Atomic layer deposition assisted Pt-SnO₂ hybrid catalysts on nitrogen-doped CNTs with enhanced electrocatalytic activities for low temperature fuel cells
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Abstract

Nitrogen doped carbon nanotubes (CNₓ) of a high nitrogen concentration were synthesized directly on carbon paper as the skeleton of a 3D composite electrode. Ultra-fine SnO₂ nanoparticles about 1.5 nm were deposited on CNₓ with atomic layer deposition (ALD) technique. Pt nanoparticles from 1.5 to 4 nm were deposited on CNₓ/carbon paper and SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper with ethylene glycol reduction method. Three dimensional Pt/CNx/carbon paper and Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper composite electrodes were obtained, respectively. They were characterized over oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) and methanol oxidation reaction (MOR) for low temperature fuel cells. With similar sizes of Pt nanoparticles, the electrochemical surface area (ECSA) of Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper is larger than that of Pt/CNx/carbon paper. Pre-deposited SnO₂ nanoparticles promote the electrocatalytic activity of Pt toward ORR, carbon monoxide (CO) stripping and MOR. The underlying mechanisms for the enhanced activities are discussed.

1. Introduction

Fuel cell is a promising choice for clean energy because of its eco-friendly system, high energy conversion efficiency and high power density. Low temperature fuel cells, involved with proton-exchange membranes as the solid electrolyte, draw a great deal of attentions because of its low operating temperature and wide applications including automobiles, on-site power generation, back-up power, fork-lifts, and portable electronic devices. Although hydrogen is an ideal fuel for low temperature fuel cells (proton-exchange membrane fuel cell, PEMFC), challenges remain in the production and storage of H₂. To bypass these disadvantages, the direct methanol fuel cell (DMFC) has been developed with aqueous methanol as the fuel. Methanol, as a liquid at room temperature, makes storage and transport much easier. Methanol also contains a high energy density (6 kWh kg⁻¹), involving 6 electrons in the complete oxidation to CO₂ [1].

Despite considerable advances in recent years, technical and economical barriers still exist, hindering the widespread application of low temperature fuel cells. Both PEMFC and DMFC are limited by the sluggish kinetics of oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) at the cathode. The cell voltage is therefore lowered to ~0.4–0.7 V at practical current densities compared to the thermodynamic voltage of 1.23 V [2]. This drawback results in the reduced efficiency of the energy conversion in low temperature fuel cells. The slow kinetics of ORR requires a high loading of Pt at the cathode to reduce oxygen
effectively. But the high price and low reserve of Pt prohibit
the commercialization of low temperature fuel cells.

Different from the PEMFC, the DMFC is also limited by the poor
electrocatalytic activity toward methanol oxidation reaction (MOR) at the anode, which accounts for a further voltage loss of more than 0.3 V [2]. The slow kinetics of MOR is mostly due to the surface poisoning on Pt by reaction intermediates, mainly CO, formed by dehydrogenation of methanol. Endeavors to mitigate the poisoning of Pt have been focusing on the addition of co-catalysts, particularly Ru [2,3]. However, Ru is also an expensive noble metal. Further reduction of the cost and improvement of anodic catalytic performance for DMFC are still the key issues.

Besides alloying Pt with other transition metals, the addition of metal oxides is also investigated to enhance the electrocatalytic activity for MOR and ORR. Many studies have demonstrated that the addition of metal oxides to Pt results in more active electrocatalysts for both ORR and MOR. It was reported that TiO₂ enhanced both the activity and durability of Pt for the ORR [4] and MOR [5,6]. Sun et al. showed that Pt/WO₃/C was more active for ORR in sulfuric acid than Pt/C catalysts [7]. Jafri et al. demonstrated that the electrocatalytic activity of Au toward ORR can be improved by adding MnO₂ or ZnO [8]. Sasaki et al. found that niobium oxides improved the activity of Pt over ORR [9]. Xu et al. studied the positive effect of CeO₂ on Pt/C toward alcohol electrooxidation [10,11]. The enhanced activities were attributed to the high dispersion of Pt nanoparticles, electronic effect and/or bifunctional mechanism of metal oxides.

Among various metal oxides, SnO₂ is vigorously researched because of its high electronic conductivity, and stability in low temperature fuel cell working conditions [12]. Previously, we reported SnO₂ nanowires as catalyst support, which enhanced the activity for ORR and MOR [13,14]. As a co-catalyst, SnO₂ was also found to enhance the activity of Au toward ORR [15−17]. The activity of Pt over MOR [1,18], ethanol oxidation [19] and ORR [20] was also enhanced by the addition of SnO₂. This promotional role of SnO₂ in activity may be further improved if smaller sized SnO₂ can be obtained and thus higher specific surface area is offered. Atomic layer deposition (ALD), based on sequential and self-limiting surface reactions, provides a powerful method for the coating of ultra-fine metal oxides.

Our previous reports indicated that pristine nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes (CNₓ) are active for nanoparticles deposition on the surface [21,22]. Compared with carbon nanotubes (CNTs), Pt deposited on CNₓ shows higher electrocatalytic activity and durability. In this work, combining the advantage of ALD technique and the intrinsic merits of CNₓ, SnO₂ nanoparticles were deposited on CNₓ by atomic layer deposition (ALD) [23], and investigated as the co-catalyst of Pt for low temperature fuel cells.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis of CNₓ

CNₓ were directly synthesized on a commercial carbon paper (denoted as CNₓ/carbon paper) as reported previously [24]. Briefly, carbon paper sputtered with a 30 nm Al buffer layer and then a 5 nm Fe catalyst film was placed at the heating center and 3 g melamine was placed at the upstream part of the quartz tube in a horizontal furnace. Melamine acted both as the carbon and nitrogen precursors to yield CNₓ at 800 °C. The nitrogen concentration is 10.4 at. % based on the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurement [24].

2.2. SnO₂ deposition with atomic layer deposition

Polycrystalline SnO₂ was deposited on the CNₓ/carbon paper (SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper) by atomic layer deposition (ALD) as described elsewhere [25]. SnCl₄ and deionized water as precursors were introduced into the reactor in an alternating manner. Nitrogen (20 sccm) was applied as the carrier gas, and reactor was kept at the pressure of 0.4 Torr. The reaction was set at 400 °C for 50 cycles.

2.3. Pt nanoparticles deposition

Pt nanoparticles were deposited on the SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper electrode by ethylene glycol reduction method. Briefly, the SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper electrode was refluxed at 160 °C for 3 h in 50 ml ethylene glycol solution containing 0.2 mM H₂PtCl₆·6H₂O. Then the electrode was dried at 60 °C overnight in a vacuum oven. The obtained composite electrode is denoted as Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper. Pt nanoparticles were also deposited on CNₓ/carbon paper, denoted as Pt/CNx/carbon paper, as a baseline for comparison.

2.4. Physical characterizations

The morphology of CNₓ was characterized with field emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S-4800, 5 kV). The structure of CNₓ and dispersion of SnO₂ and Pt nanoparticles were investigated with transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Philips CM10, 80 kV). The energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) was taken with the above SEM at 20 kV. The Pt loading was tested with inductive coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Varian Vista-Pro).

2.5. Electrochemical characterizations

The electrochemical characterizations were conducted with an Autolab potentiostat/galvanostat (Model PGSTAT-30, Ecochemie, Brinkman Instruments). Pt wire and Ag/AgCl (3 M NaCl) was served as the counter and reference electrode respectively. All potentials in this work are referred to the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE). Cyclic voltammograms were recorded in N₂ saturated 0.5 M H₂SO₄. The electrocatalytic activity for ORR was evaluated in O₂ saturated 0.5 M H₂SO₄ with linear scanning voltammetry at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹. The catalytic activity toward MOR was investigated in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ with 1 M methanol. Since CO is an important reaction intermediate of the methanol oxidation, the CO stripping was also conducted. CO (99.5%) was purged for 30 min to achieve saturation. A monolayer of CO adsorbed on Pt surface was obtained by purging N₂ for 30 min while with the working electrode polarized at 0.05 V. Voltammetry was applied for CO strip at last. All the electrochemical characterizations were conducted at room temperature.
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Physical characterizations

Fig. 1 shows the SEM (a) and TEM (b) images of CNx grown on carbon paper. SEM image (Fig. 1a) indicates the general morphology. CNx are vertically extended from carbon fiber with high density and uniform dispersion. TEM image (Fig. 1b) shows the further information of the structure of CNx [26]. The tube is divided into hollow sections separated by graphite layers. The CNx shows a uniform distribution in tube diameters from 20 to 30 nm.

SnO2 nanoparticles are uniformly dispersed on the surface of CNx by ALD, as presented in Fig. 2a. In contrast to the clean surface of CNx shown in Fig. 1b, ultra-fine SnO2 nanoparticles around 1.5 nm can be clearly observed on the surface of CNx. EDX spectra of CNx and SnO2/CNx are compared in Fig. 2b. The strongest peak, carbon, comes from the CNx and the carbon paper substrate. Al and Fe peaks can be ascribed to the Al buffer layer and Fe catalyst film on carbon paper for the synthesis of CNx [24]. SnO2/CNx shows two additional peaks of Sn and O resulted from the deposited SnO2 nanoparticles, which is in good agreement with the TEM observation in Fig. 2a.

To compare the two substrates as catalyst supports, Pt nanoparticles are deposited on both CNx/carbon paper and SnO2/CNx/carbon paper with ethylene glycol reduction method with the same procedure. Fig. 3 exhibits the TEM images and distribution histograms based on the counting of 200 Pt nanoparticles on the two supports. In both cases, Pt nanoparticles dispersed uniformly on the support with a narrow size distribution from 1.5 to 4 nm. The mean size of Pt on Pt/CNx/carbon paper and Pt-SnO2/CNx/carbon paper is 2.5 and 2.6 nm respectively. This suggests that the SnO2 pre-deposited on CNx has no remarkable influence on the distribution of the subsequent Pt nanoparticles.

3.2. Electrochemical characterizations

It is introduced above that metal oxides can improve the catalytic activities of Pt toward ORR and MOR [4–20]. Hence, electrochemical characterizations were applied to evaluate the electrocatalytic activities of the two composite electrodes. The cyclic voltammograms of both Pt/CNx/carbon paper and

![Fig. 1 – SEM (a) and TEM (b) images of CNx synthesized on carbon paper.](image)

![Fig. 2 – (a) TEM image of SnO2 nanoparticles deposited on CNx by ALD for 50 cycles and (b) the EDX spectra of CNx and SnO2/CNx.](image)
Pt-SnO$_2$/CN$_x$/carbon paper are presented in Fig. 4. Cyclic voltammograms of typical Pt features are obtained, with H$_2$ adsorption/desorption regions and Pt oxidation/reduction peaks. The electrochemical surface area (ECSA) of Pt is calculated from the charges of H$_2$ adsorption/desorption peaks, assuming a surface density of $1.3 \times 10^{15}$ atoms/cm$^2$ for polycrystalline Pt [27].

$$\text{ECSA} = \frac{Q_H}{Q_{\text{ref}} m_{\text{Pt}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (E1)

Where $Q_H$ is the average charge of the hydrogen adsorption and desorption from the cyclic voltammogram; $Q_{\text{ref}}$ (0.21 mC cm$^{-2}$) is the charge required to oxidize a monolayer of H$_2$ on a smooth Pt surface; and $m_{\text{Pt}}$ is the Pt loading on CN$_x$/carbon paper or SnO$_2$/CN$_x$/carbon paper from ICP-OES tests, which is 0.085 and 0.077 mg respectively. Pt-SnO$_2$/CN$_x$ shows an ECSA of 72.6 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$Pt, whereas the ECSA of Pt/CNx is 53.3 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$Pt. That is, the ECSA of Pt-SnO$_2$/CN$_x$ is 1.36 times of that of Pt/CNx. The discrepancy in ECSA may be explained by the slight size difference of Pt nanoparticles and/or Pt-metal oxide interaction. The positive effect of SnO$_2$ on the ECSA of Pt is in good agreement with other reports [28,29], which attribute it to the OH removal on Pt by SnO$_2$. The OH generates on the surface of SnO$_2$ first and prohibits the OH formation on Pt surface. It is well recognized that the electrocatalysis takes place at the surface. The larger ECSA, the higher electrocatalytic activity it has. The results above suggest that pre-deposited SnO$_2$ improves the Pt utilization and results in a better catalyst than Pt/CNx/carbon paper.

The electrocatalytic activities over ORR of the two catalysts are compared in Fig. 5 by linear scanning voltammetry at a scan rate of 50 mV s$^{-1}$. The electrocatalytic activities of the two composite electrodes are summarized in Table 1. Pt-SnO$_2$/
CNx/carbon paper shows a higher peak potential than Pt/CNx/carbon paper. The peak potential of Pt-SnO2/CNx/carbon paper is 0.740 V, while that of Pt/CNx/carbon paper is 0.698 V, suggesting that Pt-SnO2/CNx/carbon paper exhibits a 42 mV positive shift of the peak potential compared with Pt/CNx/carbon paper toward ORR. At 0.85 V, the mass activity on Pt-SnO2/CNx/carbon paper is 10.836 mA mg⁻¹Pt, while it is 4.587 mA mg⁻¹Pt on Pt/CNx/carbon paper; and the specific activity on Pt-SnO2/CNx/carbon paper and Pt/CNx/carbon paper is 0.015 and 0.009 mA cm⁻²Pt, respectively. The mass activity on Pt-SnO2/CNx/carbon paper is 2.36 times of that on Pt/CNx/carbon paper; and the specific activity on Pt-SnO2/CNx/carbon paper is 1.67 times of that on Pt/CNx/carbon paper at 0.85 V. The larger specific activity, mass activity and more positive peak potential propose that Pt-SnO2/CNx/carbon paper has a higher intrinsic electrocatalytic activity than Pt/CNx/carbon paper. In other words, pre-deposited SnO2 nanoparticles can promote the catalytic activity of Pt toward ORR. The possibility of PtSn alloy catalyst can be excluded because SnO2 cannot be reduced in ethylene glycol at temperatures lower than 200 °C [30]. The XPS results by Ignaszak et al. also confirm the absence of metallic Sn after the reduction by ethylene glycol [31]. The mechanism for the enhanced electrocatalytic activity on SnO2 is open to discussion. First of all, SnO2 itself is active for ORR in acid medium. Liu et al. recently reported that tin oxide films by sputtering methods displayed a certain activity for ORR [32]. Secondly, the lateral repulsion from the OH or other oxygen species on the SnO2 surface prevents the generation of oxygen species on the adjacent Pt and so makes it a clean metallic surface for ORR. It is well recognized that the inhibition effect of OH adsorbed on Pt is a major cause of the large overpotential for ORR [33]. Thirdly, the electronic interaction between Pt and metal oxides can also improve the electrocatalytic activity of Pt, as discussed in the cases of Pt-niobium oxide [9] and Au-tin oxide [15,16]. The interaction can modify the Pt electronic structure, which is sensitive toward ORR, as shown by density functional theory calculations [34]. Finally, a bifunctional mechanism is proposed to interpret the enhanced electrocatalytic activity with SnO2. The rate-determining step of ORR is the addition of the first electron to O2 [35]. The chemisorbed O2− on SnO2 can transfer to Pt for future reduction [16]. All the aforementioned factors can contribute to the enhanced intrinsic electrocatalytic activity of Pt-SnO2/CNx/carbon paper toward ORR, in comparison with Pt/CNx/carbon paper.

CO, a critical intermediate of MOR, can poison Pt catalysts. CO stripping was conducted to compare the activity over CO electrochemical oxidation on the two electrodes, as presented in Fig. 6a. Pt/CNx/carbon paper exhibits a single sharp peak centered at 0.768 V. On the Pt-SnO2/CNx/carbon paper, the CO oxidation peak is significantly broadened, with a strong peak at 0.671 V and a small shoulder peak around 0.728 V. The CO oxidation peak potential shifts negatively 97 mV on Pt-SnO2/CNx/carbon paper compared with Pt/CNx/carbon paper. Such a negative shift of CO stripping peak is also observed by others [28,36]. It indicates that Pt-SnO2/CNx/carbon paper is more effective than Pt/CNx/carbon paper for electrooxidation of the adsorbed CO. In addition, the broad peak with a shoulder indicates that there are different active sites for CO electro-oxidation on Pt-SnO2/CNx/carbon paper electrode. It is well accepted that hydroxyl (OH) is required to oxidize the absorbed CO to CO2 [2], as presented in the following reactions.

\[
\text{Pt} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Pt} - \text{OH} + \text{H}^+ + e^- \quad (R \ 1a)
\]

\[
\text{SnO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{SnO}_2 - \text{OH} + \text{H}^+ + e^- \quad (R \ 1b)
\]

\[
\text{Pt} - \text{CO} + \text{Pt} - \text{OH} \rightarrow 2\text{Pt} + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}^+ + e^- \quad (R \ 2a)
\]

\[
\text{Pt} - \text{CO} + \text{SnO}_2 - \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{Pt} + \text{SnO}_2 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}^+ + e^- \quad (R \ 2b)
\]

OH can be formed on the surface of SnO2 (R 1b) at a much lower potential than that on Pt (R 1a) [36,37]. On Pt/CNx/carbon paper, the absorbed CO is oxidized only by consuming the OH on Pt surface (R 2a) and result in a single peak at high

---

**Table 1** — Summary of the electrocatalytic activities toward ORR and MOR on Pt-SnO2/CNx/carbon paper and Pt/CNx/carbon paper composite electrodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrodes</th>
<th>ORR activity</th>
<th>MOR activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peak potential (V)</td>
<td>Onset potential (V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specific activity</td>
<td>Specific activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V (mA/cm²Pt)</td>
<td>V (mA/mgPt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass activity @0.85</td>
<td>Mass activity @0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V (mA/cm²Pt)</td>
<td>V (mA/mgPt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/CNx/carbon paper</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>0.588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.587</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-SnO2/CNx/carbon paper</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>0.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.836</td>
<td>95.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
potential, as indicated in Fig. 6a. On Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper, on the other hand, the absorbed CO can be oxidized by either consuming the OH on Pt or SnO₂ through a bifunctional mechanism (R 2b) [38,39]. The electrooxidation of the CO absorbed on Pt adjacent to SnO₂ contributes to the main peak at a much lower potential compared with Pt/CNx/carbon paper. Whereas, the diffusion of hydroxyl on metal oxide surface is slow [38]. On Pt apart from SnO₂, CO is oxidized by combining the hydroxyl diffused from SnO₂ or formed on Pt surface, which contributes to the shoulder peak positive to the main peak. Therefore, the pre-deposited SnO₂ can promote the electrooxidation of adsorbed CO on Pt. This suggests that Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper is a better catalyst than Pt/CNx/carbon paper for MOR, which is discussed below.

The electrocatalytic activities over MOR on Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper and Pt/CNx/carbon paper are compared in Fig. 6b. In the slow linear scanning voltammograms, Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper shows a lower onset potential and a higher specific current at the same potential than Pt/CNx/carbon paper. The onset potential of MOR on Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper is 0.536 V, 52 mV more negative than 0.588 V, the onset potential on Pt/CNx/carbon paper. At 0.65 V, the mass activity on Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper is 95.7 mA/mgPt, while it is 23.2 mA/mgPt on Pt/CNx/carbon paper; and the specific activity on Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper is 0.150 mA/cm²Pt, while it is 0.051 mA/cm²Pt on Pt/CNx/carbon paper respectively (as summarized in Table 1). The mass activity on Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper is 4.12 times of that on Pt/CNx/carbon paper, and the specific activity on Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper is 2.94 times of that on Pt/CNx/carbon paper at 0.85 V. Therefore, the electrocatalytic activity toward MOR on Pt is enhanced with the pre-deposited SnO₂ nanoparticles. This can be interpreted by the well-known bifunctional mechanism similar to PtRu catalysts [2,3]. The electrooxidation of methanol starts with the dehydrogenation, leading to CO as the intermediate strongly bonded to Pt surface. As discussed above, OH is needed to oxidize CO to CO₂. Since OH can be formed on SnO₂ (R 1b described above) at a lower potential than on Pt [37,38], a negative shift of the onset potential can be observed on Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper compared with Pt/CNx/carbon paper. In addition, the strong interaction [40] between Pt and SnO₂ may also be responsible for the enhanced activity toward MOR.

4. Conclusions

CNₓ with a nitrogen concentration of 10.4 at.% have been synthesized directly on carbon paper with a high density and uniform distribution in tube diameters from 20 to 30 nm. With ALD, polycrystalline SnO₂ nanoparticles about 1.5 nm were deposited on CNₓ. Pt nanoparticles from 1.5 to 4 nm were deposited on CNₓ/carbon paper and SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper with ethylene glycol reduction method. Despite similar size of Pt nanoparticles, the ECSA of Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper is 1.36 times that of Pt/CNx/carbon paper. Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper shows a 42 mV more positive peak potential, and larger specific and mass activity than Pt/CNx/carbon paper toward ORR. Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper exhibits a 97 mV negative shift of the peak potential than Pt/CNx/carbon paper on CO stripping. Pt-SnO₂/CNx/carbon paper also shows higher activity over MOR than Pt/CNx/carbon paper in terms of 52 mV more negative onset potential, and larger specific and mass activity. In our ongoing project, we are continuing to explore ALD deposition for further controlling the size and structure of SnO₂ and other metal oxides as co-catalysts of Pt for fuel cells.
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