Electrocatalytic activity and stability of carbon nanotubes-supported Pt-on-Au, Pd-on-Au, Pt-on-Pd-on-Au, Pt-on-Pd, and Pd-on-Pt catalysts for methanol oxidation reaction
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ABSTRACT

For optimizing both the activity and stability of Pt-based catalysts for methanol oxidation reaction (MOR), several carbon nanotubes(CNTs)-supported catalysts such as Pt-on-Au/CNTs, Pd-on-Au/CNTs, Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs, Pt-on-Pd/CNTs, and Pd-on-Pt/CNTs catalysts are synthesized mainly through electrodeposition method. The activity and stability comparisons show that Pt-on-Au/CNTs has a higher MOR activity but a lower stability than Pd-on-Au/CNTs. To utilize the merits of the Pt and Pd components, Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs and Pt-on-Pd/CNTs catalysts are synthesized. The Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs and Pt-on-Pd/CNTs catalysts show higher MOR activity than Pt-on-Au/CNTs and Pd/CNTs catalysts and higher stability than Pt-on-Au/CNTs, suggesting a synergistic interaction between Pt and Pd in catalyzing methanol oxidation reaction. Calculation shows that the total mass activity of Pt-on-Pd/CNTs with quite low Pt amount is on a similar level as that of Pt/CNTs for MOR oxidation, indicating the Pt-on-Pd catalyst could have promising potential as a low-Pt catalyst for MOR in alkaline media.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) using hydrogen and air (oxygen) gases as reactants can produce clean electrical power with high energy efficiency for portable, stationary, and automobile power applications [1–3]. A member of the PEMFCs family, direct methanol fuel cell (DMFC) using methanol as fuel and air (oxygen) as oxidant, and featuring higher energy density and more convenient fuel supply, has been recognized as one of the most feasible and practical options for commercialization [4–6]. However, the anode methanol oxidation reaction (MOR) in acid media highly depends on Pt-containing catalysts, in which the Pt component is of high cost and low abundance. Moreover, the Pt surface can be easily poisoned by strongly adsorbed intermediates, leading to slow methanol oxidation kinetics and then large electrode overpotential [7,8]. Furthermore, the kinetics of DMFC cathode oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) in acid media [9,10] is also sluggish, leading to a low performance. In contrast to the case in acid media, both MOR [11–13] and ORR [14,15] can proceed with enhanced activity in alkaline media. Therefore, a considerable research attention has been shifted to alkaline polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells (APEMFC) in recent years [16–18].

Regarding the electrocatalysis in APEMFCs, low-Pt [19,20] and non-Pt [21–24] catalysts have been the major research targets for further improving the reaction kinetics. Particularly, due to the significantly lower apparent activation energy of MOR on Pt catalysts in alkaline media than that in acidic media [25], using low-Pt and even non-Pt catalysts becomes possible. Among various kinds of low-Pt catalysts explored, Pt-on-Au catalyst [19,26,27] has been reported to be highly active for MOR in alkaline media. However, our previous work [26] showed that the MOR activity on Pt-on-Au catalyst could be decreased quickly during the stability test, suggesting that the stability of Pt-on-Au catalyst needs to be further improved in order to meet the requirement of practical applications. On the other hand, Pd-based catalysts [28–31] towards MOR in alkaline media are widely explored as the non-Pt catalysts due to Pd's relatively lower cost and similar property to those of Pt-based catalysts. Up to now, most researches focus on
the MOR kinetics of Pd-based catalysts to improve their catalytic activities, and there are only limited work reported on the stability of Pd-based catalysts [30,31].

To address the low stability challenge of Pt-on-Au catalyst and explore the possibility that Pd can be used as a cheaper and stable candidate to Pt (or Au), in this paper, we firstly compared Pd-on-Au with Pt-on-Au catalysts and found that the Pd-on-Au has higher stability than Pt-on-Au while the Pt-on-Au has much higher activity than Pd-on-Au counterpart. To optimize both activity and stability, we further prepared Pt-on-Pd catalyst. Interestingly, the catalytic activity of this Pt-on-Pd catalyst toward methanol oxidation reaction were found to be greatly improved in comparison with the Pd catalyst without Pt modification, and the stability of Pt-on-Pd was also superior to that of Pt-on-Au counterpart. Structural characterizations using electrochemical methods were conducted for understanding the structure–property relationship during MOR electrocatalysis. We believe this understanding will be meaningful for future catalyst development.

2. Experimental

Multi-walled carbon nanotubes (CNTs) were obtained from Shenzhen Nanotech Port Co. Ltd and purified before use. H2PtCl6 - 6H2O, HAuCl4 - 4H2O, PdCl2, K2PtCl4 and other reagents were all of analytical purity.

The first step for catalyst synthesis was to prepare Au/CNTs precursor (CNTs supported Au particles) through chemical reducing of HAuCl4·4H2O in alkaline ethylene glycol (EG) solution containing CNTs [26]. Briefly, 80 mg CNTs were ultrasonically dispersed in 80 mL EG solution containing 400 mg NaOH to form Solution I, and another 20 mL EG solution containing 41.8 mg HAuCl4·4H2O in the absence of CNTs was prepared to form Solution II. After mixing the two Solutions I and II under magnetic stirring for 30 minutes, the formed mixture was neutralized with aqueous HCl solution, filtered, washed and then oven dried to obtain Au/CNTs precursor with a designed Au content of 20 wt.%. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of Au/CNTs were recorded on a Rigaku X-ray diffractometer (X’Pert PRO, Panalytical Company, Netherlands) using Cu-Kα as radiation source. The morphology of Au/CNTs was investigated using transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

In this paper, in total five catalysts were prepared: Pt-on-Au/CNTs, Pd-on-Au/CNTs, Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs, Pt-on-Pd/CNTs, and Pd-on-Pt/CNTs. For electrochemical preparation of these catalysts and their electrochemical characterization, a three-electrode cell coupled with a CHI electrochemical workstation (CHI 660, Shanghai Chenhua Instrument CO., Ltd. China) was used. A glassy carbon electrode (GC, φ=3 mm), a Pt wire electrode and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) were used as the working electrode, counter electrode and reference electrode, respectively. The distance between the working electrode and counter electrode was about 6 mm. All potentials in this report were referred to SCE, and all the electrochemical experiments were conducted under 25 °C.

Pt-on-Au/CNTs and Pd-on-Au/CNTs. The preparation of Pt-on-Au/CNTs and Pd-on-Au/CNTs followed a site-selective electrodeposition procedure reported previously [26,32], the major advantage of which is the simple and facile manipulation without using reduction agent or protecting agent [33]. Moreover, the site-selectivity of Pt or Pd deposition could be achieved under milder condition in shorter time in contrast to chemical method [34]. The electrodeposition of Pt on CNTs-supported-Au was conducted at 0.65 V for 200 seconds on a Au/CNTs electrode in 1 M HClO4 + 1 mM H2PdCl4 aqueous solution saturated by N2 gas. The potential of Pd deposition (200 seconds) on CNTs-supported-Au was determined to be 0.6 V in 1 M HClO4 + 1 mM H2PdCl4 aqueous solution saturated by N2 gas, where H2PdCl4 was pre-prepared by dissolving 1 g PdCl2 in 3 mL HCl (36.5 wt.%).

Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs. For electrochemical preparation of Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs (the Pd being deposited on Au and then the Pd being deposited on Pd), Pd-on-Au/CNTs was firstly prepared at 0.6 V for 4000 seconds in 1 M HClO4 + 1 mM H2PdCl4 aqueous solution saturated by N2 gas, and then Pt deposition at 0.6 V for 20 seconds was achieved in 1 M HClO4 + 1 mM K2PtCl4 aqueous solution saturated by N2 gas. The reason why the time range is long for Pt deposition while short for Pd deposition is that a high coverage Pd surface could thus form over Au surface and then the Pt moieties could deposit on Pd forming the Pt-on-Pd structure.

Pt-on-Pd/CNTs. To clearly verify the effect of Pd without the involvement of Au, Pd/CNTs (Pd supported on CNTs) was also prepared through constant-potential Pd deposition at 0.4 V for 600 seconds. After a CNTs/GC electrode (GC coated with 0.1 mg CNTs) being prepared by pipetting CNTs-containing ink on a GC electrode and dried for a half of an hour at 90 °C, the CNTs/GC electrode was scanned in 1 M HClO4 aqueous solution saturated by N2 gas until a steady cyclic voltammograms (CVs) was recorded. Then the CNTs/GC electrode was immersed in 1 M HClO4 + 1 mM H2PdCl4 aqueous solution saturated by N2 gas for constant potential deposition of 600 seconds. Then Pt-on-Pd/CNTs was
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prepared through constant potential deposition at 0.6 V for 20 seconds following the same electrodeposition procedure as above.

Pt-on-Pt/CNTs. Pt-on-Pt/CNTs (Pt being deposited on CNTs-supported Pt) was also prepared for observing the synergistic interaction between Pt and Pt during methanol oxidation in alkaline media. A GC disk electrode coated with 0.1 mg as-prepared Pt/CNTs [35] was immersed in 1 mol L⁻¹ HClO₄ solution with N₂ purging for 20 minutes. Steady CVs were recorded after 20 cycles of sweeping at 50 mV s⁻¹, followed by potentiostatic deposition at 0.6 V in 1 M HClO₄ + 1 mM H₂PdCl₄ aqueous solution saturated by N₂ gas.

The electrochemical data for all catalysts were recorded until steady state reached. The stability of all catalysts was investigated by cyclic voltammetric sweeping in 1 M NaOH + 1 M CH₃OH solution for certain cycles to record the decay of peak current of methanol oxidation. Other tests including linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) and chronocoulometry were conducted prior to the stability test.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Comparisons of Pt-on-Au/CNTs and Pt-on-Au/CNTs in terms of activity and stability

Our previous study [26] showed that the structural stability of Pt-on-Au catalyst might need further improvement although they exhibited high activity for methanol oxidation. To assess the possibility of substituting Pt for Pt in Pt-on-Au/CNTs catalysts, we herein prepared Pt-on-Au/CNTs and compared it with Pt-on-Au/CNTs in terms of both methanol oxidation activity and stability.

The Au/CNTs was firstly prepared and characterized using both TEM and XRD, as shown in Fig. 1. The TEM image indicates that the Au particles with wide size distribution have been successfully deposited on the surface of CNTs. From the XRD patterns of Au/CNTs, it can be assigned that the peak at 26° is associated with C (002) and the peaks at 38.3°, 44.4°, 64.7°, 77.6° can be assigned to Au(111), Au(200), Au(220) and Au(311), respectively [36]. The above results confirm the formation of Au/CNTs.

Pt-on-Au/CNTs and Pt-on-Au/CNTs were prepared through constant-potential deposition of H₂PdCl₄ at 0.65 V and H₂PdCl₄ at 0.6 V, respectively, and the deposition currents are shown in Fig. 2(a). The average deposition current for Pt and Pd is about 0.00064 mA, and the blank current for Pt (Iblank-1) and Pd (Iblank-2) deposition is about 0.00049 mA and 0.00040 mA, respectively. The net current for Pt deposition and Pd deposition is calculated to be about 0.00015 mA and 0.00024 mA, respectively. Taking 0.505 mS Pt C⁻¹ and 0.549 mS Pd C⁻¹ (obtained according to the Faraday Law) as references [26], the mass of Pt and Pd after 200 seconds of deposition can be calculated to be 1.5 × 10⁻⁵ mS Pt and 2.6 × 10⁻⁵ mS Pd, respectively.

To investigate the structural changes after Pt and Pd deposition onto the Au/CNTs, the linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves of Pt-on-Au/CNTs, Pt-on-Au/CNTs and Au/CNTs were recorded, as shown in Fig. 2(b). It can be seen that the Au oxide reduction peak area were decreased after Pt or Pd deposition, indicating that the Au surfaces are partly covered by Pt or Pd. In the upper graph in Fig. 2(b) a new peak at 0.7 V appears that can be ascribed to Pt oxide reduction [37], further indicating the formation of Pt-on-Au structure. Herein the Pt oxide reduction peak lies in a relatively higher value, mainly because the scanning rate is low and the Pt amount is small.

The electrochemical activity and stability of Pt-on-Au/CNTs were tested in comparison with Pt-on-Au/CNTs, as shown in Fig. 3(a). It is obvious that the Au/CNTs has no MOR activity. After Pt or Pd deposition on Au, there appears evident peak current of methanol oxidation on Pt-on-Au/CNTs or Pt-on-Au/CNTs. However, the activity of the former is evidently higher than that of the latter. The chronoamperometry data also supports this conclusion, as shown in Fig. 3(b). According to the peak current of MOR on Pt-on-Au/CNTs (~1.0 mA) or Pt-on-Au/CNTs (~0.1 mA) catalyst and the Pt or Pd mass, the Pt mass activity of the Pt-on-Au/CNTs and Pt-on-Au/CNTs is calculated to be 66.7 A mg⁻¹ Pd and 3.8 A mg⁻¹ Pd, respectively, indicating a higher activity of Pt-on-Au than Pt-on-Pd catalytic. In fact, Zhang et. al [27] have also reported a high activity (70 A mg⁻¹ Pd) of Pt-on-Au catalytic for methanol oxidation, further confirming the important role of low amount of Pt to modify the Au substrate. Considering that the Au metal is also expensive, the total mass activity was calculated for Pt-on-Au and Pt-on-Au, which is 50 mA mg⁻¹ Pt(Au) and 5 mA mg⁻¹ Pt(Au), respectively.

The reason for the higher activity of Pt-on-Au/CNTs than Pt-on-Au/CNTs might be related to different tendencies of OHad formation on Pt and Pt surface. As seen in Fig. 3(c), the current for Pt-OH formation (see the lower graph) is evidently higher than that for Pt-OH formation (see the upper graph). Considering the Pt amount being lower than Pd as calculated above, it can be assumed that the Pt-OH formation is easier than Pt-OH. Because
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the OH$_2^-$ plays a crucial role on MOR process according to the bi-functional mechanism [26], the more facile formation of Pt-OH in Pt-on-Au/CNTs certainly results in a higher activity for methanol oxidation reaction.

However, the stability of Pt-on-Au catalysts is low and the peak current of MOR sharply decreases with increasing CV cycling (see lower graph in Fig. 3(d)). In contrast, the Pd-on-Au/CNTs shows less loss of methanol oxidation peak current with the CV cycling (see upper graph in Fig. 3(d)), indicating a higher stability of Pd than Pt. Based on the these results, we have further studied the possibility to modify Pd with Pt to achieve both higher activity and stability (Section 3.2).

3.2. Preparation of Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs and Pt-on-Pd/CNTs to improve the Pt stability and Pd activity simultaneously

To modify Pd with Pt via the Pt-on-Pd structure, Pd substrate was firstly prepared by depositing Pd on CNTs-supported Au and then Pt was deposited on Pd surface. The obtained material was denoted as Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs for following characterization. Fig. 4(a) reflects the structural changes with Pd deposition (4000 seconds) on Au and then Pt deposition (20 seconds) on Pd. It can be seen that the intensity of Au oxide reduction peak is greatly decreased after Pd deposition, demonstrating a high coverage of Pd on Au. At the same time, a new peak at 0.7 V ascribed to Pd oxide reduction [37] confirms the formation of Pd-on-Au structure. In ref. 37 the Pd-OH reduction peak lies in 0.6 V vs. Ag/AgCl electrode. The reason why our Pd peak lies in a higher value (0.7 V vs. SCE) is that the CV scanning rate is low and the Pd amount is small. As we know, increasing the CV scanning rate would influence the peak location, since our rate is 0.05 V s$^{-1}$ while the rate in ref. 37 is 0.5 V s$^{-1}$. The deviation of peak location is understandable. After Pd deposition followed, the intensity of Pd oxide reduction peak is significantly decreased and a new peak at 0.5 V appears, which can be ascribed to Pt oxide reduction [37]. It is noteworthy that the Au peak intensity does not see a decrease after Pt deposition, indicating that the Pt moieties only deposits on Pd other than Au surface and the Pt amount must be too low to stretch the Pt entities to the Au surface.

Fig. 4(b) shows the activity of methanol oxidation on different catalysts, namely, Au/CNTs, Pd-on-Au/CNTs and Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs. It can be seen that the peak current for methanol oxidation is highly improved on Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs in comparison with that on Pd-on-Au/CNTs and the onset potential ($E_{onset}$) shifts to a lower value. This can be explained from the aspect of the facile Pt-OH formation. As seen from the inset graph in Fig. 4(b), a broad peak appears for the formation of Pt-OH and the peak current is evidently higher. In contrast to this, the peak for Pd-OH formation (see the red arrow) is quite smaller and the corresponding peak current is quite lower. From this result we conclude that Pt
enhances the methanol oxidation activity of Pd most possibly through the facile formation of Pt-OH. To gain an insight of the rough composition of Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs, we calculated the Pd mass to be about 4.4 × 10^{-3} mg based upon the electro-deposition current and time (result not shown). For the Pt mass calculation using the same method, we admit the result is inaccurate because a reliable average deposition current cannot be obtained within this short time range. However, because the Pt mass is too low to be detected using inductively coupled plasma (ICP) method, the Pt mass (1.1 × 10^{-3} mg) calculated from the electro-deposition charge can indeed provide a rough reference. Based upon the Pd and Pt mass calculation and theoretical Au loading (0.02 mg) on electrode, we roughly calculated the Pt/Pd mass ratio to be about 1/40 while the Pd/Au mass ratio 1/45. Considering the quite low Pt content, the greatly increased MOR current after Pt modification on Pd confirmed the high efficiency of Pt component. The total mass activity for the Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs was calculated to be 147 mA mg_{Pt(Pd=Pt)}^{-1}, higher than the total mass activity of Pt-on-Au/CNTs.

The stability of Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs was also characterized, as shown in Fig. 4(c). It can be seen that there is nearly no loss of peak current for the 1st and the 10th cycle, in sharp contrast to the case of the Pt-on-Au/CNTs (see Fig. 3(d)). The chronoamperometry data for methanol oxidation also proved the excellent performance of Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs. After 2000 seconds of constant potential (-0.2 V) posed on Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs, the ending current is still quite high (~1.25 mA), indicating less chance of accumulation of strongly adsorbed intermediates in alkaline media. As shown in Fig. 4(b), introducing Pt can greatly improve the activity of Pd for methanol oxidation reaction. Can this effect be only ascribed to Pt without the Pd involvement? To check if the Pd was involved in this MOR catalysis, we deposited Pd on Pt/CNTs catalysts with deposition time periods of 5, 10 and 15 seconds by following the same procedure as preparing Au-on-Pt/CNTs [26] to obtain three catalysts. For these catalysts, there is little difference of electrochemical active surface area, as can be seen from the H adsorption region in the inset in Fig. 4(d), indicating the Pd deposition amounts are low on Pt surface. However, the activities for methanol oxidation reaction on these three Pd-on-Pt/CNTs catalysts are all improved when compared with Pt/CNTs (Fig. 4(d)). It is worthwhile to note that the Pt surfaces in the Pd-on-Pt/CNTs catalysts are covered by Pt entities, a “less active” component in producing OHad in comparison with Pt. From the fact that the methanol oxidation activity does not decrease but increase after “less active” Pd covering Pt, it can be deduced that Pd component plays a unique role other than providing Pd-OH. According to the
bidirectional mechanism [26], the most possible role that Pd plays might be providing a substrate for CH₂O⁻ adsorption through reaction: \( \text{Pt} - \text{OH} + \text{Pd} - \text{CH}_{2} \text{O}^- \rightarrow \text{Pt} + \text{Pd} + \text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + e^- \).

Although the above results show the positive interaction between Pd and Pt, the existence of Au in Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs catalyst might undermine the readers’ understanding of the effect of Pd. Considering this, we further prepared Pd/CNTs through 600 seconds of electro-deposition of Pd on CNTs and then 20 seconds of Pt deposition on Pd. According to the deposition currents and time (results not shown here), the Pd mass was calculated to be 2.25 \( \times \) 10⁻⁴ g while the Pt mass was not calculated because the difference between deposition current and the blank current is too small. The formation of thus prepared Pd/CNTs can be confirmed from an evident H adsorption current (Fig. 5(a)) and a peak ascribed to Pt oxide reduction (see the inset in Fig. 5(a)). After Pt deposition on Pd/CNTs to form Pt-on-Pd/CNTs, the H adsorption current is increased significantly because of the high activity of Pt for H adsorption. At the same time, the intensity of Pt oxide reduction peak decreases and a new peak related to Pt oxide reduction appears, confirming the formation of Pt-on-Pd structure.

The activity of Pt-on-Pd/CNTs for methanol oxidation reaction was then characterized in comparison with that of Pd/CNTs. The peak current for methanol oxidation on Pt-on-Pd/CNTs (1.3 mA) is evidently higher than that on Pd/CNTs (0.8 mA) (see Fig. 5(b)) and the \( E_{\text{onset}} \) also shifts to a lower value, which is similar to the case where Pt-on-Pd-on-Au/CNTs shows much higher activity than Pt-on-Au/CNTs (see Fig. 4(b)). These results indicate that the Pd activity can be enhanced by Pt component. Besides, the peak current drop of methanol oxidation reaction is insignificant after 100 cycles of CV cycling (see the inset in Fig. 5(b)), indicating an excellent stability of Pt-on-Pd/CNTs. On the other hand, the Pd mass activity for Pd/CNTs was calculated to be \(-356\ \text{mA} \cdot \text{mg}_\text{Pt}^{-1}\), the total mass activity for Pt-on-Pd/CNTs was calculated to be \(-578\ \text{mA} \cdot \text{mg}_\text{Pt}^{-1}\), and the mass activity of Pt/CNTs was calculated to be \(-625\ \text{mA} \cdot \text{mg}_\text{Pt}^{-1}\) from Fig. 4(d). The difference between mass activity of Pt-on-Pd/CNTs and Pt/CNTs is small (7.5%), indicating the promising potential of Pt-on-Pd as low-Pt catalysts for methanol oxidation catalysis.

In summary, a synergistic interaction between Pd and Pt in terms of methanol oxidation was demonstrated. The key role of Pt during methanol oxidation reaction might be to provide Pt-OH and Pd might act as adsorption site for alkoxide (CH₂O⁻). At the same time, the Pt stability can be improved by depositing Pt on Pd surface. Although the mechanism for the improved stability of Pt-on-Pd in contrast to Pt-on-Au is still unclear, we believe the catalyst with Pt-on-Pd structure is competitive in terms of activity, stability and overall cost.

4. Conclusions

Electrochemical comparison between Pt-on-Au/CNTs and Pt-on-Au/CNTs showed that Pt is more active for methanol oxidation while Pd is more stable. Considering this, Pt-on-Pd/CNTs catalyst was electrochemically prepared exhibiting higher methanol oxidation activity than Pd/CNTs and higher stability than Pt-on-Au/CNTs. The composition of Pt-on-Pd catalysts was roughly analyzed and the total mass activity of composite catalysts was calculated, showing that modifying Pd surface with low amount of Pt might be a reliable strategy to develop economic catalysts for methanol oxidation.
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