/graphene composites [124,151,158,159]. The hydrothermal method is one of the most widely used methods to tune SnO\(_2\) morphologies. For example, both a flower-like SnO\(_2\)/graphene composite [160] (see Fig. 2(a)) and SnO\(_2\) nanorods on graphene sheets [146] (see Fig. 2(b)) were successfully synthesized via this method. Both the flower-like SnO\(_2\) clusters and the SnO\(_2\) nanorods uniformly distributed on graphene sheets exhibited outstanding cycling stability and reversible discharge capacities of 730 mAh g\(^{-1}\) and 710 mAh g\(^{-1}\), respectively. The obtained improvement is attributed to the intimate interactions between the graphene matrix and SnO\(_2\) nanostructures, which effectively transport electrons to the current collector through the highly conducting three-dimensional graphene network [146]. GNSS in the composites provide a large contact area for dispersion of SnO\(_2\) and act as an excellent conductive agent to provide a highway for electron transport, improving the accessible capacity [160]. X.S. Zhou et al. [161] proposed a new type of graphene-enwrapped SnO\(_2\) hollow nanosphere composite for LIB anodes (see Fig. 2(c)). It was observed that this novel structure shows a specific capacity as high as 696 mAh g\(^{-1}\) even after 300 cycles at 0.5 A g\(^{-1}\). The superior electrochemical performance is due to the presence of the graphene substrate and the unique structure of SnO\(_2\), as well as the synergetic effect between graphene and SnO\(_2\) [162]. In this system, GNSS serve as a flexible strengthening agent for the SnO\(_2\) anode [161].

Aggregation of nanostructured SnO\(_2\) occurs during in situ growth of SnO\(_2\) particles on the graphene surface when using cetyltrimethylammonium bromide as the structure-directing agent; this results in decreased specific surface area and therefore decreased electrochemical performance. A mesostructured graphene-based SnO\(_2\) composites was prepared [162] to relieve the aggregation of SnO\(_2\) nanoparticles on the graphene surface. The obtained mesostructure can be seen in the TEM image of Fig. 2(d) and the related schematic mechanism for the formation of the composite is shown in Fig. 3(a). The presence of the GNSS matrix, the mesostructure and the synergetic effect between GNSS and mesostructure help improve electrochemical performance, leading to higher capacity and better cycling performance and rate capability (see Fig. 3(c)).

A new kind of graphene nanoribbon (GRN), which is derived from sodium/potassium unzipping of multiwall carbon nanotubes was proposed to address the problem of SnO\(_2\) aggregation [163], as shown in Fig. 3(b). In this system, the high aspect ratio of GNRS could serve as a mechanical buffer for SnO\(_2\) nanoparticles [163]. As a result, its reversible capacity is maintained at 825 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) and 580 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 2 A g\(^{-1}\) after 50 cycles (see Fig. 3(d)).

The high flexibility of graphene can be the perfect supporting matrix or coating layer for Sn-based materials, effectively relieving the volume expansion of SnO\(_2\). And the beneficial synergistic effect between graphene and tin nanoparticles can further relieve the aggregation and re-stacking of SnO\(_2\) nanoparticles. Moreover, the highly conductive graphene supplies 2D electronically conducting networks for the Sn-based materials, which further provide a highly conductive medium for Li-ion electron transfer during the charge/discharge processes.

### 2.3 Sn sulfide/graphene composite

Recently, various metal sulfides, especially SnS\(_2\), have been studied as possible candidates for LIB anode materials because of their higher capacities [164–173]. Many attempts have been made to improve the electrochemical performance of SnS\(_2\) anodes [174–178]. For example, [42] Ce was doped into 3D nanoflower-like SnS\(_2\) by a hydrothermal route. The large-radius cerium ions can be substitutes for Sn\(^{4+}\) in the SnS\(_2\) lattice. The expansion of the crystal lattice can provide more lattice space for lithiation process, and improves the cycling performance of Ce-doped SnS\(_2\). Importantly, various carbon structures, such as mesoporous carbon [179] and multiwalled carbon nanotubes [180], have been used to anchor...
with SnS$_2$ nanosheets in order to increase the electrical conductivity of the SnS$_2$ anode and thereby enhance battery performance. Following this strategy, GNSs, as an excellent matrix, have been introduced to create composite anodes. GNSs combined with Ce-doped SnS$_2$ nanocomposites [181] show high capacity retention (707 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 0.5 C after 50 cycles), which demonstrates that the introduction of GNSs greatly increases SnS$_2$ performance (446 mAh g$^{-1}$ for Ce–SnS$_2$ after 50 cycles). Thus, a series of tin sulfide/graphene composites were recently reported in the literature [182–189]. The hydrothermal route is one of the most popular methods for preparing SnS$_2$/GNSs composites [190] and another one-step microwave-assisted technique has been attempted to synthesize these composites [182]. In these two systems, GNSs play an important role in the composites, improving the Li-storage properties. Both SnS$_2$/graphene composites exhibit high reversible capacities with good cycling stability and extraordinary rate capability.

A novel nanocomposite electrode material composed of micrometer-sized GNSs with a uniform distribution of ultrathin SnS$_2$ (–2 nm) nanoparticles [191], is shown in Fig. 4(a) and (b). This design exhibits a reversible capacity of 1002 mAh g$^{-1}$ and charge capacity of 577 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 50 cycles. A unique plate-on-sheet structure was studied where ultrathin SnS$_2$ nanoparticles with a lateral size of 5–10 nm are anchored on graphene nanosheets with a preferential (001) orientation [192]. The HR-TEM and AFM images in Fig. 4(c) and (d) indicate that the SnS$_2$ nanoparticles align on graphene with c-axis orientation. The nanohybrid exhibits a remarkably enhanced cycling stability and rate capability (see Fig. 5(a)). Both studies demonstrated that the improved electrochemical properties of these composites are due to the graphene matrix, which offers two-dimensional conductive networks and a large surface area while dispersing and immobilizing the ultrathin SnS$_2$ nanofilaments. It also buffers the volume changes during cycling and directs the growth of SnS$_2$ nanofilaments with a favorable orientation; this preferred orientation enhances Li-ion storage, resulting in high capacity retention and good cyclic performance [191,192].

Similar to the above Sn-based/GNSs composites, the morphologies, particle sizes and distribution of SnS$_2$ have apparent effects on the electrochemical performance of SnS$_2$/GNSs composites. It is considered that the smaller particle sizes, the thinner thickness and rational distribution can be beneficial to the properties of composites. A hybrid structure involving ultrasmall SnS$_2$ nanocrystals deposited on flexible RGO was proposed by L. Mei and co-workers [193]. As shown in the TEM images in Fig. 4(e) and (f), the SnS$_2$ nanocrystals are uniformly distributed on the RGO nanosheets, increasing the loading number of SnS$_2$ per unit area of the RGO matrix. Another research demonstrated a similar effect of the RGO matrix, achieving very high specific capacities with SnS$_2$/RGO composites, up to 1034 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 200 cycles at 0.1 C (Fig. 5(b)) [194]. Three typical SnS$_2$/graphene samples with different lateral sizes of SnS$_2$ plates have been prepared, which demonstrated that the reversible capacity of small SnS$_2$ plates is stable at 650 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 30 cycles. Interestingly, the larger SnS$_2$ nanoparticles (G–SnS$_2$–L) exhibit inferior rate performance, as shown in Fig. 5(c) and (d). The Nyquist plots, shown in Fig. 5(f), are clear evidence that the graphene-containing samples possess high electrical conductivity and a fast charge-transfer reaction for lithium ion insertion and extraction, further supporting the combination of graphene and SnS$_2$ nanoplates [195]. Moreover, these composites exhibited excellent capacity retention at high rates for 450 cycles, maintaining capacities at 773 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 415 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 1 C and 5 C, respectively. The researchers reported that RGO is not only used as a solid support on which to uniformly distribute the SnS$_2$ nanocrystals, but also as a carrier to accelerate electron transport. Thus, SnS$_2$ nanocrystals deposited on RGO can increase the electrochemical capacity per unit area [193].

Anodes comprised of self-assembled graphene aerogels and composites of 2D graphene embedded with metal or metal oxide nanoparticles also exhibited improved performance [196–201]. These unique structures will provide the enhanced conductive network and more stable structure. The 3D SnS$_2$/graphene aerogels (SnS$_2$/G–A) were fabricated via a hydrothermal process [187] and then freeze-dried to maintain the 3D monolithic architectures, as shown in Fig. 4(g) and (h). The interconnected graphene networks serve as channels, enabling fast electron transport in three dimensions. The GNSs also help the aggregation of SnS$_2$ nanofilaments and act as a buffer to accommodate the volume expansion/contraction of SnS$_2$ during Li$^+$ insertion/extraction. As a result, the proposed SnS$_2$/graphene aerogels show high reversible capacity and excellent rate capability [187]. The schematic representation of electron transmission and lithium ion storage in SnS$_2$/G–A is shown in Fig. 5(e), which further explains the beneficial effects of graphene in the composites.

2.4. Stannate/graphene composites

A series of stannates, such as M$_x$SnO$_y$ (M = Li, Ca, Zn, Mg, Co, Cd) [36,37,202–211], have attracted increasing attention due to their high lithium storage capacity and their ability to relieve stress arising from volume changes during cycling. This is attributed to
Fig. 4. HR-TEM images of the SnS$_2$/GNS nanocomposite (a, b); Reproduced from Ref. [191]; one stand-up sheet of SnS$_2$/graphene (c); Reproduced from Ref. [192]; and SnS$_2$ nanocrystals@RGO (e, f); Reproduced from Ref. [193]; AFM image of SnS$_2$/graphene (d); Reproduced from Ref. [192]; photographs of three kinds of samples: SnS$_2$ (left), SnS$_2$/G-hydrogel (middle), SnS$_2$/G-aerogel before freeze-drying (right), SnS$_2$/G-aerogel after freeze-drying (inset) (g); cross-sectional SEM image of SnS$_2$/G-aerogel (h); Reproduced from Ref. [187].
the formation of electrochemically active/inactive MO matrices occurring during the first discharge process [209,210]. However, stannates suffer from volume expansion/contraction to some degree. Many approaches have been adopted to address these problems, such as various buffering materials made of carbon and conductive polymers [8,212–222]. Among these attempts, GNSs provide a significant improvement to the electrochemical properties of the composites. Recently, via a facile in situ solvothermal route, a CoSnO3/GNS nanohybrid was designed [223]. CoSnO3 nanoparticles were distributed on the surface of GNSs (see Fig. 6(c)), which supplied 2D electronically conducting networks for the nanoparticles. As a result, the composites exhibit a charge capacity as high as 649 mAh g\(^{-1}\) in the 50th cycle as well as excellent high-rate cycling stability, which is better than that of pure CoSnO3 [223], demonstrating the superior advantage of using a GNS matrix in stannate anodes.

Similarly, a layered Zn\(_2\)SnO\(_4\)/graphene nanohybrid (see Fig. 6(a)) was synthesized via a facile in situ hydrothermal route [224]. In this anode system, the improved cycling stability is due to the buffering effect of the GNSs matrix, which effectively alleviates the large volume changes of Zn\(_2\)SnO\(_4\) upon Li insertion/extraction. Moreover, aggregation of the nanoparticles is inhibited by the GNS matrix [224]. Our previous work also confirms this immobilization effect [225]. We found that the introduction of a GNSs matrix significantly improves the electrochemical performance of Li\(_2\)SnO\(_3\) anodes. We proposed that the improvement results from the enhanced conductive and buffering matrix of GNSs to keep the entire composite stable. Therefore, the flexible GNS matrix acts as the supporting layers for Zn\(_2\)SnO\(_4\) and Li\(_2\)SnO\(_3\), which can be observed in the TEM images of Fig. 6(a) and (b).

GNSs coatings have been employed to study stannate/graphene composites via an analogous mechanism of electrostatic interactions [226]. For example, CoSnO3 hollow cubes were first functionalized to give them a positively charged surface and then assembled with negatively-charged GO. The schematic illustration is shown in Fig. 6(e). The CoSnO3 with GNSs coating exhibits stable cyclability (1016.2 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) up to 100 cycles) and superior high-rate capability (775 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 500 mA g\(^{-1}\)). Obviously, the GNSs coating improves not only the structural stability but also the lithium storage kinetics and electronic transport of CoSnO3 [226].

Based on our previous study of Zn\(_2\)SnO\(_4\) hollow boxes, two types of Zn\(_2\)SnO\(_4\)/graphene composites were synthesized via different methods. One approach used a simple co-precipitation and alkali etching method [227] and the other [228] used the electrostatic
interaction technique discussed above [226]. Both types of Zn2SnO4/graphene composites show similar morphologies, which can be observed in the TEM images of Fig. 7(a), (b), (d) and (e). The hollow Zn2SnO4 boxes are surrounded by the flexible GNSs. The GNSs act as the matrix for the Zn2SnO4 boxes, effectively buffering the volume expansion and contraction, as well as providing a highly conductive medium for electron transfer during the lithiation and de-lithiation processes [227,228]. Both of these composites demonstrate enhanced electrochemical performance and high rate capability.

In summary, for various kinds of Sn-based anodes GNSs act as a key factor for high performance binary electrodes. Table 1 summarizes the electrochemical performance of various binary composite electrodes. It is clear that the introduction of a GNSs matrix results in an improvement of the electrochemical properties. This can be attributed to the unique role of flexible GNSs, including large surface areas, good conductivity, short transportation lengths, and stress relief under large volume changes. From Table 1, one can conclude that the morphologies and structures for both Sn-based anodes and GNSs have crucial effects on the performance. Sn-based materials with small particle size, dense distribution, and unique structures, as well as GNSs with larger specific surface area should be the focus of future research to enhance LIB anode performance.

3. Sn/graphene-based ternary composites

3.1. Polymer/Sn/graphene ternary composites

Some novel ternary Sn/graphene-based composites have been developed to further enhance the performance of LIB anodes. Two types of buffering matrices, GNSs and conductive polymers, have been proposed to relieve the stress caused by volume expansion of Sn-based anodes, resulting in better cycling and rate properties. Conductive polymers, such as polyaniline (PANI), polypyrrole (PPY) and poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) [229,230] provide a conductive backbone for the active materials and its soft-structured matrix can buffer the internal stress of electrodes suffering from large volume changes [231–236]. In our previous study, a new ternary graphene/Li2SnO3/PPY composite was designed [237], where the co-introduction of PPY and graphene created a double buffering structure for Li2SnO3 electrodes, achieving a reversible capacity of 699.4 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 30 cycles. A. Bhaskar et al. [238] also studied ternary composites. They synthesized hybrids of SnO2 hollow spheres embedded in GO and enveloped by a sheath of PEDOT, as shown in the schematic illustration of Fig. 8. These ternary composites enhanced electronic and ionic conductivity of the electrode, induced by GO and PEDOT. Furthermore, the GNSs also alleviated the mechanical stress caused by the severe volume change during lithium insertion and extraction, and reduced the detachment of electroactive material from the electrode. The buffering layer also inhibited the aggregation of tin nanoparticles. Conductive polymers, such as PANI, PEDOT and PPY, can prevent the direct contact of Sn-based crystallites with the electrolyte and thus inhibit any side chemical reactions of the electrolyte with the oxide. The coulombic efficiency of ternary hybrid for the 3rd cycle reached to 96.5% and it gradually increased with cycling. After 150 cycles the hybrid showed a reversible capacity of 608.4 mAh g\(^{-1}\) with a Coulombic efficiency more than 99%.

3.2. C/Sn/graphene ternary composites

The GNSs-Sn based binary composites were further coated with carbon as a second buffering matrix to improve the cycling performance [239–241]. Z.P. Guo’s group [242] studied carbon-coated SnO2 nanoparticle—graphene nanosheet composites. They reported that the synergy between large area GNSs and the carbon coating layer (Fig. 9(a)) alleviates the effects of volume changes, stabilizes the structure, and increases the conductivity. Thus, the composites exhibited excellent electrochemical performance with high capacity and good cycling stability (757 mA h g\(^{-1}\) after 150 cycles at 200 mA g\(^{-1}\)). We also confirmed similar effects on lithium storage using Li2SnO3 anodes [243]. In this double-buffering system, the obtained C/Li2SnO3/graphene composites also exhibited high reversible capacity and good cycling stability (736.3 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 50 cycles at 60 mA g\(^{-1}\)).

In a previous study, we fabricated a novel sandwiched hierarchical structure composed of a graphene substrate, intermediate
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SnO₂ nanorod (NR) arrays, and a carbon coating, which buffered the large volume changes experienced by the anode [244]. The synthesis procedure of the composites is illustrated in Fig. 10(a) and the novel structure of the composites can be seen in the TEM image of Fig. 9(b). These sandwich structures prevent isolation of the SnO₂ NRs during cycling. Moreover, the internal chemical bonding between the SnO₂ nanorods and carbon layer was discovered using spectroscopy techniques. The hierarchical sandwiched structure enhances the lithium storage properties (Fig. 10(b)) and coulomb efficiency. Encouraged by the results of the sandwiched hierarchical structure, we also designed a novel hierarchical carbon-encapsulated-tin (Sn@C)-embedded GNS composite via a simple and scalable one-step chemical vapor deposition procedure [245]. In this system, the graphene-supported Sn@C core—shell structures consist of a crystalline tin core, which is thoroughly covered by a carbon shell. Importantly, extra voids are present between the carbon shell and tin core (Fig. 9(c)). The advantages of this system are that the flexible and robust GNS act as a support, allowing the outer carbon shell to better confine the Sn nanoparticles and improve their mechanical properties. Thus, the Sn@C—GNS composites exhibit both excellent cycle stability and high specific capacity, in which the discharge capacity remains at 566 mAh g⁻¹ after 100 cycles. A different approach has been used for ternary composites [246]: combine a simple hydrothermal method used for the synthesis of the carbon-coated SnO₂ nanocomposites with a hydrothermal self-assembly and thermal treatment used for SnO₂@PC/graphene nanocomposites. The schematic illustration and TEM image of the composites are shown in Figs. 10(c) and 9(d), respectively. The composites exhibit excellent cycling stability and rate capability due to the double conductive network and buffering matrix (Fig. 10(d)). Based on the above discussion, it can be concluded that the carbon coating, combined with GNSs, creates a 3D carbon conductive network. Moreover, the carbon coating can effectively suppress the aggregation of nanoparticles and undesired side reactions. Therefore, the role of the carbon coating and GNSs as double buffering matrices dramatically enhances the electrochemical performance of Sn-based anodes [240,243,245–247].

In addition to carbon coatings, other carbon materials, such as carbon nanotubes [248–250] and carbon nanofibers [251], have been introduced into the Sn/graphene-based binary composites. A new kind of corn-like graphene-SnO₂-carbon nanofiber mixture was developed [251] (GSCN, Fig. 9(e)) and delivered a remarkable capacity of 1246.3 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.5 A g⁻¹. This schematic illustration of the electronic transport route and the volume effect of GSCNs during the Li-ion insertion–extraction process. The volume expansion that occurs when Li⁺ inserts into SnO₂ may be hindered by the inner carbon nanofibers (CNFs) and the outer graphene sheets. In another report, CNF has also been introduced to form the conductive network (Fig. 9(f)) [252]. In this system, carbon nanofibers and nanotubes facilitate the formation of a 3D electronic conductive network with GNSs and increase the distance between graphene layers, which provides a large number of active sites and allows for easier migration of Li-Ions. This ultimately enhances the conductivity and shortens the electronic transport route [253]. As a result, the nanocomposite exhibited a highly reversible capacity and excellent rate performance.

3.3. Other graphene-based ternary composites

Besides various carbon materials, different inorganic particles of electrochemically active materials that exhibit low volume change have been introduced into Sn/graphene-based binary composites [254–258]. For example, as shown in the schematic illustration of Fig. 11(a), core–shell structured Fe₂O₃/.SnO₂ nanoparticles were synthesized via a facile hydrothermal route. A flexible GO film was then covered with the spindle-like Fe₂O₃@SnO₂ nanoparticles through vacuum filtration, followed by thermal reduction [259]. The interconnected porous GNS networks provide good electronic conductivity and a rapid electrolyte diffusion channel, as well as...
aids the Fe$_2$O$_3$ and SnO$_2$ in retarding electrochemical aggregation of the nanoparticles [259]. A similar system with graphene–TiO$_2$–SnO$_2$ ternary nanocomposites (GTS) also examined these effects. In this case, TiO$_2$ and SnO$_2$ nanoparticles were formed in situ and uniformly anchored on the surface of reduced graphene oxide sheets (see Fig. 11(c)) [260]. Here, the contribution of anatase TiO$_2$ to the total specific capacity of the obtained ternary nanocomposites is limited by its low theoretical specific capacity. However, the small amount of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles creates more space to accommodate the electrode volume change and acts as a stable barrier to effectively prevent the agglomeration of SnO$_2$ nanoparticles during the charge–discharge process [260]. Therefore, the as-synthesized ternary GTS nanocomposites delivered improved cycling performance (537 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 50 mA g$^{-1}$ with a coulombic efficiency of 97% after 50 cycles) and good reversible capacities (250 mA h g$^{-1}$ even at a current density of 1000 mA g$^{-1}$) (Fig. 11(d)).

4. Doped graphene/Sn composite

In all of the above discussions, we mainly reviewed the effect of pristine GNSs on lithium storage for Sn-based composite anodes. It has been reported that graphene doped with heteroatoms can be tailored in terms of its electronic properties and chemical reactivity. Heteroatom doping of graphene can aid in the formation of strong bonds between the graphene support and Sn-based particles via a charge transfer mechanism [261]. Moreover, doped graphene acts as an effective conductive additive and reduces the electrolyte decomposition at the dangling edges of the graphene structure [82,261,262]. Thus, in the case of Sn-based anode materials, the doping behavior of GNSs matrices has an important influence on lithium storage. The doped graphene/Sn composites always exhibit a large capacity, high rate capability, and excellent cycling stability [261,263–265]. For instance, Y.Y.Guo et al. [266] reported N-doped GNS-SnO$_2$ sandwich papers with 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane anions. Another SnO$_2$ NC@N-RGO hybrid material prepared via in situ hydrazine monohydrate vapor reduction with a stable capacity of 1346 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 50 cycles has been reported [267]. 3D macroscopic tin oxide/nitrogen-doped graphene frameworks (SnO$_2$/N-GN) were constructed by a novel solvothermal-induced self-assembly process, using a SnO$_2$ colloid as a precursor [268]. The optimized electrode has a N-GNS content of 44.23% and exhibited superior rate capability (1126, 855, and 614 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 1000, 3000, and 6000 mA g$^{-1}$, respectively), as well as extraordinary prolonged cycling stability at high current densities (905 mA h g$^{-1}$ after 1000 cycles at 2000 mA g$^{-1}$). It was found that
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the formation of Sn–N bonds between SnO$_2$ nanocrystals and GNSs effectively mounted SnO$_2$ nanocrystals onto the matrix, thus suppressing the aggregation of Sn nanoparticles during the lithiation process. In addition, the undisturbed electron supply from N-RGO enhanced the electrochemical activity of the SnO$_2$ nanocrystals, resulting in improved reversibility of the SnO$_2$ conversion reaction [267].

5. Summary and perspective

We have reviewed the recent research on the effects of GNSs for high performance Sn-based anodes and summarized the preparation, structure, and electrochemical properties of different Sn/graphene-based composites. Table 2 shows the electrochemical performance for different kinds of Sn/graphene-based LIB...
electrodes. In these composites, GNSs always provide remarkable advantages for Sn-based anodes, which can be attributed to the unique structure and properties of GNSs, such as high surface area, electrical conductivity, mechanical flexibility, and chemical functionality. Thus, as one excellent matrix, GNSs play an important role in the composites, drastically improving the lithium storage performance of Sn-based anodes.

The significant effects of GNSs on high performance Sn-based anodes can be summarized as follows: (1) Its high flexibility makes graphene the perfect supporting matrix or coating layer for Sn-based materials, effectively relieving the main problem of Sn-based anodes: volume expansion. (2) Layered GNSs inhibit the aggregation of Sn-based particles. Sn-based particles between graphene layers efficiently suppress the re-stacking of graphene. There is a beneficial synergetic effect between graphene and tin nanoparticles. (3) The highly conductive graphene supplies 2D electronically conducting networks for the Sn-based materials, which further provides a highly conductive medium for Li-ion electron transfer during the charge/discharge processes. (4) The oxygen-rich functional groups on the surface of graphene or graphene oxide can serve as good interfacial interactions for the growth of various Sn-based materials. Due to these properties, Sn/graphene-based composites exhibit excellent electrochemical performance as anode materials for LIBs.

As discussed, many achievements have been obtained using a GNS matrix to improve the battery performance of Sn-based composite anodes. However, some challenges still limit the commercialization of Sn-based anodes. On one hand, one of the most important problems is the large-scale, low cost and simple production of high quality GNSs. On the other hand, in order to improve the performance of the Sn-based anodes, controlled synthesis of Sn/graphene-based materials should be further explored, including the distribution, density, chemical bonding, and three dimensional arrangements of the composites [117]. The design of Sn/graphene-based structures, including alternative stable materials such as carbon coatings, carbon nanofibers or nanotubes,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of materials</th>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Synthesis method</th>
<th>Electrochemical performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sn binary composite</td>
<td>Sn/graphene composite</td>
<td>Microwave reduction</td>
<td>Current density: 100 mA g⁻¹, Cycle number: 100, Capacity retention: 500 mAh g⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn binary composite</td>
<td>Sn nanopillar arrays embedded between GNS</td>
<td>Film deposition and annealing process</td>
<td>Current density: 0.5 A g⁻¹, Cycle number: 40, Capacity retention: 508 mAh g⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnO₂ binary composite</td>
<td>SnO₂ nanorod/graphene composite</td>
<td>Facile electrospinning and sedimentation separation processes</td>
<td>Current density: 100 mA g⁻¹, Cycle number: 50, Capacity retention: 467 mAh g⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stannate binary composite</td>
<td>Zn₂SnO₄/graphene nanohybrid</td>
<td>Hydrothermal route</td>
<td>Current density: 200 mA g⁻¹, Cycle number: 50, Capacity retention: 688 mAh g⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stannate binary composite</td>
<td>Graphene-supported Li₂SnO₂ nanocomposites</td>
<td>Deoxidation technique</td>
<td>Current density: 60 mA g⁻¹, Cycle number: 50, Capacity retention: 582.2 mAh g⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn ternary composite</td>
<td>SnIC—GNS</td>
<td>CVD procedure</td>
<td>Current density: 75 mA g⁻¹, Cycle number: 100, Capacity retention: 566 mAh g⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnO₂ ternary composite</td>
<td>Carbon coated SnO₂ NRs grafted on graphene composites</td>
<td>Hydrothermal growth and glucose derived</td>
<td>Current density: 3000 mA g⁻¹, Cycle number: 150, Capacity retention: 1419 mAh g⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnO₂ ternary composite</td>
<td>Carbon-doped Li₂SnO₂/graphene composites</td>
<td>Hydrothermal route</td>
<td>Current density: 200 mA g⁻¹, Cycle number: 150, Capacity retention: 757 mAh g⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stannate ternary composite</td>
<td>Carbon-doped Li₂SnO₂/graphene composites</td>
<td>Hydrothermal route</td>
<td>Current density: 60 mA g⁻¹, Cycle number: 50, Capacity retention: 736.3 mAh g⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doped graphene</td>
<td>SnO₂/N-GN hybrid</td>
<td>Solvothermal-induced self-assembly process</td>
<td>Current density: 2000 mA g⁻¹, Cycle number: 1000, Capacity retention: 905 mAh g⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doped graphene</td>
<td>Co₃Sn₂@Co nanoparticles and nitrogen-doped graphene</td>
<td>Hydrothermal synthesis, followed by annealing process</td>
<td>Current density: 250 mA g⁻¹, Cycle number: 100, Capacity retention: 1615 mAh g⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
conducting polymers and other inorganic particles, is essential for forming a conductive network and double buffering matrices to effectively alleviate volume changes and stabilize the electrodes. This opens a potential approach for improving the performance of Sn-based anodes. Doping the GNS matrix with different elements (N, S, Se, P, B) is another strategy for increasing the electrochemical performance of the Sn-based anodes. In addition, Sn/graphene-based materials have the unique properties of being ultrathin, lightweight and flexible, making the fabrication of light, high energy-dense, and flexible electrodes possible [269]. GNSs clearly improve the performance and stability of Sn-based anodes. However, graphene-modified Sn-based anodes are still a new research topic, and further studies are required. With rational and careful design, Sn/graphene-based anodes will become a top candidate for next-generation LIBs.
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