Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Energy Storage Materials



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ensm

Recent progress and prospects of Li-CO₂ batteries: Mechanisms, catalysts and electrolytes



Yanan Jiao^a, Jian Qin^{b,c,*}, Hirbod Maleki Kheimeh Sari^{b,c}, Dejun Li^a, Xifei Li^{a,b,c,*}, Xueliang Sun^{a,b,c,d,*}

^a Tianjin International Joint Research Centre of Surface Technology for Energy Storage Materials, College of Physics and Materials Science, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin 300387, China

^b Xi'an Key Laboratory of New Energy Materials and Devices, Institute of Advanced Electrochemical Energy & School of Materials Science and Engineering, Xi'an

University of Technology, Xi'an 710048, China

^c Xi'an Key Laboratory of New Energy Materials and Devices, Xi'an, Shaanxi, 710048, China

^d Department of Mechanical and Materials Engineering, University of Western Ontario, London, ON N6A 5B9, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Li-CO₂ battery Reaction mechanism Cathode catalyst Electrolyte

ABSTRACT

Combining balanced CO₂ emissions with energy storage technologies is an effective way to alleviate global warming caused by CO₂ emissions and meet the growing demand for energy supplies. Li-CO₂ electrochemical system has attracted much attention due to its promising energy storage and CO₂ capture strategy. However, the system is still in the early stages of development and faces huge challenges because of the many problems caused by the slow kinetics of the CO₂ electrochemical reaction. In this review, along with introducing the charge-discharge reaction mechanism of Li-CO₂ battery, the latest development of the battery's cathode electrode material and electrolyte composition and its impact on electrochemical performance are systematically addressed. A comprehensive understanding of Li-CO₂ batteries is intended to provide useful guidance for the development of high-performance and practical advanced Li-CO₂ batteries.

1. Introduction

In today's society, with the rapid growth of economy and technology, people's demand for more energy supplies progressively increases, resulting in excessive consumption of non-renewable fossil fuels, which not only caused an energy crisis, but also caused the continuous emission of greenhouse gases (mainly CO₂), accelerating global warming [1–6]. Therefore, solving these problems is a great challenge for achieving a sustainable social development [7]. In recent years, scientists have worked on developing renewable energy sources that enable energy conversion and storage, such as secondary batteries [8-10], supercapacitors [11–13] and fuel cells, [14–16] which may partially replace fossil fuels.

Since its commercialization in 1991, lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have dominated the portable electronic market and changed our lives; [17] however, its limited specific energy density cannot meet the high energy density demand of electric vehicles and large-scale grid energy storage [18-22]. Therefore, it is necessary to find an energy equipment with higher specific energy density. Many researchers have turned their attention to metal-air batteries [23-47]. Particularly for lithium-air (Liair) batteries, the theoretical specific energy density is as high as 3500 Wh kg⁻¹, which has attracted more attention from scientists [48–53]. It is well known that Li-air batteries have an open system, and this structure obtains and transfers electric energy through a conversion reaction of oxygen in the atmosphere. Despite the promising future, the development of Li-air batteries is still plagued by many problems. It is worth noting that most Li-air batteries are lithium-oxygen (Li-O2) batteries [54-60], which usually work with pure oxygen rather than air, and are therefore susceptible to air pollution, such as carbon dioxide (CO_2) and water (H₂O) [61]. In a humid environment, moisture in the air can degrade battery performance due to the following chemical reactions between H_2O and Li_2O_2 (the main discharge product of the battery): $2Li_2O_2 + 2H_2O = 4LiOH + O_2$ [62–64]. In addition, CO_2 in the air is easily soluble in organic solvents. Solvated CO2 is extremely easy to react with superoxide radicals in the air and form Li₂CO₃ at the cathode. Under the influence of CO₂, there will be continuous driving force to convert Li₂O₂ to Li₂CO₃ [65,66]. However, the stability of Li₂CO₃ is higher than that of Li₂O₂. The high decomposition potential of Li₂CO₃ reduces the reversibility and cycle life of the battery [61,67]. Therefore, scientists began to find solutions for the harmful effects of CO₂ on Liair batteries. In 2011, Takechi et al. reported Li-O2/CO2 batteries for the first time [68], and found that the introduction of CO_2 increases the discharge capacity to a great extent. Subsequent studies shifted their

* Corresponding authors. E-mail addresses: qinjian@xaut.edu.cn (J. Qin), xfli2011@hotmail.com (X. Li), xsun9@uwo.ca (X. Sun).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2020.09.014

Received 22 August 2020; Received in revised form 14 September 2020; Accepted 21 September 2020 Available online 22 September 2020 2405-8297/© 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Fig. 1. Schematic illustration of catalyst and electrolyte species in Li-CO₂ batteries.



focus to CO_2 by creating a battery system with CO_2 as the working gas [69,70]. The birth of lithium carbon dioxide (Li- CO_2) batteries can be described as killing two birds with one stone by using greenhouse gases as energy source, which not only reduces the accumulation of CO_2 , but also provides power for energy conversion and storage [71–77].

Despite the many benefits of Li-CO₂ batteries, this area of research is still in its infancy. Typically, Li-CO₂ batteries are composed of Li metal anodes, organic/solid-state electrolytes, ionic conductive separators and porous cathodes (including additives, adhesives, catalysts, etc.) [75,78,79]. The battery participates in the absorption and release of CO₂ gas through charging and discharging. It is susceptible to several pitfalls: (1) there is a large overpotential between the reduction reaction and the CO₂ precipitation reaction; (2) the generated wide band gap in Li₂CO₃ is difficult to decompose; (3) the generated C deposits on the cathode surface. These three issues are often attributed to several factors, including complex multiple interface reactions, slow reaction kinetics of carbonate products, lack of effective catalysts, volatilization and decomposition of electrolytes, and corrosion of metallic lithium cathodes. Therefore, researchers have made great efforts to solve these problems and improve the electrochemical performance of Li-CO₂ batteries.

Herein, to manifestly elaborate the latest progress about Li-CO₂ electrochemistry, the electrochemical reaction mechanism of Li-CO₂ batteries is firstly discussed. More importantly, recent advances in cathode catalyst and electrolyte design are addressed (Fig. 1). Moreover, some controversies and challenges of Li-CO₂ batteries are reviewed. It is believed that this review may be beneficial of understanding Li-CO₂ batteries systems to design practical batteries in the future.

2. Electrochemical reaction mechanism of Li-CO₂ batteries

Although the history of Li-CO₂ batteries inspired by Li-O₂ batteries is relatively short, its electrochemical mechanism has made a great progress in less than a decade. It is well known that the Li-CO₂ electrochemical reaction is very complex, involving multiple interface reactions between CO₂ gas, electrolyte, catalyst and reaction products. Elucidating the basic reaction mechanism of Li-CO₂ batteries is the basis for understanding the system and selecting suitable electrolyte and catalyst. In this section, the charging and discharging mechanism of the system is fully explained by the discharge products of Li-CO₂ batteries (Li₂CO₃, C, Li₂O, CO, Li₂C₂O₄, etc.).

2.1. $CO_2 \leftrightarrow Li_2CO_3 + C$

In 2013, Archer et al. made the first primary Li-CO₂ battery using pure CO₂ as a working gas [75], and the ectopic Fourier transform infrared spectra (FTIR) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) were used to fully prove that the main component of the discharge product is Li_2CO_3 . It involved a feasible electrochemical reactions such as Eq. (1).

$$4\text{Li} + 3\text{CO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{C} \tag{1}$$

In the following year, Chen's group [80] verified this idea using Ketjen Black (KB) as the cathode catalyst and lithium triflate (LiCF₃SO₃) in TEGDME (1: 4 in mole) as the electrolyte. Encouragingly, at room temperature, the reversible discharge specific capacity reached about 1032 mAh g^{-1} based on the weight of KB. Experiments have shown that specific electrolytes can result in CO₂ reduction reactions. They



Fig. 2. (a) XRD pattern of the original KB electrode in Li-CO₂ batteries. (b) FTIR spectra [80]. (c) Raman spectrum. (d) CO₂ evolution rate during carbonate quantification. The DEMS result of the gas escape rate of CO₂ and O₂ during batteries charging: (e) 500 mA g^{-1} , and (f) The current density after discharge to point B is 2000 mA g^{-1} [83]. (g) Schematic diagram of Li-CO₂ batteries during charging and discharging. (h) Schematic representation of in-situ SERS characterization [90].

confirmed the formation and decomposition of Li2CO3 using XRD and FTIR. As shown in Fig. 2a, the XRD pattern shows that Li₂CO₃ is the main discharge product after the first and fifth discharge and it can also be decomposed at high voltage, indicating a reversible process. The similar results can also be seen in the FTIR spectrum through the appearance and disappearance of the vibration modes around 868 cm⁻¹, 1431 cm⁻¹ and 1505 cm⁻¹ (see Fig. 2b). In addition, Surface Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy (SERS) and Electron Energy Loss Spectroscopy (EELS) were employed to further investigate the discharge product, and amorphous C was observed by using porous gold as the reference instead. Although the reaction mechanism thermodynamic and kinetic properties in Li-CO₂ batteries with porous Au and KB as cathode are similar, it still needs more studies. Subsequently, many researchers have confirmed the formation and decomposition of Li₂CO₃ and C [81,82] with advanced technologies, such as in-situ differential electrochemical mass spectroscopy (DEMS), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), in-situ gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), etc.

Based on a carbon cathode, GC-MS is used [83]. During the discharging process, by monitoring the release rate of CO_2 gas after acid treatment on the discharged cathode (Fig. 2d), the corresponding quantification of carbonates shows that 10.48 mmol of Li_2CO_3 has formed (B

Point: 0.6 mAh capacity). Compared with the theoretical amount of reduced CO_2 species calculated from the discharge capacity, the yield of fixed Li₂CO₃ (62.3%) indicates that the discharge reaction can be accurately defined as Eq. (2).

$$CO_2 + 4/3Li^+ + 4/3e^- \rightarrow 2/3Li_2CO_3 + 1/3C$$
 (2)

However, the discharge reaction path of Li-CO₂ batteries is still unclear. Therefore, based on the discharge products (Li₂CO₃ and C) and the proven disproportionation of LiO₂ in Li–O₂ batteries [84–87], Chen and his colleagues [88] reasonably assumed that certain disproportionation reactions need to be placed in Li-CO₂ batteries, involving the reaction Eqs. (3)–(6).

$$2\mathrm{CO}_2 + 2\mathrm{e}^- \to \mathrm{C}_2\mathrm{O}_4^{2-} \tag{3}$$

$$C_2 O_4^{2-} \to C O_2^{2-} + C O_2$$
 (4)

$$C_2 O_4^{2-} + C O_2^{2-} \to 2 C O_3^{2-} + C$$
 (5)

$$\mathrm{CO}_3^{2-} + 2\mathrm{Li}^+ \to \mathrm{Li}_2\mathrm{CO}_3 \tag{6}$$

Table 1

Possible reactions of Li_2CO_3 decomposition and Gibbs free energy and the reversible potential of corresponding reactions [89].

No.	Possible Reactions	E _{rev} /V _{vs.Li}
1	$Li_2CO_3 \rightarrow CO_2 + 1/2O_2 + 2Li^+ + 2e^-$	3.82
2	$Li_2CO_3 + 1/2C \rightarrow 2Li^+ + 3/2CO_2 + 2e^-$	2.80
3	$Li_2CO_3 \rightarrow CO_2 + 2Li^+ + O_2^-$	Unknown
	$O_2^- \rightarrow O_2$	Value
	$\tilde{O_2} + O_2 + \text{electrolyte} \rightarrow \text{uncertain product}$	

Eq. (3) shows the single electron reduction of CO_2 on the surface of a carbon material to $C_2O_4^{2-}$. Eqs. (4) and (5) may indicate that the unstable $C_2O_4^{2-}$ is decomposed into CO_3^{2-} and C in two steps. In Eq. (6), Li₂CO₃ was formed in crystals. Immediately after, Zhou research group [83] used in-situ SERS technology to study the discharge mechanism of Li-CO₂ batteries on the gold cathode. The experimental results were almost similar to the Chen group's assumptions. Based on the corresponding in-situ Raman spectra recorded during the discharge (Fig. 2c), the specific vibration mode in Li₂CO₃ and the D/G band in C can be clearly observed.

Although this discharge process is widely accepted, the charging mechanism is very vague. Zhou's group [89] focused on the reaction mechanism of electrochemical decomposition of Li_2CO_3 in an aprotic electrolyte environment. They used in-situ GC-MS measurement and isotopic tracing method to detect the gas components generated during the charging process of the pre-filled electrode, and confirmed that Li_2CO_3 was decomposed into CO_2 , superoxide radicals and dissolved oxygen. Indeed, the assumptions about possible reaction paths are presented in Table 1.

Afterwards, by using DEMS, the corresponding gas escape rate was studied at various current rates [83]. At a relatively low charge rate (500 mA g⁻¹, Fig. 2e), no O₂ can be detected and only CO₂ release can be observed. The relevant CO₂ mass-to-charge ratio (close to $3e^{-2}/2CO_{2}$) indicates that the charging process can be regarded as a separate decomposition of Li₂CO₃, and the relevant process can be depicted as Eq. (7). However, at higher current rates (2000 mA g⁻¹, Fig. 2f), the release of CO₂ and O₂ can be observed in the initial stage of charging, and the mass-to-charge ratios are $2e^{-2}/CO_{2}$ and $4e^{-2}/O_{2}$. Therefore, the decomposition reaction of Li₂CO₃ can be defined as Eq. (8).

$$2Li_2CO_3 \to 2CO_2 + O_2^- + 4Li^+ + 3e^-$$
(7)

$$2Li_2CO_3 \rightarrow 2CO_2 + O_2 + 4Li^+ + 4e^-$$
 (8)

This study only involved the decomposition process of Li_2CO_3 , and it did not mention the reversible decomposition of C material. Subsequently, Zhou's group used Li_2CO_3 -C electrodes to simulate the cathode of discharged Li-CO₂ batteries [90]. The decomposition of C was achieved through technical improvement (Fig. 2g). As shown in Fig. 2h, the peak intensity (1580 cm⁻¹) of the G band in C shows a decrease during charging and completely disappears at the end of charging process. In-situ SERS and GC-MS results show that the common reaction of Li_2CO_3 and C is achieved during the charging process, Eq. (9), rather than the self-decomposition of Li_2CO_3 .

$$2Li_2CO_3 + C \rightarrow 3CO_2 + 4Li^+ + 4e^-$$
 (9)

Li-CO₂ batteries are commonly recognized as four electrons reaction [90–92]. Through a series of characterization techniques, such as DEMS and Raman spectroscopy, the reversible decomposition of crystalline Li_2CO_3 and amorphous C was confirmed, and carbon neutrality was maintained [93]. Thus, reversible Li-CO₂ batteries were obtained, according to the reaction process shown in Eq. (10).

$$4\text{Li}^+ + 3\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{e}^- \leftrightarrow 2\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{C} \tag{10}$$

However, the specific reaction mechanism is still very controversial. This reversible process requires a charging voltage higher than 4 V, which can easily cause many side reactions. It is known that an effective and strong catalyst can reduce the overvoltage during charging and realize effective Li-CO₂ batteries, as described below.

2.2. $CO_2 \leftrightarrow Li_2C_2O_4$

In the previous studies, $\text{Li}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$ has been investigated as an intermediate discharge product in non-aqueous Li-CO₂ batteries [83,94]. However, some studies have found that the presence of Mo₂C can stabilize this intermediate product [88,95]. In these batteries, the Mo₂C catalyst can stably generate C₂O₄^{2–}, preventing it from further reaction to form carbonates and C (Fig. 3a), reducing the charging voltage, making reversible reactions easier to occur, and realizing reversible Li-CO₂ batteries. The discharge process of the battery can be described as Eq. (11).

$$2\mathrm{Li}^{+} + 2\mathrm{CO}_{2} + 2\mathrm{e}^{-} \to \mathrm{Li}_{2}\mathrm{C}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{4} \tag{11}$$

Chen and colleague's work [88] showed that after discharge an amorphous $Li_2C_2O_4$ -Mo₂C is generated, which is more susceptible to decomposition than Li_2CO_3 . Indeed, the reaction kinetics is accelerated, the voltage platform is reduced, and the charging reaction is more likely to occur. The reaction steps can be summarized as Eqs. (12) and (13).

$$2CO_2 + 2e^- \to C_2O_4^{2-}$$
 (12)

$$C_2O_4^{2-} + 2Li^+ + Mo_2C \rightarrow Li_2C_2O_4 - Mo_2C$$
 (13)

Subsequently, DFT calculation confirms [95] that the delocalized electrons generated by the low-valent Mo atoms through the Mo-O coupling bridge in Mo_2C can stabilize the amorphous intermediate discharge product $Li_2C_2O_4$ (Fig. 3b). The thermodynamically unstable $Li_2C_2O_4$ is easier to decompose, and the decomposition process is described as Eq. (14).

$$Li_2C_2O_4 \to 2Li^+ + 2CO_2 + 2e^-$$
 (14)

2.3.
$$CO_2 \leftrightarrow CO$$

In this field of electrochemical CO_2 reduction research, many commercial chemicals can be indirectly produced by converting CO_2 to COand then further reducing it. It is believed that direct synthesis of CO can only be accomplished through inexpensive or low-selectivity catalysts, which makes CO an important industrial raw material [96]. Therefore, the Li-CO₂ battery system that generates CO gas becomes a substantial medium for using valuable chemicals and fuels in the future.

Archer's group [75] assumed that the simplest known reaction between Li and CO_2 dominates the discharge process, as shown in Eq. (15):

$$2\mathrm{Li}^{+} + 2\mathrm{CO}_{2} + 2\mathrm{e}^{-} \to \mathrm{Li}_{2}\mathrm{CO}_{3} + \mathrm{CO}$$

$$\tag{15}$$

However, when the discharge temperature is 100 °C, the theoretical equilibrium potential for CO generation is 2.5 V by thermodynamic calculation, which is lower than the actual charging potential of 2.65 V. According to Tafel's theory, the actual discharge potential cannot exceed the theoretical equilibrium potential, which indicates that the proposed reaction is likely to be only partially correct. Recently, Wang's group [97] solved this problem. They used TEGDME electrolyte and 3D porous fractal zinc (PF-Zn) cathode material to realize Li-CO₂ batteries that can generate CO gas instead of amorphous C species. They identified the presence of CO products via gas chromatography (GC). The Li-CO₂ battery produces a CO content of 3.6% at 0.01 mA, and its Faradic efficiency (FE) at 0.1 mA gradually increases to a maximum of 67%. When the applied current is further increased, the CO produced



Fig. 3. (a) Schematic of the reactions during the discharge and charging of Mo_2C/CNT in Li-CO₂ batteries [88]. (b) Top view of the most energy-optimized structure with optimized energy [95]. (c) In-situ Raman spectrum. (d) CO FE during long-term discharge of 0.1 mA [83].

by the Li-CO₂ batteries has a lower FE, which may be attributed to the further expanded overpotential and the coverage of the solid product on the cathode surface. This work demonstrates the feasibility of exploring high-value carbon-based commodities from Li-CO₂ batteries systems. However, more research is required to combine power generation technology with the production of carbon-based value-added chemicals and fuels.

2.4. $CO_2 \leftrightarrow Li_2O + C$

Usually the reaction of Li_2CO_3 generation is at 2.5 V. Surprisingly, Zhou et al. [83] found a plateau at a lower voltage (1.8 V). Observing the in-situ Raman spectrum (Fig. 3c), it was found that a new peak gradually appeared at 520 cm⁻¹, which may be attributed to Li_2O . Combined with the first-principles calculation results, Eq. (16) is used to illustrate the new discharge plateau.

$$4\mathrm{Li}^{+} + \mathrm{CO}_{2} + 4\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2\mathrm{Li}_{2}\mathrm{O} + \mathrm{C}$$

$$\tag{16}$$

In the subsequent stage of the initial charge at a lower potential, O_2 release was observed (Fig. 3d). In addition, based on the $4e^-/O_2$ mass-to-charge ratio obtained at the corresponding stage, the elemental decomposition pathway of the obtained Li₂O can be further determined as in Eq. (17).

$$2Li_2O \rightarrow O_2 + 4Li^+ + 4e^-$$
 (17)

In a nutshell, Li-CO₂ electrochemical reaction is affected by many factors, including temperature, humidity, current density, discharge depth, working gas composition, catalyst, electrolyte composition, etc. Therefore, the detailed reaction path is still controversial and needs more research. However, with the advent of advanced characterization tools and in-situ analysis techniques, it is believed that the basic mechanism will be perceived in the near future. In the following sections, the effects of catalysts and electrolytes on Li-CO₂ batteries are explained.

3. Cathode catalyst of Li-CO₂ batteries

Cathode catalysts play a significant role in promoting the electrochemical reaction kinetics to reduce the overpotential between charge and discharge, thereby playing a key role in achieving feasible and reversible Li-CO $_2$ batteries. Compared with Li-O $_2$ batteries, Li-CO $_2$ batteries. ies have higher requirements on catalysts. Li-CO2 battery catalysts need to have better catalytic ability to reduce the reaction overpotential. It requires a larger active area to provide more catalytic active centers, and it also needs to avoid C deposition, so as to make the chemical reaction more reversible. Therefore, the development of catalysts with high catalytic activity and conductivity is crucial to the research of highperformance Li-CO₂ batteries. In the past few years, researchers have conducted extensive research on various catalysts, including carbonbased, precious metal-based, transition metal compound-based, and macromolecular compound catalysts. In this section, we systematically explain the latest progress and design strategies of various catalysts based on the type of catalytic material, and the overall impact of the chemical composition and spatial structure of catalysts on CO2 reduction and release in Li-CO₂ batteries. Then, the challenges and future development opportunities for an effective catalyst design are discussed.

3.1. Carbon-based catalyst

Carbonaceous materials have the advantages of high conductivity, large specific surface area, light weight, and adjustable surface activity sites. They can be used as conductive agents, electrode materials and catalytic carriers, and have been widely used in various fields of electrochemistry, and recently, as cathode catalysts in Li-CO₂ batteries. Moreover, commercial C materials, such as KB or conductive carbon black (Super P), have also attracted much attentions due to excellent electronic conductivity, large surface area and commercial availability [68,75,80]. Takechi et al. found that based on a KB cathode, employing ester electrolytes can only yield a small capacity (66 mAh g^{-1}) [68]. However, the combination of KB cathode and ether electrolyte in Li-CO₂ batteries can deliver a discharge capacity exceeding 1000 mAh g⁻¹, and work for 7 cycles at a current density of 30 mA g^{-1} [80]. Compared with KB cathodes, Super P cathodes exhibit lower discharge capacity in ionic liquid-based electrolytes [75], while a discharge capacity of 6062 mAh g⁻¹ can be obtained in ether electrolytes and maintain for nearly 20 cycles at a current density of 100 mA g^{-1} [90]. The above studies have found that commercial C materials can be used as cathode catalysts under the premise of using the appropriate electrolyte. Unfortunately, the inherent spatial structure and restricted CO2 catalytic activity of commercial C materials limit the battery performance. Therefore, these C materials are not ideal catalysts for Li-CO₂ batteries.

Nano-carbon materials exhibit better physical and chemical properties than commercial C materials. Carbon nanotube (CNT) is a member of the nano-carbon family, and a one-dimensional quantum material with high conductivity and porous structure. Because of its high conductivity and porous structure, it has been considered as a promising candidate for Li-CO₂ batteries cathode materials. In 2015, Zhou et al. introduced CNT into Li-CO2 batteries for the first time [98]. These batteries with CNT cathode can provide an initial discharge capacity of 8379 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 50 mA g⁻¹, and work stably in 20 cycles at 100 mA g⁻¹ while the cut-off capacity is 1000 mAh g⁻¹. However, because Li₂CO₃ with wide band gap is difficult to decompose, the overpotential during charging is still very high (4.5 V), which greatly limits the practical application of Li-CO₂ batteries. Graphene is another member of the nano-carbon family. It is a two-dimensional nanomaterial composed of carbon atoms and sp² hybrid orbital hexagonal honeycomb lattice, which has high electrochemical stability and high specific surface area. Zhou et al. in another pioneering work introduced graphene into Li-CO₂ batteries as cathode [81], which delivered high discharge capacities of up to 14774 and 7000 mAh g⁻¹ with stable cyclabilities over 20 and 10 cycles at current densities of 50 and 100 mA g⁻¹, respectively. Although graphene cathodes have satisfactory electrochemical activity and cycle capacity in $\mathrm{Li}\text{-}\mathrm{CO}_2$ batteries, great improvements must be done about their kinetic parameters to achieve high-efficiency catalysts. Guo and Wang et al. used pencil drawings on C paper as cathode catalysts [99], as shown in Fig. 4a-e. The pencil traces show a typical two-dimensional nanosheet structure, which is consist of finite-layer graphite. The stand-alone handwriting electrode exhibited a better cycle performance than the above-mentioned C materials, and maintained 45 cycles at a fixed discharge capacity of 1000 mAh g^{-1} and a current density of 200 mA g^{-1} (Fig. 4f).

In fact, defects are inevitable for C materials (i.e., pore structure, doped heteroatoms, edge defects, etc.) while also improve their electrochemical activity. Xing's group used a variety of C materials with different pore structures as cathode catalysts for Li-CO₂ batteries to reveal the effect of pore type on catalytic performance [100]. It was found that the shape, pore size and surface area of the pores are the key factors affecting their catalytic performance. The shape of the holes is the main influencing factor among these three factors. Two-dimensional and threedimensional mesopores are better than ink bottle and one-dimensional mesopores. A large pore size is essential for maintaining a large reaction interface, and it is also beneficial to resist the blocking of pores by discharge products. These findings have important implications for the further development of high-performance carbon cathode catalysts in Li-CO₂ batteries.

Meanwhile, many researchers have turned their attention to heteroatom-doped carbon materials [101-105], including anionic doping, cation doping, and co-doping of heteroatoms, which can adjust the electronic structure, change the electronic properties of catalytic materials, and form more active sites. Nitrogen-doped carbon is a material with ultra-high specific surface area [106-110] Doping pyridine nitrogen is an effective strategy to improve carbon-based catalysts for CO2 reduction reaction (CO₂RR) and evolution reaction (CO₂ER).. Wang's group reported a highly surface-wrinkled and N-doped CNT network as a cathode catalyst [111]. The addition of N (3.68 wt%) caused a large number of voids and defects on the surface of CNT, thereby releasing more CO₂RR and CO₂ER active atoms (Fig. 5a-c). The adsorption energy value of Li on CNT and N-CNT shows that N doping can enhance the ability of capturing Li atoms by CNTs (Fig. 5e), and Li atoms are probably more stable in the N-6 induced defect center after doping (Fig. 5d). N-6 atom plays an important role in accelerating the diffusion of Li and CO2 to the active catalytic sites and promoting the kinetics of CO2 fixation reaction (Fig. 5f-g). A series of characterization and DFT studies have shown that Li₂CO₃ can be reversibly deposited and decomposed on the fold wall of N-CNT. When evaluated as the positive electrode of Li-CO₂ batteries, it can provide a high discharge capacity of 9292.3 mAh g^{-1} (Fig. 5h), improved cycle performance of 45 cycles along with



Fig. 4. (a,b,c) TEM and SEM images of pencil traces. (d) XRD pattern. (e) Raman spectra. (f) Cyclic performance of Li-CO₂ batteries using pencil traces without bonding electrodes at a current of 200 mA g^{-1} and a fixed capacity of 1000 mAh g^{-1} [99].

a good rate performance (Fig. 5i). Accordingly, the team also used the floating catalyst chemical vapor deposition (FCCVD) method to produce bamboo-like N-doped CNT fibers (B-NCNT) as a metal-free catalyst [77]. This B-NCNT material is rich in doped N, with a weight percentage up to 8.93 wt%. The pentagonal (N-5) and hexagonal (N-6) nitrogen centers produced by high N doping have higher electronic conductivity. In particular, N-6 doping has a direct positive effect on promoting the kinetics process. It is responsible for enhancing the CO₂RR and CO₂ER activity in this B-NCNT cathode. The fabricated Li-CO₂ batteries show good electrochemical performance with superior full discharge capacity of 23328 mAh g⁻¹, high rate capability with a low potential gap up to 1.96 V at a current density of 1000 mA g⁻¹, stability over 360 cycles, and satisfactory flexibility.

In addition to single atom doping studies, polyatomic doping has also attracted much attention. Dai et al. reported a new B, N-doped porous graphene (BN-hG) as an efficient dual-function cathode catalyst for rechargeable Li-CO₂ batteries [112]. Doping B and N dopants in graphene sheets can increase their electrical conductivity, which is beneficial for electron transport in the electrochemical process. The calculated B and N contents in the BN-hG were 2.6 and 4.1 at%, respectively. The initial discharge capacity was as high as 16033 mAh g⁻¹, which is about 2.4 times that of hG cathode. The reversible charging capacity was 14996 mAh g $^{-1}$, with the initial coulombic efficiency of 93.5%. At the same time, Li-CO₂ batteries with BN-hG cathodes showed a decent cycle stability at a high current density of 1.0 A g^{-1} (i.e., 200 cycles), with an almost constant end-of-discharge voltage of 2.34 V. However, for the same batteries with hG cathodes, after the first 30 cycles, the endof-discharge voltage quickly dropped to 2.0 V. Doping heteroatoms in the C skeleton introduces an uneven charge distribution and positively charges nearby C atoms, which is beneficial for the CO₂RR and CO₂ER.

Metal cation-doped C materials which promote CO_2 reduction have also attracted a lot of attention. Qiao et al. demonstrated that high loading (about 5.3%) of single Co atom on graphene oxide (adjacent Co/GO) can serve as an efficient and durable electrocatalyst for Li-CO₂ batteries [113]. Experimental and theoretical simulations show that adjacent Co/GO has a unique electronic structure and a synergistic effect of Co-Co and Co-O binding. This targeted dispersion of Co atoms provides catalytically adjacent active sites, thereby achieving strong adsorption and reversible decomposition of Li₂CO₃ discharge products. Adjacent Co/GO showed a very high sustained discharge capacity of 17358 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 100 mA g⁻¹ over 100 cycles.

It has been previously demonstrated that N-doped and metal iondoped C materials can improve the CO₂ reduction and release activity to varying degrees. Heterogeneous co-doped C materials can not only provide more active sites, but also produce anion-cation synergistic catalysis. He et al. reported a Co-N-doped CNT (Co-N-CNT) material for Li-CO₂ cathode catalyst [74]. The Co and N contents in the CNT were 0.42 at% and 2.54 at%, respectively. The Co-N-CNT cathode showed lower polarization during charge/discharge. Co atoms are considered to be important for enhancing the catalytic activity of Li₂CO₃ decomposition and CO $_2$ release. This Li-CO $_2$ battery displayed a high capacity of 6042 mAh g^{-1} at a current density of 200 mA g^{-1} and sustained good performance for 92 cycles at a high current density of 400 mA g⁻¹. Indeed, as a comparison, N-CNT shows inferior reversibility and larger overpotential. Heteroatom-doped materials are more effective in activation of carbon atoms, which triggers more defects and reaction sites, and improves the catalytic activity of Li-CO₂ batteries.

Heteroatom-doped C materials can improve the catalytic activity of carbon-based cathodes, but the overpotential is still high at high current densities, which limits the practical development of Li-CO_2 batteries. Carbon quantum dots (CQD) have attracted widespread attention as effective electrochemical catalysts with effective edge defects and quantum confinement. However, the electrocatalytic activity of CQD is severely limited due to the poor conductivity of the original CQD.



Fig. 5. (a,b) HRTEM images of N-doped CNTs (c) STEM image and corresponding element mappings. Top view of the optimized energetically most favorable structures of (d) Li, and (f) CO_2 adsorbed on N-6 site of pyridinic and graphitic N-doped CNT (002) surface. The brown, grey, green, and red balls represent C, N, Li, and O, respectively. Charge density difference of (e) Li and (g) CO_2 adsorbed on N-6 site of pyridinic and graphitic N-doped CNT (002) surface, respectively. The blue and pink regions represent the charge accumulation and charge loss, respectively. (h) Galvanostatic discharge/charge profiles of N-CNTs@Ti and CNT powder under CO_2 at a current density of 50 mA g⁻¹. (i) Rate capabilities of the Li-CO₂ batteries with a N-CNTs@Ti cathode and a CNT powder cathode, respectively [111]. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Therefore, it is an appealing option to coat defect-rich CQD on a suitable conductive substrate to enhance its electrocatalytic activity [114-116]. Dai et al. [117] prepared the first COD/hG nanosheet composite catalyst by π - π stacking. COD/hG-0.3 (weight ratio of CODs:hG = 0.3:1 wt%) material showed that CQDs with size of 5-10 nm were uniformly dispersed on plane of the porous graphene sheet (Fig. 6a-b). Compared with pure hG, the defects of CQD/hG-0.3 catalyst increased (Fig. 6c), and the significant increase in C-O peak composition indicated that the oxygen-enriched CQD was successfully anchored on the hG nanosheet (Fig. 6d-e). The effective formation and decomposition of Li₂CO₃ during the charge/discharge process is attributed to the synergy between the CQD and hG components (Fig. 6f-h). When the current density is 0.5 A g^{-1} , the discharge capacity is 12300 mAh g^{-1} (Fig. 6i). At a current density of 0.1 A g⁻¹, the overpotential is as low as 1.02 V (Fig. 6j). At a current density of 1 A g^{-1} , the cycle stability is as high as 235 cycles (Fig. 6k), and the cut-off capacity is 500 mAh g^{-1} .

In this section, the effects of different carbon-based catalytic materials, such as commercial carbon materials, one-dimensional carbon tubes and two-dimensional graphene, on the Li-CO_2 electrochemical performance were summarized. Indeed, the design of various defects, such as

voids, edges and doped heteroatoms to enhance the performance of the C materials were discussed. Accordingly, it can be concluded that although C materials have a low cost, their performance cannot meet the requirements of practical Li-CO₂ batteries, so that more efficient and practical catalytic materials need to be studied to address all the issues.

3.2. Noble metal-based catalyst

Precious metal catalysts are highly valued for their excellent activity, selectivity, stability, and are widely used in environmental protection and new energy fields [118,119]. Precious metal catalysts have many applications in Li-air, Li-O₂ and Li-CO₂ batteries [120–125]. Ru is a precious metal catalyst with wide application prospects. It has an excellent catalytic activity and is a promising catalyst that can promote the reversible reaction of CO₂. Zhou et al. first used Ru nanoparticles as Li-CO₂ battery catalysts [90]. They used a solvothermal method to deposit Ru nanoparticles (particle size estimated to be about 5-10 nm) on Super P. The Ru content in Ru@Super P was estimated to be 15 wt%, and the obtained material showed a better electrochemical performance. It can deliver a discharge capacity of 8229 mAh g^{-1} with a



Fig. 6. (a) TEM image of CQD/hG-0.3 catalyst. (b) HRTEM of CQDs (inset, 2 nm scale). (c) Raman spectrum, (d) XPS spectrum, and (e) High resolution XPS spectra of C 1D for CQDs, hG and CQD/hG-0.3 catalysts. (f) XRD pattern, (g) Raman spectrum, (h) EIS spectrum, (i) Complete discharge curve, and (j) The first discharge-charge curve of Li-CO₂ battery based on hG and CQD/hG-0.3 catalyst. (k) Long cycle stability [117].

coulombic efficiency of 86.2% in the first cycle of the full dischargecharge test. Indeed, it can cycle over 70 times with a cut-off capacity of 1000 mAh g⁻¹ at current densities of 100, 200 and 300 mA g⁻¹. In situ SERS and GC-MS results show that Ru nanoparticles can significantly promote the reaction between Li₂CO₃ and C during the charging, and reduce the charging voltage from 4.5 V to 3.8 V. Chen et al. developed monodisperse Ru nanoparticles (with an average particle size of 2 nm) functionalized graphene nanosheets as an effective cathode catalyst [79]. It could effectively promote the decomposition of Li₂CO₃ at a charge potential of 4.02V, thereby achieving relatively high coulombic efficiency and good cycle stability.

Zhou et al. designed a highly co-dispersed ruthenium-copper nanoparticle on graphene (Ru-Cu-G) as an effective air cathode for Li-CO₂ batteries [126]. The two metals are highly monodisperse on graphene (Fig. 7a-c). Selected area electron diffraction (SAED) mode shows typical polycrystalline diffraction rings of Ru and Cu (Fig. 7d). Compared with Ru–G and Cu–G, the structural changes of Ru-Cu–G before and after calcination are shown in Fig. 7e. The synergy between Ru and Cu makes the structure stable for a long time and results in a better electrochemical performance. With a rate of 200 mA g⁻¹ and a fixed capacity of 1000 mAh g⁻¹, Ru-Cu-G can achieve a very stable cycling in 100 cycles and has a low overpotential of less than 0.88 V. Even at a high current density of 400 mA g⁻¹, the discharge capacity of Ru–Cu–G can still provide 13,590 mAh g⁻¹, with a coulombic efficiency of 96.0% and cycle efficiency of 61.2% under the cutoff voltage of 4 V. More importantly, after long-term cycling, Ru-Cu-G still maintains a 3D porous structure without accumulated discharge products, which is essential for the stable operation of Li-CO₂ batteries.

Wang et al. used a simple replacement reaction method to synthesize three-dimensional nickel foam loaded Ru (Ru/Ni) catalyst as a binder free cathode for Li-CO₂ batteries [127]. The highly dispersed Ru nanosheets in the Ru/Ni cathode effectively promote decomposition of the discharge product Li₂CO₃, thereby reducing the charge overpotential. It exhibits a discharge capacity of 9502 mAh g⁻¹ and a coulombic efficiency of 95.4% at a current density of 100 mA g⁻¹, which is much better than those of the KB/Ni electrode (5840 mAh g⁻¹ with an inferior coulombic efficiency of 13.8%). Meanwhile, the Ru/Ni catalytic cathode also shows a good rate performance (3177 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 500 mA g⁻¹). Moreover, when operated at the limited capacity of 1000 mAh g⁻¹, the battery can be stably discharged-charged for over 100 cycles while the corresponding charge potential is as low as 4.1 V.

Hu et al. reported ultra-fine Ru nanoparticles on activated carbon nanofibers (ACNFs) as an effective cathode for Li-CO₂ battery [128]. ACNF's porous and defect-rich structure caused high dispersion of Ru nanoparticles with small and narrow size distribution (4.1 ± 0.9 nm) (Fig. 7f). SEM and TEM images show that Ru nanoparticles are evenly



Fig. 7. Ru-Cu-G: (a) SEM, (b) TEM, (c) HRTEM images and (d) SAED mode. (e) Schematic representation of changes in Cu–G, Ru–G, and Ru–Cu–G before and after calcination [126]. (f) Schematic diagram of Ru nanoparticle formation on ACNF by transient thermal shock synthesis. (g) SEM images, (h) TEM images, (i) HRTEM image and (j) SAED mode of Ru/ACNFs, which indicates the polycrystalline structure of ruthenium nanoparticles. (k) Discharge and charge terminal voltage during cycling, (l) Charge/discharge curves at different current densities, (m) The final discharge voltage at different current densities [128]. (n) TEM image, high-resolution TEM image, high-angle annular dark-field scanning TEM image, element mapping and schematic illustration of the Ru/ACNF cathode. (o) Cycle performance of Li-CO₂ battery with Ir NSs-CNFs and CNFs as cathodes at a current density of 500 mA g⁻¹ and a limited capacity of 1000 mAh g⁻¹ [94].

distributed on ACNFs (Fig. 7g-h). High-resolution TEM and SAED images show that hexagonal structured Ru has high crystallinity (Fig. 7i-j). Ru has a higher loading on/in the ACNF substrate (content 18.1 wt%). The batteries show good electrochemical performance, with an initial discharge capacity of 11495 mAh g⁻¹, a reversible charge capacity of 10715 mAh g⁻¹, and an initial energy efficiency of 93.2%. After 50 cycles at 0.1 A g⁻¹, the electrode showed a low overpotential of 1.43 V (Fig. 7k). Even at current densities of 0.8 and 1.0 A g⁻¹, low overpotentials of 1.79 and 1.81 V can be achieved (Fig. 7l). Meanwhile, Li-CO₂ batteries with Ru/ACNF cathodes are highly reversible. After cycling under high current density, when the current density decreased to 0.1 A g^{-1} , the discharge terminal voltage returned to 2.77 V (Fig. 7m).

The above-mentioned study shows that Ru nanoparticles can promote the reaction between Li_2CO_3 and C, and effectively reduce the overpotential between CO_2RR and CO_2ER . Liu et al. demonstrated that RuO_2 has also an excellent catalytic ability for Li-CO₂ batteries [129]. They used RuO_2 decorated CNTs as cathode materials. CNT@RuO₂ composite materials can not only deliver a high specific capacity, but also provide a lower charging voltage (3.9 V). With the CNT@RuO₂ cathode, the coulombic efficiency remained around 100% until the 15th cycle. Additionally, the morphology of the discharge product was needle-like, and Li_2CO_3 did not seem to grow along the surface of the $\text{CNT}@\text{RuO}_2$ composite. The battery can maintain 55 cycles, and the charging voltage of the initial 30 cycles can be fully controlled below 4.0 V. Nonetheless, the mechanism of the discharge reaction in the $\text{CNT}@\text{RuO}_2$ cathode is not clear, and further in-situ characterization is needed for more investigations. Recently, Wang et al. designed RuP_2 nanoparticles highly dispersed on N, P double doped C film (RuP_2 -NPCF) for Li-CO₂ battery [130]. The battery can provide a reversible discharge capacity of 11951 mAh g⁻¹ and achieve a better cycle capability of more than 200 cycles with a low overpotential (<1.3 V) at a fixed capacity of 1000 mAh g⁻¹.

In addition to Ru-based catalysts, metal Ir-based catalysts have attracted widespread attention in Li-O2 batteries and electrocatalysis [131]. Metal Ir-based materials have been shown to effectively promote oxygen reduction reactions (ORR) and oxygen release reactions (OER) [132–134]. A study on Li-air batteries has proven that Ir/B₄C materials can decompose Li2CO3 at a voltage below 4.37 V and the efficiency can reach 100% [135]. Zhou et al. successfully fabricated Ir/CNFs as the air cathode of Li-CO₂ battery by electrostatic spinning [136]. For the first time, the precious metal Ir was applied for Li-CO₂ battery. Benefiting from the high catalytic activity of Ir nanoparticles and the unique porous network of Ir/CNFs, the Li-CO₂ battery showed a very high discharge capacity of 21528 mAh g⁻¹ and a coulombic efficiency of 93.1% in the first full discharge test. The battery also had an excellent cycle stability and a stable discharge and charging platform. Ectopic characterization showed that Ir/CNFs affects the morphology of the discharge products by enhancing them to granular instead of polymer, which is beneficial for reducing the overpotential. The independent Ir/CNF film has a relatively high energy density (11.54 mAh cm⁻¹) and a comparatively long cycle life (over 1200 hours). Guo et al. manufactured a class of high-density wrinkled Ir nanosheets covering the surface of N-doped, highly porous carbon nanofibers (Ir NSs-CNFs) as an effective cathode for improving the performance of Li-CO₂ batteries [94]. The ultra-thin (<1 nm) and wrinkled Ir nanoplatelets uniformly and completely cover the surface of the porous CNF (Fig. 7n), which may effectively protect the C matrix from decay, because the free radicals generated during the charging/discharging process will corrode the C material and form different by-products. The two-dimensionally wrinkled and ultra-thin Ir nanosheets can expose more available active sites in electrochemical reactions. This unique structure is essential for boosting the capacity and stability of Li-CO₂ battery. The battery can be stably discharged at least 400 times, with a current density of 500 mA g⁻¹ and a cut-off capacity of 1000 mAh g^{-1} (Fig. 7o). Meanwhile, the cathode can effectively reduce the charge overpotential by showing a charge termination voltage below 3.8 V at 100 mA g⁻¹. Evidently, metal Ir can improve the CO₂RR and CO2ER of Li-CO2 battery. However, participation of C in the reaction of Li₂CO₃ needs further research.

Based on Ir's excellent catalytic activity, Xie et al. introduced IrO₂ to Li-CO₂ batteries [73]. They prepared ultrafine IrO₂ modified thinlayer δ -MnO₂ catalyst (IrO₂/MnO₂), which was directly grown on carbon cloth (CC). The catalytic activity of IrO₂/MnO₂ can reversibly deposit/remove a thin layer of amorphous Li₂CO₃ on the surface of IrO₂/MnO₂ nanoflakes. As a result, the Li-CO₂ battery shows a good electrochemical performance by providing a high capacity of 6604 mAh g⁻¹ at 100 mA g⁻¹. Under the capacity limit of 1000 mAh g⁻¹, it can maintain a stable cycle of more than 300 cycles at 400 mA g⁻¹. After 200 cycles, the high capacity of 1070 mAh g⁻¹ was still maintained while the current density was 800 mA g⁻¹. Nonetheless, the presence of any interactions between IrO₂/MnO₂ and the formation mechanism of amorphous discharge products is still unclear.

According to this section, Ru and Ir as two precious metal catalysts can effectively reduce the charge overpotential, preventing the electrolyte from decomposing within the working potential range, and improving the cycle performance and rate performance of Li-CO_2 battery. However, because the precious metals are rare and costly, their industrial and large-scale production is difficult to achieve, which limits their practical application in Li-CO₂ batteries to a certain extent.

3.3. Transition metal compound-based catalysts

Although precious metal catalysts have excellent catalytic activity and can effectively promote CO₂ reduction and precipitation reactions, their high cost as energy materials contradicts their practical application. Therefore, the development of cheap and abundant non-precious metal catalysts is expected to be an effective strategy to solve these problems [137–142]. Due to the multivalent nature of transition metal and its good activity in the field of electrocatalysis, many researchers have investigated its application in Li-CO₂ batteries [143-147]. Transition metal oxides (TMOs) with moderately high-valent metal atoms are considered as an economical and effective option due to their low cost and rich content [148]. According to previous studies, NiO can be used to decompose carbonate/carboxylate species in Li-O2 batteries to reduce the accumulation of byproducts, while considered to be effective in catalytic decomposition of Li₂CO₃ as well [149,150]. Zhou et al. introduced NiO to Li-CO₂ batteries for the first time [151]. The NiO-CNT composite is composed of plentiful interconnected CNTs and hexagonal polycrystalline NiO nanosheets adhered thereto (Fig. 8a). Li-CO₂ batteries with this material as cathode show an excellent electrochemical performance due to its special structure and high specific surface area. NiO-CNT composites have enhanced Li2CO3 decomposition activity (Fig. 8b). It delivers a discharge capacity of 9000 mAh g^{-1} with a high coulombic efficiency of 97.8% in the first cycle at a current density of 100 mA g⁻¹. The NiO-CNT cathode shows a stable 2.7 V discharge platform and a 4.1 V low-charge platform. In the 5th cycle, the battery shows a capacity of 6437 mAh g⁻¹ with a coulombic efficiency of 91.7% (Fig. 8c), indicating that Li₂CO₃ can be effectively decomposed (Fig. 8d). Moreover, Li et al. [152] proposed a simple strategy for preparing porous NiO nanofibers (NiO NFs) by combining electrospinning technology and heating method, and successfully used it as a cathode catalyst for Li-CO₂ batteries. Experimental results combined with DFT calculation show that the presence of porous NiO NFs increases the contact area between the discharge product and the electrode, thereby maximizing the use of catalytic active sites, promoting the decomposition of Li₂CO₃, and also promotes diffusion of electrons and reactants. Meanwhile, XRD analysis shows that the NiO catalyst is stable during cycling. Therefore, non-noble metal NiO-based catalysts have far-reaching significance in improving the electrochemical performance of the batterv.

In addition to nickel-based oxides, as the widely studied TMO series, Mn-based oxides with various valence states and structural tunability have attracted more and more attention due to their catalytic activities in ORR, OER and CO₂RR, 153,154] as well as their huge potential as cathode catalysts for rechargeable Li-CO₂ batteries. Liu et al. prepared porous Mn₂O₃ (P-Mn₂O₃) as a low-cost cathode catalyst for Li-CO₂ battery by the sol-gel method [155]. The highly porous structure of P-Mn₂O₃ gives it interconnected channels for mass transfer, good gas/liquid/solid reaction interfaces, and proper voids for containing discharge products. The prepared P-Mn₂O₃ has a long-lasting high catalytic activity for CO₂ electrochemical redox in Li-CO₂ batteries with the duration of more than 2000 h at a current density of 50 mA g^{-1} , while the polarization intensity is only 1.4 V. SEM, XRD, FTIR, Raman spectroscopy, and XPS demonstrate the reversible formation and decomposition of Li₂CO₃/C species during battery cycling, rather than the separate decomposition of Li₂CO₃ (Fig. 8e-f). In addition, Raman spectroscopy and XPS clarified that the C product obtained on the discharged Mn₂O₃ cathode shows a highly graphitized characteristics, which is different from the results reported in other studies [156–158]. Peng et al. prepared a new type of Co-doped a-MnO₂ nanowires on CC through a simple hydrothermal reaction [158]. Li-CO $_2$ battery using optimized $Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.8}O_2/CC$ cathode electrodes exhibited a high capacity (8160 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 100 mA g⁻¹), low overpotential (≈ 0.73



Fig. 8. (a) SEM image, TEM image and SAED pattern of NiO-CNT. (b) CV curve. (c) The discharge curve of NiO-CNT cathode in Li-CO₂ batteries at a current density of 50 mA g⁻¹. (d) FTIR spectrum of a discharged and charged NiO-CNT cathode [151]. (e) C 1s XPS spectra of the KB-free P-Mn₂O₃ electrode. (f) Raman spectra of the P-Mn₂O₃/KB electrode [155]. (g) Theoretical interpretation, (h) DOS and (i) ELF images of Co-doped α -MnO₂ (interstitial III position) [158].

V) and long cycle life (over 500 cycles at a current density of 100 mA g⁻¹). The effects of co-doped sites on the electrochemical performance of α -MnO₂ were studied by first-principle calculations, including co-doped occupied sites (Fig. 8g), state density (DOS), and electron local function (ELF). Co-doping can significantly reduce Fermi energy. In particular, the co-gap site (Gap III) considerably shortened the band gap of α -MnO₂ to form an impurity band (Fig. 8i), and then enhanced the conductivity of α -MnO₂ with a narrow energy band gap. In Co-doped MnO₂, the electron pairs of Mn significantly increased (Fig. 8h), which indicates that the valence bond characteristics of Mn-O become weaker, while the ionic characteristics of Mn-O become stronger, resulting in an increase in electrical conductivity. Based on in-situ experimental observations and DFT calculations, they confirmed that the superior electrochemical performance is primarily related to high conductivity, enhanced BET specific surface area, and unique co-interstitial doping, which may be beneficial for CO₂ diffusion and the reversibility of Li₂CO₃ products.

Except for the above-mentioned two oxides, anatase-type TiO_2 has also been shown to have bifunctional catalytic activity. It can be used as both a CO_2 trapping agent [159] and an electrochemical reducing agent [160]. The anatase-type TiO_2 has high CO_2 binding energy and excellent CO_2 adsorption capacity [161]. Because of these advantages, Manthiram's group turned their attention to anatase TiO_2 as a cathode catalyst for Li-CO₂ batteries [162]. They prepared anatase-type titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO_2 -NPs)/CNT/CNF composites by a simple hydrolysis method. Anatase TiO_2 -NPs are precious metal-free catalysts that can attach, reduce, and release CO₂ during cycling. The batteries achieve a vastly improved cycling stability, showing no decrease in the discharge voltage (2.8 V) and only a marginal increase in the charge voltage (4.3 V - 4.4 V) over 20 discharge/charge cycles. However, the battery with the bare CNT/CNF cathode fails within 10 cycles.

Transition metal carbides have also attracted widespread attention. They are intermetallic filling compounds formed by interstitial melting of C atoms into the transition metal lattice. The study found that transition metal carbides have catalytic effects in many fields, and their surface properties and catalytic activities are similar to those of noble metals such as Pt. They are called "quasi-platinum catalysts". Mo₂C has been widely studied for its excellent catalytic properties. It is similar to metals in Group VIII and has attracted broad attention for methane conversion [163], water-gas shift reaction [164], hydrogen evolution reaction [165,166], and CO₂RR [167]. Compared with Mo, high activity of Mo₂C is derived from the electronic properties introduced by C, which affects the binding energy of Mo-C and the reactivity of the adsorbate. As a catalyst for Li-O₂ battery, Mo₂C has high electrical efficiency and reversibility due to its partially oxidized surface [168]. In view of



Fig. 9. (a) Preparation of flexible fibrous Li-CO₂ batteries using CC@Mo₂C NPs independent membrane as cathode. (b) Comparison of CC@Mo₂C NP and CNT cloth electrodes with constant current discharge/charge curves in CO₂ with an initial capacity of 100 μ Ah cm⁻². (c) Median voltage of discharge/charge platform for CC@Mo₂C NPs electrodes [95]. (d) Charge distribution calculated by density functional theory at the interface of ZnS QDs and N-rGO. (e) HR-TEM image of ZnS QDs/N-rGO (scale bar = 10 nm; scale bar illustration = 2 nm). (f) CO₂RR catalytic activity and (g) Tafel curves in 1.0 m LiTFSI/TEGDME with N-rGO, ZnS NST/N-rGO and ZnS QDs/N-rGO as catalysts. (h) Mean overpotential at 200 mA g⁻¹ and 400 mA g⁻¹. (i) Discharge/charge voltage curve of ZnS QDs/N-rGO cathode. (j) Long-term cycling performance of Li-CO₂ batteries [173].

the Mo₂C excellent catalytic performance, Chen et al. prepared Mo₂C through a carbothermal reduction process and used it as a catalyst for Li-CO₂ batteries [88]. With its 3D network of uniformly dispersed Mo₂C nanoparticles as the catalytic site and CNTs as the conductive matrix, this cathode material shows a high round-trip efficiency of 77% and a good cycling performance. Through characterizations of the pure CNT and prepared Mo₂C/CNT, it is indicated that reducing CO₂ in the presence of Mo₂C follows a different approach, which can avoid the formation of insulating Li₂CO₃, thereby reducing the potential platform and improving Li-CO₂ battery round-trip efficiency. Mo₂C can stabilize the discharge product $Li_2C_2O_4$, which has been explained in the mechanism section. Based on these inspirations, Wang et al. [95] demonstrated a quasi-solid flexible fibrous Li-CO2 battery with low overpotential and high energy efficiency by using ultrafine Mo₂C nanoparticles anchored on a CNT cloth free-standing hybrid film as a cathode (Fig. 9a). Due to the synergistic effect of the CNT substrate and Mo₂C catalyst, it can achieve a low charge potential below 3.4 V (Fig. 9b), about 80% energy efficiency (Fig. 9c), and reversibly discharge and charge for 40 cycles. Experimental results and theoretical simulations show that the intermediate discharge product $\text{Li}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$, which is stabilized by coordination electron transfer of Mo₂C, is responsible for reducing the overpotential. Indeed, transition metal carbides with mid-valent metal atoms seem to be more cost-effective. It can stabilize CO_2RR in the two-electron transfer stage and prevent the subsequent disproportionation process from transferring to four electrons, which significantly reduces the overpotential of the current Li-CO₂ battery system.

In addition to transition metal oxides and carbides, transition metal sulfides have also attracted a lot of attention. MoS_2 has been recognized as an effective catalyst for enhancing redox kinetics in various battery chemistry [169–171]. Salehi-Khojin et al. employed MoS_2 in Li-CO₂ batteries for the first time [93], and used a single MoS_2 nanosheet as a cathode catalyst. The battery shows reversible cycling at 500 mAh g⁻¹ for 500 cycles, as well as a high charge/discharge capacity of 60000 mAh g⁻¹ at the first cycle. However, the overpotential is relatively high (>4 V) during charging, and the proposed catalytic mechanism is mainly supported by computational research. Subsequently, Manthhiram et al. pre-

pared MoS₂ nanosheet (MoS₂-NS)/multi-walled CNT/single-walled CNT nanocomposites through a simple hydrothermal reaction [172]. The Li-CO₂ battery prepared using free-standing MoS₂-NS@MWNT/SWNT composite membrane as a CO₂ gas diffusion cathode can achieve 50 discharge/charge cycles with low overpotential, in which the discharge voltage is greater than 2.75 V and the charge voltage is less than 3.75 V. Apart from improving cycle stability and efficiency, the MoS₂ catalyst also increased the discharge capacity by about 50%. A series of characterization techniques supported the efficiency of catalytic mechanism for the formation and decomposition of Li₂CO₃ on the surface of MoS₂-NS Eq. (18)–(21). Indeed, reversible formation and decomposition of Li₂CO₃ and C were achieved.

$$4\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{e}^- + 2\text{MoS}_2 \rightarrow 2\left[\text{C}_2\text{O}_4^{2-} - \text{Mo}^{6+}\text{S}_2^{4-}\right]$$
(18)

$$2[C_2O_4^{2-} - Mo^{6+}S_2^{4-}] \rightarrow CO_2^{2-} - Mo^{6+}S_2^{4-} + C_2O_4^{2-} - Mo^{6+}S_2^{4-} + CO_2$$
(19)

$$CO_2^{2-} - Mo^{6+}S_2^{4-} + C_2O_4^{2-} - Mo^{6+}S_2^{4-} \rightarrow 2[C_2O_4^{2-} - Mo^{6+}S_2^{4-}] + C$$
(20)

$$4\text{Li}^{+} + 2\left[\text{C}_{2}\text{O}_{4}^{2-} - \text{Mo}^{6+}\text{S}_{2}^{4-}\right] \rightarrow 2\text{Li}_{2}\text{CO}_{3} + \text{MoS}_{2}$$
(21)

Almost at the same time, Wei et al. designed and synthesized a ZnS quantum dot/nitrogen-doped reduced graphene oxide (ZnS QDs/N-rGO) heterostructure as a gas electrode for Li-CO₂ battery [173]. ZnS QDs were uniformly adhered to the surface of the edge-wrinkled N-rGO with high coverage (Fig. 9e), leading to a strong interfacial interaction. The charge density at the ZnS QDs/N-rGO interface shows that electrons migrate from N-rGO to ZnS QDs (Fig. 9d). As shown in Fig. 9f-g, it was further confirmed that due to the strong interfacial interaction, ZnS QDs/N-rGO shows the largest initial potential among the samples along with a superior electron transfer efficiency for CO₂RR. Compared with ZnS NST/N-rGO cathodes and N-rGO cathodes, Li-CO2 battery with ZnS QDs/N-rGO cathodes have a lower average overpotential, e,g., at a current density of 200 mA g^{-1} the average overpotential is 1.21 V (Fig. 9h). ZnS QDs/N-rGO cathodes show a small polarization, high round-trip efficiency and good cycling performance in Li-CO₂ batteries. Even at a constant current density of 400 mA g^{-1} , they can operate stably for more than 190 cycles, with a limited capacity of 1000 mA g^{-1} (Fig. 9i-j). The interface interaction has a positive effect on promoting the catalytic activity of Li-CO₂ batteries and controlling discharge products, and provides a new perspective for the design of rechargeable Li-CO₂ battery catalysts.

3.4. MOF-COF-MPcs derivative catalyst

Metal-organic framework (MOF) is a porous material with rich structural versatility and functional tunability, and has been extensively studied in terms of CO2 capture, separation, and catalytic conversion into useful compounds [174-177]. If the CO₂ captured in the pores of the MOF can be used for power supply, the environmental problems of C emissions can be mitigated, which is a highly desirable strategy [178]. Recently, Wang's group has identified the potential of MOF as a porous catalyst in Li-CO₂ batteries for the first time [179], owing to its high CO2 capture capacity and Li2CO3 decomposition of monodisperse active metal sites. They used six different MOF materials (Mn2 (dobdc), Co2 (dobdc), Ni2 (dobdc), Mn (bdc), Fe (bdc) and Cu (bdc)) to screen out a metal center system with the ability to charge the Li-CO₂ system. The study found that Mn₂ (dobdc) materials exhibit a lower charge potential, and it is presumed that Mn (II) centers may play a positive role in activating Li₂CO₃ after charging. Mn₂ (dobdc) reaches a high discharge capacity of 18022 mAh g⁻¹, and the charge potential is as low as 3.96 V at 50 mA g^{-1} . Mn (HCOO)₂ has smaller pores and moderate isothermal heat of CO_2 adsorption relative to Mn_2 (dobdc). With a limited capacity of 1000 mAh g^{-1} , it can maintain a low charge potential of 4.02 V

for more than 50 cycles even at a high current density of 200 mA g^{-1} . It was found that Mn (II) centers can effectively catalyze the decomposition of discharge products. However, the insulation of MOF makes it challenging to further improve the rate performance and cycle life of the battery. They believe that the design of more powerful MOF-based CO₂ cathodes should consider the following three aspects: dispersed catalytic materials, fast electron transport and strong interconnection networks. The first two points indicate the activity of cathode in decomposition of Li₂CO₃, thereby determining the voltage hysteresis of the batteries and their influence will be more prominent at high current densities. The last point ensures the stability of the cathode when subjected to repeated deposition and decomposition of discharge products. Following the above principle, the research group prepared ultrafine MnO nanoparticles dispersed in interconnected N-doped 3D carbon framework/graphene composite (MnO@NC-G) (Fig. 10a) as cathode material for Li-CO₂ battery [91]. The octahedral MOF-derived MnO nanoparticles (in the range of 5 to 10 nm) were wrapped by ultra-thin graphene sheets (Fig. 10b-c). Owing to this rugged 3D structure, fast electronic transmission and mass diffusion were achieved. The MnO@NC-G cathode can achieve a low ΔV of 0.88 V at 50 mA g^{-1} (Fig. 10d), a high rate capability of up to 1 A g^{-1} , and a long cycle of more than 200 cycles under the action of 1000 mAh g⁻¹. It can also provide a maximum discharge capacity of 25021 mAh g^{-1} in the range of 2.0-4.5 V at 50 mA g^{-1} (Fig. 10e), and 10 reversible cycles at 200 mA g⁻¹ with capacity limit of 5000 mAh g⁻¹. After 200 cycles, the reassembled battery can be recycled for 176 cycles (Fig. 10f). The experimental results show that the cycle life of the battery can be further extended by the improvement of other components, especially the protection of Li-metal anodes.

Covalent organic framework (COF) is a new type of porous crystalline material [180-182]. The material's strong covalent bonds and easy-to-code features give it high stability, making it potentially useful as a light-emitting, catalytic, and energy storage material [183–185]. The ordered porosity in COF provides a tailored one-dimensional channel for gas storage and separation [186]. Studies have shown that COF has a catalytic effect on CO_2 . Meng et al. used COF as a porous cathode catalyst in Li-CO₂ batteries for the first time [187]. They successfully designed and manufactured graphene@COF, which is a graphene with a thin and uniform imine COF loading that can enrich and limit CO₂. The discharge voltage increases by a higher local CO₂ concentration, which is predicted by the Nernst equation and achieved by CO_2 nano-enrichment. In addition, the uniform Li-ion deposition guided by graphene@COF nano-constrained CO2 can produce smaller Li2CO3 particles, which leads to the easy decomposition of Li₂CO₃ and reduces the charging voltage. The graphene@COF cathode with a C content of 47.5% delivered a discharge capacity of 27833 mAh g^{-1} at 75 mA g^{-1} . Indeed, a low charge potential of 3.5 V was maintained for 56 cycles under 0.5 A g⁻¹. Meanwhile, Loh et al. used a hydrazine/hydrazidecontaining COF to develop effective ion/gas diffusion channels for cathode materials in Li-CO₂ batteries [188]. The powerful one-dimensional channels in COF can act as CO2 and Li-ion diffusion paths, and improve the kinetics of electrochemical reactions. The COF-based cathode exhibits a capacity of 27348 mAh g^{-1} at a current density of 200 mA g^{-1} . It has low cut-off overpotential of 1.24 V in the ultimate capacity of 1000 mAh g⁻¹. The reduced overpotential indicates that the COF diffusion channel and Ru catalyst work together to reduce polarization. The rate performance can be significantly enhanced by using COF on the cathode, which shows a slow decay of the discharge voltage at a current density of 0.1 to 4 A g⁻¹. COF-based batteries can run for 200 cycles when discharged/charged at a high current density of 1 A g⁻¹ while the discharge/charge voltage does not drop significantly. The use of COF as a cathode catalyst in Li-CO₂ battery makes it a potential candidate for energy storage equipment with high capacity and high rate performance.

Metal phthalocyanine (MPC) has been widely studied due to its unique metal center and promotion of CO_2 reduction reactions [189]. Based on density functional theory calculation, Illas's group [70] sys-



Fig. 10. (a) Synthesis of MnO@NC-G. (b) PXRD diagram of MnO@NC-G.(c) TEM and HRTEM images of MnO@NC-G.(d) Charge and discharge cycle performance of MnO@NC-rGO electrode at 50 mA g^{-1} . (e) Discharge curve at 50 mA g^{-1} and a voltage window of 2.0-4.5 V. (f) Using a fresh battery with fresh anode and electrolyte cycles to collect the cumulative number of cycles [91].

tematically added Li atoms and CO2 molecules to cobalt phthalocyanine (CoPc) nanosheets, realizing the feasibility of using the nanosheets as a molecular catalyst for cathodes in Li-CO₂ batteries. Due to the high electron affinity of CoPc nanoplatelets, and the appropriate thermodynamics and kinetics of electron transfer from CoPc nanoplatelets to CO₂ molecules during the formation of Li₂C₂O₄ products, they demonstrated the potential of CoPc nanoplatelets as cathode catalysts in Li-CO₂ batteries. Subsequently, Li et al. [190] reported the use of a convenient microwave heating method to synthesize conjugated cobalt polyphthalocyanine (CoPPc) polymers for Li-CO₂ cathode materials. CoPPc has inherent elasticity and improved chemical, physical and mechanical stability due to the crosslinking network. CoPPc has a high catalytic activity for the formation and decomposition of reversible Li₂CO₃, so that the related high-performance Li-CO₂ batteries possess a large capacity, minimal charge-discharge polarization, and impressive cycling performance.

According to the above studies, catalysts play an important role in determining the morphological structure and electrochemical reaction pathways of discharge products, and greatly affect the electrochemical performance of Li-CO₂ batteries. However, as a more advanced electrochemical system, the exploration of catalysts in Li-CO₂ batteries is still in its infancy. Therefore, finding a more effective catalyst is crucial for obtaining Li-CO₂ batteries with superb reversible capacity, high energy efficiency and long cycle life.

4. Electrolyte of Li-CO₂ batteries

Electrolyte, as an important part of Li-CO₂ batteries, has a crucial impact on performance of the entire battery, including battery's cy-

cle stability, operating temperature range, and durability. Therefore, it is important to design an electrolyte with high ion conductivity, high number of ion migration, high stability and excellent mechanical properties. In the past few years, researchers have conducted a series of studies on various electrolytes. At present, there are two common electrolytes for Li-CO₂ batteries. One is tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether (TEGDME), containing lithium trifluoromethanesulfonate (LiCF₃SO₃), and the other is lithium bis (trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide (LiTFSI) salt [191]. There are also several reports about organic electrolytes, such as dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or trimethyl phosphate (TMP). Although these liquid electrolytes are able to promote CO₂ degradation, they still cannot meet the requirements of high-efficiency and practical Li-CO₂ batteries. The specific reasons are as follows: (1) these traditional liquid electrolytes may react with electrode materials during the cycle, resulting in capacity degradation; (2) the electrolyte may self-decompose under high charge potential; (3) lithium branches crystal growth is also affected, which may cause short circuits due to the uneven deposition of metallic lithium [192,193]. Therefore, it is necessary to continue to improve the electrolyte in order to obtain good electrochemical performance. In this section, electrolyte additives and solid/quasi-solid electrolytes will be discussed to promote a better and more effective Li-CO₂ electrolyte design.

4.1. Electrolyte additives

Previously, it has been proven that the addition of redox mediators to the electrolyte of Li- O_2 batteries can reduce the overpotential to a certain extent [194–197]. Accordingly, Zhou et al. introduced a halide redox mediator (LiBr) into the electrolyte of rechargeable Li-CO₂ bat-



Fig. 11. (a) Proposed mechanism for the charging process using LiBr as a redox mediator [198]. (b) Charge-discharge mechanism of redox mediator of iodine species. (c) The first charge and discharge capacity using a CP cathode and 1MP L⁻¹ LiTFSA electrolyte in TMP (blue) and no I (red). (d) Relationship between CO₂ reducing ability and LiI forming ability during discharge [199]. (e) Mechanism of S-phenyl carbonothioate (SPC⁻)-mediated CO₂ reduction. (f) ¹³C NMR and (g) FTIR-ATR Signal of 1 m LiTFSI+0.25 m PDS/DMSO electrolyte before and after partial discharge. (h) Comparison of discharge capacity. (i) Comparison of electrochemical impedance of Li-CO₂ batteries with and without 0.25 m PDS [204]. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

tery [198]. LiBr participates in the redox reaction during charge and discharge Fig. 11a). Moreover, the electrochemically produced Br_2 promotes the chemical decomposition of Li_2CO_3 during the charging process. The reaction processes involved are shown in Eqs. (22)–((24).

$$3Br^- \to Br_3^- + e^- \tag{22}$$

$$2Br_3^- \to 3Br_2 + 2e - \tag{23}$$

$$2Li_2CO_3 + C + 2Br_2 = 3CO_2 + 4LiBr$$
 (24)

At a current density of 50 mA g^{-1} , the discharge capacity of the battery with LiBr is as high as 11500 mAh g^{-1} with a coulombic efficiency of 81%. However, the discharge capacity of the battery without LiBr is about 2800 mAh g^{-1} , and it is almost irreversible. At a current density of 100 mA g^{-1} and a cut-off capacity of 500 mAh g^{-1} , the battery can run stably for 38 cycles. At a current density of 200 mA g^{-1} , a low charging voltage of around 4.0 V was observed, and the battery could operate for 16 cycles. It was proved that LiBr is favorable for the formation of the desired discharge product. Subsequently, Shiga et al.

added another halogen (iodine) as an additive to Li-CO₂ battery [199]. Compared with a common electrolyte, small amount of iodine additive can help to improve the discharge capacity (Fig. 11b). Lithium iodide (LiI) was first formed on the C cathode during discharge, and it was found that the reduction ability of CO₂ increases with the increase of LiI formation (Fig. 11c). They also investigated the catalytic activity of organic electrolyte systems for subsequent CO2 conversion. Li2CO3 accumulated on the cathode during the discharge process produces a passivation layer, which leads to a high charge overpotential (ca. 4.5 V vs Li⁺/Li). Compared with Li⁺/Li, iodine with a redox potential lower than 3.5 V cannot decompose Li₂CO₃ because the decomposition potential of Li₂CO₃ is 3.82 V. Nonetheless, the redox potential of iodine in trimethyl phosphate (TMP) electrolyte is greater than 3.8 V; thus, Li₂CO₃ can be chemically decomposed by iodine in TMP. It was confirmed that the iodine mediator $(3I_2/2I^{3-})$ in the Li salt-TMP electrolyte can enhance the decomposition of Li₂CO₃ at low charge voltages (Fig. 11d). The iodine species with a high redox potential play the role of redox medium, providing a useful means for its practical application in high energy density batteries.

In addition to inorganic materials (halogen salts) additives, organic compounds are also causing widespread concerns. Quinones represent a class of organic compounds with a variety of physicochemical properties, known for their high binding affinity for CO₂ in their reduced form, which have been used for concentration and selective separation of CO₂ [200]. Among these properties, it is found that their redox potential depends on their molecular structure and chemical environment [201]. Grimaud et al. studied three types of quinones with the aim of mediating CO2 reduction, which was expected to result in lower overpotentials than direct electron transfer [202]. They found that when 2,5-ditert-butyl-1,4-benzoquinone (DBBQ) was added to the electrolyte, DBBQ divalent anions strongly interacted with CO₂, which was due to its higher oxidation potential. The discharge voltage was determined by the reduction potential of DBBQ. However, battery performance and NMR analysis together indicated that side reactions involving quinone itself and other battery components have occurred. Thus, the limitations of using quinone to mediate the formation of Li₂CO₃ in aprotic solvents have been proved, and it is further shown that the stability of the organic electrolyte solvents and their additives is crucial. Gallant et al. studied the use of a CO2-loaded amine solution as an electroactive electrolyte (amine-electrolyte combination) to promote the activity of the discharge reaction [203]. An alkylamine EEA-based CO₂ capture chemical was converted into a non-aqueous electrolyte, especially for DMSO, which is an electrolyte solvent found to have a negligible discharge capacity to reduce CO2 on a C electrode. Subsequently, Manthhiram et al. used phenyl disulfide (PDS) as an electrolyte additive in Li-CO₂ battery to achieve a solution-mediated CO₂ reduction pathway [204]. The discharge mechanism of Li-CO₂ battery doped with PDS is illustrated in Fig. 11e. After electrochemical reduction of PDS to generate thiophene anions, the adduct S-phenyl carbon sulfate (SPC⁻) is formed in the solution and used as a CO2 trap. A mechanism for the capture and utilization of CO₂ mediated by SPC was proposed and supported by C¹³ nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy and FTIR (Fig. 11f-g). Experimental results show that the solution-mediated pathway promotes the reversible formation and decomposition of Li₂CO₃ and amorphous C during cycling. Li-CO $_2$ batteries utilizing PDS additives show a considerable improvement in capacity (Fig. 11h), energy efficiency and cycle life. Li-CO2 batteries using 1 m LiTFSI+0.25 m PDS/DMSO as electrolyte show a lower charge transfer resistance compared to batteries using bare 1 m LiTFSI/DMSO (Fig. 11i). These researches pave the way for the development of cutting-edge electrolyte additives and long-life, high-efficiency Li-CO₂ batteries.

Although more research has been intended to demonstrate the enhanced Li-CO₂ battery performance provided by the electrolyte additivemediated reaction pathway, there are fewer reports related to the effect of electrolyte salt concentration on electrochemical performance. Gallant et al. studied the effect of electrolyte composition on CO₂ discharge activity [205]. They found that TEGDME-based electrolytes with moderate concentrations of Li⁺ salts (concentrations in the range of 0.7-2M) are more favorable for CO2 activation, especially compared to dimethylsulfoxide and propylene carbonate-based electrolytes. Through electrochemical, spectroscopic and computational methods, they determined that glymes have lower desolvation energies for Li⁺ compared to other solvent candidates, whereas high salt concentrations increase the local density of Li⁺ surrounding CO₂ and reduction intermediates. These attributes collectively increase Li+ utilization, exceeding the threshold required to support CO2 activation. The discharge voltage and reaction rate are also sensitive to the identity of alkali metal cations, further stimulating their key role in enabling or inhibiting the reactivity. These findings reveal the potential of developing alternative CO2 reactive pathways through the future synthesis of novel electrolytes.

4.2. Solid and quasi-solid electrolytes

Although the additives added to the liquid electrolyte are helpful for boosting the electrochemical performance of Li-CO₂ batteries, these batteries still have some systematic key flaws in real-life application. For example, electrolyte evaporation, leakage, and flammability [206] severely limit the practical application of Li-CO₂ batteries with open systems and also the development of flexible batteries. The protection of Li anode is also controversial. Previous work reported that compared with pure O2 atmosphere, Li metal anode can be protected in CO2 atmosphere, relieve Li anode passivation and Li dendrite growth, which is the advantage of Li-CO₂ battery [207]. However, it is difficult to realize pure CO₂ atmosphere in practice (inevitable mixing of H₂O, O₂, etc.), coupled with the decomposition of the electrolyte, will still cause irreversible effects on Li-CO₂ batteries. In addition, the reactants of the CO_2 gas molecules have a high solubility in the liquid electrolyte, and it is easy to deposit thick, non-porous and polymer-like Li₂CO₃, which leads to a high overpotential. In order to overcome the shortcomings of liquid electrolytes, many researchers turned their attention to pure solid and quasi-solid electrolytes [208-210]. However, the pure solid electrolytes have a large impedance due to the contact between the solid interfaces, which is difficult to be applied in the open system of Li-CO₂ batteries. Therefore, gel-state electrolytes have received more attention.

Gel polymer electrolyte (GPE) is composed of a polymer matrix and a liquid electrolyte with a high ionic conductivity, close to liquid electrolytes [206,211]. Dense GPE can reduce the dissolution of CO_2 in water as well as the contact between Li anode and CO2. Wang et al. employed GPE in Li-CO₂ batteries for the first time [212]. GPE composed of a polymer matrix filled with a liquid electrolyte based on tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether was used to manufacture a rechargeable Li-CO₂ battery with a CNT-based gas electrode. The Li₂CO₃ discharge product formed in GPE-based Li-CO2 battery showed a granular morphology with poor crystallinity, which is in contrast with the continuous polymer-like and crystalline discharge product in conventional Li-CO₂ batteries with a liquid electrolyte. As a result, GPE-based batteries demonstrate a significantly improved electrochemical performance. The achieved cycle life (60 cycles) and rate capability (maximum applied current density of 500 mA g⁻¹) are much higher than the previously reported studies, which provides a new way to develop high-performance Li-CO₂ batteries. Subsequently, Guo et al. prepared a GPE containing 0.0025 M dinuclear cobalt phthalocyanine (Bi-CoPc-GPE) by a simple ultraviolet curing method as electrolyte [99]. Bi-CoPc-GPE has an excellent ionic conductivity (0.86 ms cm⁻¹), effective protection for Li anodes and superb leak-proof performance. In addition, Bi-CoPc acts as a redox medium to promote the decomposition of discharge products at low charge potentials. Therefore, this polymer-based Li-CO₂ battery shows an ultra-high discharge capacity, almost 100% coulombic efficiency at the first cycle and low over-potential (1.4 V). Indeed, it can run stably for 120 cycles, while the Li-CO₂ battery with GPE only exhibits a specific capacity of 22570 mAh g⁻¹, coulombic efficiency of <40% at the first cycle as well as a high over-potential (2.0 V), and it is not reproducible over 60 cycles. Yu et al. prepared a composite cathode composed of CNT and polymer electrolyte through in-situ polymerization process for solid-state Li-CO₂ batteries [213]. Because of the good dispersibility of CNT and polymer electrolytes, the Li-CO₂ battery has a high reversible capacity (11,000 mAh g^{-1}) and excellent cycle stability at a low charge potential (4.5 V, 1000 mAh g^{-1} , 100 cycles), which is better than those of liquid electrolyte-based batteries.

In view of the excellent performance of GPE, Wang et al. used N-CNTs@Ti-GPE-Li wire to assemble a quasi-solid flexible fibrous Li-CO₂ battery [111]. The manufacturing process is shown in Fig. 12a. The battery exhibits a high discharge capacity, improved cycle stability, and good rate performance. It is worth noting that the unique onedimensional structure is quite easy to assemble (Fig. 12b). Additionally, this quasi-solid fibrous Li-CO₂ battery exhibits a high flexibility. As can be seen from Fig. 12c, when the bending angle range is 0-180°, the prefabricated fibrous Li-CO₂ battery will always light the red lightemitting diode (LED), and there is no evident brightness change during the deformation process. Interestingly, they also used another 10 cm



Fig. 12. (a) The synthesis process of N-CNTs@Ti electrode and the internal structure of the fabricated flexible fiber-like device. (b) Photo of a quasi-solid flexible fibrous Li- CO_2 battery. (c) A 5 cm long fiber-shaped flexible Li- CO_2 battery, lighting a red LED at different bending angles. (d-f) Strain sensors based on CNT/PDMS hybrid membranes are powered by a 10 cm curved Li- CO_2 battery attached to a human's hand [111]. (g) All-solid-state Li- CO_2 battery with metal Li foil anode and CPE@CNTs cathode. (h) XRD pattern. (i) The molecular formula matrix of CPE, the solution and the CPE film on the CNTs cathode [216]. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

long fibrous Li-CO₂ battery to power a flexible strain sensor based on a CNT/polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) hybrid membrane. The quasi-solid flexible fibrous Li-CO₂ battery can fit well on the irregular surface of humans' hand, and the strain sensor responds immediately when the fingers are repeatedly bent (Fig. 12d-f). These results reveal the huge potential of this quasi-solid flexible fibrous Li-CO₂ battery in practical applications, especially in wearable electronics.

In addition to GPE, another composite polymer electrolyte (CPE) has also attracted much attention. Chen et al. used polymethacrylate (PMA)/polyethylene glycol (PEG) -LiClO₄-3 wt% SiO₂ liquid-free CPE for dye-sensitized solar and Li-ion batteries [214,215]. The organic-inorganic hybrid structure of this CPE is sticky and has a high ionic conductivity (0.26-0.57 ms cm⁻¹). Later, the research group introduced

this CPE to a Li-CO₂ battery system [216]. The gelled and liquid-free CPE were directly coated on CNT material to form an integrated CPE@CNTs cathode structure, which avoids the use of adhesives, so that reduces the interface resistance. This flexible liquid-free Li-CO₂ battery configuration is shown in Fig. 12g. XRD characterization proves that the discharge product Li₂CO₃ has a monoclinic phase and is easy to form and decompose (Fig. 12h). This non-transparent CPE solution was obtained by a one-pot reaction, and a translucent film was formed on the CNTs cathode by casting (Fig. 12i). This battery exhibits an extremely low overpotential (0.7 V) and stably cycles for 100 times at 558°C. Moreover, the large-scale production of flexible pouch-type Li-CO₂ battery has been realized. The battery has a reversible large capacity of 993.3 mAh g⁻¹, a high energy density of 521 Wh kg⁻¹, and can work for 220

hours under different bending degrees. The enhanced performance is attributed to the satisfactory ionic conductivity of CPE (7.14×10^{-2} ms cm⁻¹) and mitigated interface resistance of the Li/CPE@CNTs cathode. This research provides a promising direction for the development of Li-CO₂ battery with higher power density, safety and mechanical flexibility. Two years later, Tao et al. prepared a composite solid electrolyte composed of polyethylene oxide (PEO) and 20 wt% Li₇La₃Zr_{1.4}Ta_{0.6}O₁₂ (LLZTO) for Li-CO₂ batteries [217]. The composite solid electrolyte has a high ionic conductivity (1.03×10^{-3} S cm⁻¹ at 70°C), wide electrochemical window (5 V vs. Li⁺/Li), good mechanical properties and excellent flexibility. The Li symmetrical battery with PEO/LLZTO composite solid electrolyte can also work for 1500 hours at a current density of 0.1 mA cm⁻¹. The assembled all-solid-state Li-CO₂ battery has a long cycle life of 70 cycles at a current density of 100 mA g⁻¹ and a fixed capacity of 1000 mA g⁻¹.

It is well known that the main problem facing solid electrolytes at present is the large impedance caused by solid-solid contact. Therefore, researchers have focused on gel-based solid electrolytes (add liquid electrolytes to the solid electrolytes) to improve the ionic conductivity, but still the problem of liquid electrolyte volatilization has remained unsolved. Thus, promising pure solid electrolytes are considered as the ultimate goal, which need to be further studied.

5. Conclusion and Outlook

The development and utilization of CO2 gas play a vital role in solving global warming and energy shortage problems. Li-CO $_2$ battery, as a promising candidate, provides a new idea for capturing and utilizing CO2. However, the current development of Li-CO2 battery is still in its initial stage and faces huge problems and challenges. Including high overpotential caused by wide band gap of Li2CO3, poor cycle performance, weak rate capability, low discharge capacity, etc. These problems are attributed to the slow reaction kinetics of CO₂ gas. Therefore, the development of efficient cathode catalysts and stable electrolytes are the first tasks to promote the electrochemical reaction of CO2. In this regard, this review provides a comprehensive overview of the electrochemical reaction mechanism of Li-CO₂ batteries and the latest design strategies for cathode catalysts and electrolytes. Although some advances have been made in previous research, there is still a long way to meet the all requirements for practical applications of Li-CO₂ batteries. Therefore, based on the analysis and discussion of the latest results, we explain some key dis-/advantages and future opportunities of Li-CO2 batteries.

- (1) The charge-discharge mechanism of Li-CO₂ battery involves multiple interface reactions and complex electrochemical processes. The second chapter of this article reviews Li₂CO₃, C, Li₂O, CO, Li₂C₂O₄ and other discharge products. Nonetheless, there are no current studies regarding the reason for existence of a variety of reaction products and the specific degradation of discharge products. Therefore, more research is needed to qualitatively and quantitatively analyze the CO₂ electrochemical reaction path. From the thermodynamic point of view, it is necessary to judge whether a chemical reaction can be formed, and calculate the formation energy and degradation energy of the reaction product to find the charge overpotential. From the kinetics point of view, it is necessary to determine the rate of electrochemical reaction, ion and electron transfer rate as well as oxidation-reduction reaction of the substance. By studying the charge-discharge reaction mechanism from these two aspects, it's believed that the electrochemical reaction process of Li-CO₂ batteries can be fully understood in the near future
- (2) The choice of cathode catalytic material plays a crucial role in Li-CO₂ electrochemistry. It has been mentioned that the chemical composition of the catalyst may affect the Li-CO₂ electrochemical performance, and different structures may affect CO₂/Li⁺ diffusion and discharge product deposition. However, more studies need to be car-

ried out to further explore the relationship between catalyst properties (space structure, defect effect, elemental composition, etc.) and electrochemical reactions, as well as the effect of discharge products on catalysts morphology. The primary issue is that the essence of the cathode catalyst has not been thoroughly understood so far. The catalysts' mechanism of effect and their function in redox reaction are still unknown. Thus, more in-depth research about the essence of catalysts has to be done in order to design a highly efficient Li-CO₂ battery catalyst.

- (3) The development of effective electrolytes is critical for Li-CO₂ battery systems in open environments. Multi-interface stability is the key for extending the service life of Li-CO₂ batteries. Although many studies have currently investigated different types of electrolytes, none of them has fully addressed the desired requirements so far. Moreover, the volatilization and leakage of liquid electrolytes in this open battery system cannot be avoided. Although applying additives can improve the electrochemical performance of the battery to some extent, there is no substantial evidence to prove the effect of additives on the electrochemical mechanism of Li-CO₂ batteries. Hence, more comprehensive investigation is required to find the most efficient and practical additive along with its mechanism of effect. On the other hand, solid electrolyte is a productive means to reduce electrolyte volatilization, and facilitate the development of wearable flexible batteries. Nevertheless, in an open environment, it is almost impossible to use a pure solid electrolyte, because the gas generation has a considerable effect on the interface, and consequently, the impedance will exponentially increase when there are bubbles on the interface. Therefore, in recent years, many efforts have been made to develop gel electrolytes, which still suffer from volatility. It is believed that to develop an effective electrolyte many factors need to be considered, including non-volatility, electrochemical stability, high conductivity, high ion mobility, and good compatibility with Li anode and CO₂ cathode. Achieving electrolytes with these characteristics is an appropriate strategy for realizing practical Li-CO₂ batteries.
- (4) The stability of Li metal anodes is another key part of Li-CO₂ batteries. Especially in the open system, many parasitic reactions will be triggered by factors such as H₂O, O₂ mixing and the decomposition of electrolyte. Even if CO₂ gas can alleviate Li anode passivation and Li dendrite growth, these parasitic reactions are still inevitable and may cause large overpotential and sudden death of Li-CO₂ batteries. As mentioned above, the use of a liquid-free electrolyte is considered to be a method of protecting Li anodes. Additionally, constructing an artificial SEI film on the surface of Li metal is another solution to protect the Li-CO₂ anode. However, protective layer has to be stable, and not interfering with the entire electrochemical reaction. Accordingly, adding a protective layer involves the stability of multiple interfaces. Therefore, designing a stable and strong matrix is an effective approach to protect Li metal from adverse side reactions.

In summary, the rechargeable Li-CO₂ battery is an innovative device that realizes environmental protection. Despite all problems and challenges, we believe that through continuous efforts to develop efficient catalysts and stable electrolytes, and unraveling the charge-discharge reaction mechanism, practical Li-CO₂ batteries will be achieved in the future. Indeed, considering the current energy and environmental issues, it is expected that Li-CO₂ batteries will become the mainstream of the next-generation energy storage equipment.

Author statement

All authors write the review article and proofread it.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51672189, 51801153, 51802261 and 52072298), Tianjin Science and Technology Project (18PTZWHZ00020), Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi (2020JC-41), Project 2019JLP-04 supported by Joint Foundation of Shaanxi, Natural Science Foundation of Qinghai Province of China (2020-ZJ-910), and Xi'an Science and Technology Project of China (201805037YD15CG21(20)).

References

- [1] J.M. Matter, M. Stute, S.O. Snaebjornsdottir, E.H. Oelkers, S.R. Gislason, E.S. Aradottir, B. Sigfusson, I. Gunnarsson, H. Sigurdardottir, E. Gunnlaugsson, G. Axelsson, H.A. Alfredsson, D. Wolffboenisch, K. Mesfin, D.F.D.L.R. Taya, J. Hall, K. Dideriksen, W.S. Broecker, Rapid carbon mineralization for permanent disposal of anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions, Science 352 (2016) 1312–1314.
- [2] G.P. Peters, G. Marland, C. Le Quéré, T. Boden, J.G. Canadell, M.R. Raupach, Rapid growth in CO_2 emissions after the 2008–2009 global financial crisis, Nat. Clim. Change 2 (2011) 2–4.
- [3] Y. Chen, C.W. Li, M.W. Kanan, Aqueous CO₂ reduction at very low overpotential on oxide-derived Au nanoparticles, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134 (2012) 19969–19972.
- [4] D.Y. Chung, S.W. Jun, G. Yoon, S.G. Kwon, D.Y. Shin, P. Seo, J.M. Yoo, H. Shin, Y.H. Chung, H. Kim, B.S. Mun, K.S. Lee, N.S. Lee, S.J. Yoo, D.H. Lim, K. Kang, Y.E. Sung, T. Hyeon, Highly durable and active PtFe nanocatalyst for electrochemical oxygen reduction reaction, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137 (2015) 15478–15485.
- [5] W.I.A. Sadat, L.A. Archer, The O₂-assisted Al-CO₂ electrochemical cell-a system for CO₂ capture conversion and electric power generation, Sci. Adv. 2 (2016) e1600968.
- [6] Z. Chang, J. Xu, X. Zhang, Recent progress in electrocatalyst for Li-O₂ batteries, Adv. Energy Mater. 7 (2017) 1700875.
- [7] S. Chu, Y. Cui, N. Liu, The path towards sustainable energy, Nat. Mater. 16 (2016) 16–22.
- [8] Q. Zhao, X. Liu, S. Stalin, K. Khan, L.A. Archer, Solid-state polymer electrolytes with in-built fast interfacial transport for secondary lithium batteries, Nat. Energy 4 (2019) 365–373.
- [9] J.-c. Zheng, Z. Yang, Z.-j. He, H. Tong, W.-j. Yu, J.-f. Zhang, In situ formed LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O₂@Li₄SiO₄ composite cathode material with high rate capability and long cycling stability for lithium-ion batteries, Nano Energy 53 (2018) 613–621.
- [10] M.A. Hannan, M.S.H. Lipu, A. Hussain, A. Mohamed, A review of lithium-ion battery state of charge estimation and management system in electric vehicle applications: challenges and recommendations, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev. 78 (2017) 834–854.
- [11] S. Zheng, H. Xue, H. Pang, Supercapacitors based on metal coordination materials, Coord. Chem. Rev. 373 (2018) 2–21.
- [12] Y. Zhao, X. He, R. Chen, Q. Liu, J. Liu, J. Yu, J. Li, H. Zhang, H. Dong, M. Zhang, J. Wang, A flexible all-solid-state asymmetric supercapacitors based on hierarchical carbon cloth@CoMoO₄@NiCo layered double hydroxide core-shell heterostructures, Chem. Eng. J. 352 (2018) 29–38.
- [13] B. Kirubasankar, V. Murugadoss, J. Lin, T. Ding, M. Dong, H. Liu, J. Zhang, T. Li, N. Wang, Z. Guo, S. Angaiah, In situ grown nickel selenide on graphene nanohybrid electrodes for high energy density asymmetric supercapacitors, Nanoscale 10 (2018) 20414–20425.
- [14] Z.F. Pan, L. An, T.S. Zhao, Z.K. Tang, Advances and challenges in alkaline anion exchange membrane fuel cells, Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 66 (2018) 141–175.
- [15] J. Wang, Y. Zhao, B.P. Setzler, S. Rojas-Carbonell, C. Ben Yehuda, A. Amel, M. Page, L. Wang, K. Hu, L. Shi, S. Gottesfeld, B. Xu, Y. Yan, Poly(aryl piperidinium) membranes and ionomers for hydroxide exchange membrane fuel cells, Nat. Energy 4 (2019) 392–398.
- [16] C. Wei, R.R. Rao, J. Peng, B. Huang, I.E.L. Stephens, M. Risch, Z.J. Xu, Y. Shao-Horn, Recommended practices and benchmark activity for hydrogen and oxygen electrocatalysis in water splitting and fuel cells, Adv. Mater. 31 (2019) e1806296.
- [17] M. Armand, J.-M. Tarascon, Building better batteries, Nature 451 (2008) 652-657.
- [18] T. Kim, W. Song, D.-Y. Son, L.K. Ono, Y. Qi, Lithium-ion batteries: outlook on present, future, and hybridized technologies, J. Mater. Chem. A 7 (2019) 2942–2964.
- [19] M.F. Lagadec, R. Zahn, V. Wood, Characterization and performance evaluation of lithium-ion battery separators, Nat. Energy 4 (2018) 16–25.
- [20] M. Winter, B. Barnett, K. Xu, Before Li ion batteries, Chem. Rev. 118 (2018) 11433–11456.
- [21] M. Li, J. Lu, Z. Chen, K. Amine, 30 Years of lithium-ion batteries, Adv. Mater. 30 (2018) 1800561.
- [22] H. Maleki Kheimeh Sari, X. Li, Controllable cathode–electrolyte interface of Li[Ni_{0.8} Co _{0.1} Mn _{0.1}]O₂ for lithium ion batteries: a review, Adv. Energy Mater. 9 (2019) 1901597.
- [23] Q. Sun, J. Liu, B. Xiao, B. Wang, M. Banis, H. Yadegari, K.R. Adair, R. Li, X. Sun, Visualizing the oxidation mechanism and morphological evolution of the cubic-shaped superoxide discharge product in Na–air batteries, Adv. Funct. Mater. 29 (2019) 1808332.
- [24] S.S. Shinde, C.H. Lee, J.-Y. Jung, N.K. Wagh, S.-H. Kim, D.-H. Kim, C. Lin, S.U. Lee, J.-H. Lee, Unveiling dual-linkage 3D hexaiminobenzene metal–organic frameworks

towards long-lasting advanced reversible Zn-air batteries, Energy Environ. Sci. 12 (2019) 727–738.

- [25] N. Xu, Y. Zhang, T. Zhang, Y. Liu, J. Qiao, Efficient quantum dots anchored nanocomposite for highly active ORR/OER electrocatalyst of advanced metal-air batteries, Nano Energy 57 (2019) 176–185.
- [26] Q. Sun, X. Lin, H. Yadegari, W. Xiao, Y. Zhao, K.R. Adair, R. Li, X. Sun, Aligning the binder effect on sodium-air batteries, J. Mater. Chem. A 6 (2018) 1473–1484.
- [27] D.U. Lee, P. Xu, Z.P. Cano, A.G. Kashkooli, M.G. Park, Z. Chen, Recent progress and perspectives on bi-functional oxygen electrocatalysts for advanced rechargeable metal-air batteries, J. Mater. Chem. A 4 (2016) 7107–7134.
- [28] Y. Li, J. Lu, Metal-Air Batteries, Will they be the future electrochemical energy storage device of choice? ACS Energy Lett. 2 (2017) 1370–1377.
- [29] M. Kim, H. Ju, J. Kim, Highly efficient bifunctional catalytic activity of bismuth rhodium oxide pyrochlore through tuning the covalent character for rechargeable aqueous Na-air batteries, J. Mater. Chem. A 6 (2018) 8523–8530.
- [30] B. Li, X. Ge, F.W. Goh, T.S. Hor, D. Geng, G. Du, Z. Liu, J. Zhang, X. Liu, Y. Zong, Co₃O₄ nanoparticles decorated carbon nanofiber mat as binder-free air-cathode for high performance rechargeable zinc-air batteries, Nanoscale 7 (2015) 1830–1838.
- [31] Y. Huang, Y. Wang, C. Tang, J. Wang, Q. Zhang, Y. Wang, J. Zhang, Atomic modulation and structure design of carbons for bifunctional electrocatalysis in metal-air batteries, Adv. Mater. 31 (2019) e1803800.
- [32] H. Yadegari, M. Norouzi Banis, A. Lushington, Q. Sun, R. Li, T.-K. Sham, X. Sun, A bifunctional solid state catalyst with enhanced cycling stability for Na and Li–O₂ cells: revealing the role of solid state catalysts, Energy Environ. Sci. 10 (2017) 286–295.
- [33] Q. Sun, H. Yadegari, M.N. Banis, J. Liu, B. Xiao, X. Li, C. Langford, R. Li, X. Sun, Toward a sodium-"air" battery: revealing the critical role of humidity, J. Phys. Chem. C 119 (2015) 13433–13441.
- [34] H. Yadegari, X. Sun, Sodium–oxygen batteries: recent developments and remaining challenges, Trends Chem. 2 (2020) 241–253.
- [35] C. Xu, K. Zhang, D. Zhang, S. Chang, F. Liang, P. Yan, Y. Yao, T. Qu, J. Zhan, W. Ma, B. Yang, Y. Dai, X. Sun, Reversible hybrid sodium-CO₂ batteries with low charging voltage and long-life, Nano Energy 68 (2020) 104318.
- [36] H. Yadegari, Q. Sun, X. Sun, Sodium-oxygen batteries: a comparative review from chemical and electrochemical fundamentals to future perspective, Adv. Mater. 28 (2016) 7065–7093.
- [37] H. Yadegari, M. Norouzi Banis, X. Lin, A. Koo, R. Li, X. Sun, Revealing the chemical mechanism of NaO₂ decomposition by in situ Raman imaging, Chem. Mater. 30 (2018) 5156–5160.
- [38] H. Yadegari, X. Sun, Recent advances on sodium-oxygen batteries: a chemical perspective, Acc. Chem. Res. 51 (2018) 1532–1540.
- [39] M.N. Banis, H. Yadegari, Q. Sun, T. Regier, T. Boyko, J. Zhou, Y.M. Yiu, R. Li, Y. Hu, T.K. Sham, X. Sun, Revealing the charge/discharge mechanism of Na–O₂ cells by in situ soft X-ray absorption spectroscopy, Energy Environ. Sci. 11 (2018) 2073–2077.
- [40] H. Yadegari, Y. Li, M.N. Banis, X. Li, B. Wang, Q. Sun, R. Li, T.-K. Sham, X. Cui, X. Sun, On rechargeability and reaction kinetics of sodium-air batteries, Energy Environ. Sci. 7 (2014) 3747–3757.
- [41] X. Lin, Q. Sun, H. Yadegari, X. Yang, Y. Zhao, C. Wang, J. Liang, A. Koo, R. Li, X. Sun, On the cycling performance of Na-O₂ cells: revealing the impact of the superoxide crossover toward the metallic Na electrode, Adv. Funct. Mater. 28 (2018) 1801904.
- [42] F. Liang, X. Qiu, Q. Zhang, Y. Kang, A. Koo, K. Hayashi, K. Chen, D. Xue, K.N. Hui, H. Yadegari, X. Sun, A liquid anode for rechargeable sodium-air batteries with low voltage gap and high safety, Nano Energy 49 (2018) 574–579.
- [43] Y. Kang, F. Su, Q. Zhang, F. Liang, K.R. Adair, K. Chen, D. Xue, K. Hayashi, S.C. Cao, H. Yadegari, X. Sun, Novel high-energy-density rechargeable hybrid sodium-air cell with acidic electrolyte, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 10 (2018) 23748–23756.
- [44] H. Yadegari, C.J. Franko, M.N. Banis, Q. Sun, R. Li, G.R. Goward, X. Sun, How to control the discharge products in Na-O₂ cells: direct evidence toward the role of functional groups at the air electrode surface, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 8 (2017) 4794–4800.
- [45] S. Han, C. Cai, F. Yang, Y. Zhu, Q. Sun, Y.G. Zhu, H. Li, H. Wang, Y. Shao-Horn, X. Sun, M. Gu, Interrogation of the reaction mechanism in a Na-O₂ battery using in situ transmission electron microscopy, ACS Nano 14 (2020) 3669–3677.
- [46] Z.E. Reeve, C.J. Franko, K.J. Harris, H. Yadegari, X. Sun, G.R. Goward, Detection of electrochemical reaction products from the sodium-oxygen cell with solid-state (23) Na NMR spectroscopy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139 (2017) 595–598.
- [47] X. Lin, J. Wang, X. Gao, S. Wang, Q. Sun, J. Luo, C. Zhao, Y. Zhao, X. Yang, C. Wang, R. Li, X. Sun, 3D printing of free-standing "O₂ breathable" air electrodes for highcapacity and long-life Na–O₂ batteries, Chem. Mater. 32 (2020) 3018–3027.
- [48] P. Tan, Z.H. Wei, W. Shyy, T.S. Zhao, X.B. Zhu, A nano-structured RuO₂/NiO cathode enables the operation of non-aqueous lithium–air batteries in ambient air, Energy Environ. Sci. 9 (2016) 1783–1793.
- [49] D. Aurbach, B.D. McCloskey, L.F. Nazar, P.G. Bruce, Advances in understanding mechanisms underpinning lithium-air batteries, Nat. Energy 1 (2016) 16128.
- [50] D. Geng, N. Ding, T.S.A. Hor, S.W. Chien, Z. Liu, D. Wuu, X. Sun, Y. Zong, From lithium-oxygen to lithium-air batteries: challenges and opportunities, Adv. Energy Mater. 6 (2016) 1502164.
- [51] J. Wang, Y. Li, X. Sun, Challenges and opportunities of nanostructured materials for aprotic rechargeable lithium-air batteries, Nano Energy 2 (2013) 443–467.
- [52] A.C. Luntz, B.D. McCloskey, Nonaqueous Li-air batteries: a status report, Chem. Rev. 114 (2014) 11721–11750.
- [53] A. Dutta, K. Ito, Y. Kubo, Establishing the criteria and strategies to achieve high power during discharge of a Li–air battery, J. Mater. Chem. A 7 (2019) 23199–23207.

- [54] J.B. Park, S.H. Lee, H.G. Jung, D. Aurbach, Y.K. Sun, Redox mediators for Li-O₂ batteries: status and perspectives, Adv. Mater. (2018) 30.
- [55] V. Giordani, D. Tozier, H. Tan, C.M. Burke, B.M. Gallant, J. Uddin, J.R. Greer, B.D. McCloskey, G.V. Chase, D. Addison, A molten salt lithium-oxygen battery, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138 (2016) 2656–2663.
- [56] C. Xia, C.Y. Kwok, L.F. Nazar, A high-energy-density lithium-oxygen battery based on a reversible four-electron conversion to lithium oxide, Science 361 (2018) 777–781.
- [57] C. Zhao, C. Yu, S. Li, W. Guo, Y. Zhao, Q. Dong, X. Lin, Z. Song, X. Tan, C. Wang, M. Zheng, X. Sun, J. Qiu, Ultrahigh-capacity and long-life lithium-metal batteries enabled by engineering carbon nanofiber-stabilized graphene aerogel film host, Small 14 (2018) e1803310.
- [58] Y. Sun, M. Amirmaleki, Y. Zhao, C. Zhao, J. Liang, C. Wang, K.R. Adair, J. Li, T. Cui, G. Wang, R. Li, T. Filleter, M. Cai, T.K. Sham, X. Sun, Tailoring the mechanical and electrochemical properties of an artificial interphase for high-performance metallic lithium anode, Adv. Energy Mater. 10 (2020) 2001139.
- [59] Y. Li, J. Wang, X. Li, D. Geng, R. Li, X. Sun, Superior energy capacity of graphene nanosheets for a nonaqueous lithium-oxygen battery, Chem. Commun. (Camb.) 47 (2011) 9438–9440.
- [60] K.R. Adair, C. Zhao, M.N. Banis, Y. Zhao, R. Li, M. Cai, X. Sun, Highly stable lithium metal anode interface via molecular layer deposition zircone coatings for long life next-generation battery systems, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 58 (2019) 15797–15802.
- [61] S.R. Gowda, A. Brunet, G.M. Wallraff, B.D. McCloskey, Implications of CO₂ contamination in rechargeable nonaqueous Li-O₂ batteries, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 4 (2013) 276–279.
- [62] S. Meini, N. Tsiouvaras, K.U. Schwenke, M. Piana, H. Beyer, L. Lange, H.A. Gasteiger, Rechargeability of Li-air cathodes pre-filled with discharge products using an ether-based electrolyte solution: implications for cycle-life of Li-air cells, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 15 (2013) 11478–11493.
- [63] X.B. Zhu, T.S. Zhao, Z.H. Wei, P. Tan, L. An, A high-rate and long cycle life solid-state lithium–air battery, Energy Environ. Sci. 8 (2015) 3745–3754.
- [64] Y. Shen, D. Sun, L. Yu, W. Zhang, Y. Shang, H. Tang, J. Wu, A. Cao, Y. Huang, A high-capacity lithium–air battery with Pd modified carbon nanotube sponge cathode working in regular air, Carbon 62 (2013) 288–295.
- [65] H.D. Lim, B. Lee, Y. Bae, H. Park, Y. Ko, H. Kim, J. Kim, K. Kang, Reaction chemistry in rechargeable Li-O₂ batteries, Chem. Soc. Rev. 46 (2017) 2873–2888.
- [66] Y. Qiao, J. Yi, S. Guo, Y. Sun, S. Wu, X. Liu, S. Yang, P. He, H. Zhou, Li₂CO₃-free Li–O₂/CO₂ battery with peroxide discharge product, Energy Environ. Sci. 11 (2018) 1211–1217.
- [68] K. Takechi, T. Shiga, T. Asaoka, A Li-O₂/CO₂ battery, Chem. Commun. (Camb.) 47 (2011) 3463–3465.
- [69] B. Huang, G. Frapper, Pressure-induced polymerization of CO₂ in lithium-carbon dioxide phases, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140 (2018) 413–422.
- [70] M. Goodarzi, F. Nazari, F. Illas, Assessing the performance of cobalt phthalocyanine nanoflakes as molecular catalysts for Li-promoted oxalate formation in Li-CO₂-oxalate batteries, J. Phys. Chem. C 122 (2018) 25776–25784.
- [71] X. Xiao, W. Shang, W. Yu, Y. Ma, P. Tan, B. Chen, W. Kong, H. Xu, M. Ni, Toward the rational design of cathode and electrolyte materials for aprotic Li-CO₂ batteries: a numerical investigation, Int. J. Energy Res. 44 (2019) 496–507.
- [72] B. Liu, Y. Sun, L. Liu, J. Chen, B. Yang, S. Xu, X. Yan, Recent advances in understanding Li–CO₂ electrochemistry, Energy Environ. Sci. 12 (2019) 887–922.
- [73] Y. Mao, C. Tang, Z. Tang, J. Xie, Z. Chen, J. Tu, G. Cao, X. Zhao, Long-life Li–CO₂ cells with ultrafine IrO₂ decorated few-layered δ-MnO₂ enabling amorphous Li₂CO₃ growth, Energy Storage Mater. 18 (2019) 405–413.
- [74] L. Song, T. Wang, C. Wu, X. Fan, J. He, A long-life Li-CO₂ battery employing a cathode catalyst of cobalt-embedded nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes derived from a Prussian blue analogue, Chem. Commun. (Camb.) 55 (2019) 12781–12784.
- [75] S. Xu, S.K. Das, L.A. Archer, The Li–CO₂ battery: a novel method for CO₂ capture and utilization, RSC Adv. 3 (2013) 6656.
- [76] A. Hu, C. Shu, C. Xu, R. Liang, J. Li, R. Zheng, M. Li, J. Long, Design strategies toward catalytic materials and cathode structures for emerging Li–CO₂ batteries, J. Mater. Chem. A 7 (2019) 21605–21633.
- [77] X. Li, J. Zhou, J. Zhang, M. Li, X. Bi, T. Liu, T. He, J. Cheng, F. Zhang, Y. Li, X. Mu, J. Lu, B. Wang, Bamboo-like nitrogen-doped carbon nanotube forests as durable metal-free catalysts for self-powered flexible Li-CO₂ batteries, Adv. Mater. 31 (2019) e1903852.
- [78] H. Liang, Y. Zhang, F. Chen, S. Jing, S. Yin, P. Tsiakaras, A novel NiFe@NC-functionalized N-doped carbon microtubule network derived from biomass as a highly efficient 3D free-standing cathode for Li-CO₂ batteries, Appl. Catal. B 244 (2019) 559–567.
- [79] L. Wang, W. Dai, L. Ma, L. Gong, Z. Lyu, Y. Zhou, J. Liu, M. Lin, M. Lai, Z. Peng, W. Chen, Monodispersed Ru nanoparticles functionalized graphene nanosheets as efficient cathode catalysts for O₂-assisted Li-CO₂ battery, ACS Omega 2 (2017) 9280–9286.
- [80] Y. Liu, R. Wang, Y. Lyu, H. Li, L. Chen, Rechargeable Li/CO–O (2:1) battery and Li/CO₂ battery, Energy Environ. Sci. 7 (2014) 677.
- [81] Z. Zhang, Q. Zhang, Y. Chen, J. Bao, X. Zhou, Z. Xie, J. Wei, Z. Zhou, The first introduction of graphene to rechargeable Li-CO₂ batteries, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 54 (2015) 6550–6553.
- [82] X. Zhang, Q. Zhang, Z. Zhang, Y. Chen, Z. Xie, J. Wei, Z. Zhou, Rechargeable Li-CO₂ batteries with carbon nanotubes as air cathodes, Chem. Commun. 51 (2015) 14636–14639.

- Energy Storage Materials 34 (2021) 148–170
- [83] Y. Qiao, J. Yi, S. Wu, Y. Liu, S. Yang, P. He, H. Zhou, Li-CO electrochemistry: a new strategy for CO₂ fixation and energy storage, Joule 1 (2017) 359– 370.
- [84] Z. Peng, S.A. Freunberger, Y. Chen, P.G. Bruce, A reversible and higher-rate Li-O₂ battery, Science 337 (2012) 563–566.
- [85] G.H. Lee, S. Lee, J.C. Kim, D.W. Kim, Y. Kang, D.W. Kim, MnMoO₄ electrocatalysts for superior long-life and high-rate lithium oxygen batteries, Adv. Energy Mater. 7 (2016) 1601741.
- [86] Y. Jing, Z. Zhou, Computational Insights into oxygen reduction reaction and initial Li₂O₂ nucleation on pristine and N-doped graphene in Li-O₂ batteries, ACS Catal. 5 (2015) 4309–4317.
- [87] J. Lu, L. Li, J.B. Park, Y.K. Sun, F. Wu, K. Amine, Aprotic and aqueous Li-O(2) batteries, Chem. Rev. 114 (2014) 5611–5640.
- [88] Y. Hou, J. Wang, L. Liu, Y. Liu, S. Chou, D. Shi, H. Liu, Y. Wu, W. Zhang, J. Chen, MoC/CNT: an efficient catalyst for rechargeable Li-CO₂ batteries, Adv. Funct. Mater. 27 (2017) 1700564.
- [89] S. Yang, P. He, H. Zhou, Exploring the electrochemical reaction mechanism of carbonate oxidation in Li-air/CO₂ battery through tracing missing oxygen, Energy Environ. Sci. 9 (2016) 1650–1654.
- [90] S. Yang, Y. Qiao, P. He, Y. Liu, Z. Cheng, J.-j. Zhu, H. Zhou, A reversible lithium–CO₂ battery with Ru nanoparticles as a cathode catalyst, Energy Environ. Sci. 10 (2017) 972–978.
- [91] S. Li, Y. Liu, J. Zhou, S. Hong, Y. Dong, J. Wang, X. Gao, P. Qi, Y. Han, B. Wang, Monodispersed MnO nanoparticles in graphene-an interconnected N-doped 3D carbon framework as a highly efficient gas cathode in Li–CO₂ batteries, Energy Environ. Sci. 12 (2019) 1046–1054.
- [92] Z. Zhang, X.G. Wang, X. Zhang, Z. Xie, Y.N. Chen, L. Ma, Z. Peng, Z. Zhou, Verifying the rechargeability of Li-CO₂ batteries on working cathodes of Ni nanoparticles highly dispersed on N-doped graphene, Adv. Sci. (Weinh.) 5 (2018) 1700567.
- [93] A. Ahmadiparidari, R.E. Warburton, L. Majidi, M. Asadi, A. Chamaani, J.R. Jokisaari, S. Rastegar, Z. Hemmat, B. Sayahpour, R.S. Assary, B. Narayanan, P. Abbasi, P.C. Redfern, A. Ngo, M. Voros, J. Greeley, R. Klie, L.A. Curtiss, A. Salehi-Khojin, A long-cycle-life lithium-CO₂ battery with carbon neutrality, Adv. Mater. 31 (2019) e1902518.
- [94] Y. Xing, Y. Yang, D. Li, M. Luo, N. Chen, Y. Ye, J. Qian, L. Li, D. Yang, F. Wu, R. Chen, S. Guo, Crumpled Ir nanosheets fully covered on porous carbon nanofibers for long-life rechargeable lithium-CO₂ batteries, Adv. Mater. 30 (2018) e1803124.
- [95] J. Zhou, X. Li, C. Yang, Y. Li, K. Guo, J. Cheng, D. Yuan, C. Song, J. Lu, B. Wang, A quasi-solid-state flexible fiber-shaped Li-CO₂ battery with low overpotential and high energy efficiency, Adv. Mater. 31 (2019) e1804439.
- [96] J.H. Montoya, L.C. Seitz, P. Chakthranont, A. Vojvodic, T.F. Jaramillo, J.K. Norskov, Materials for solar fuels and chemicals, Nat. Mater. 16 (2016) 70–81.
- $[97]\,$ J. Xie, Q. Liu, Y. Huang, M. Wu, Y. Wang, A porous Zn cathode for Li–CO $_2$ batteries generating fuel-gas CO, J. Mater. Chem. A 6 (2018) 13952–13958.
- [98] X. Zhang, Q. Zhang, Z. Zhang, Y. Chen, Z. Xie, J. Wei, Z. Zhou, Rechargeable Li-CO₂ batteries with carbon nanotubes as air cathodes, Chem. Commun. (Camb.) 51 (2015) 14636–14639.
- [99] J. Li, H. Zhao, H. Qi, X. Sun, X. Song, Z. Guo, A.G. Tamirat, J. Liu, L. Wang, S. Feng, Drawing a pencil-trace cathode for a high performance polymer-based Li-CO₂ battery with redox mediator, Adv. Funct. Mater. 29 (2019) 1806863.
- [100] W. Xing, S. Li, D. Du, D. Wang, Y. Liao, S. Ge, J. Xu, P. Bai, Z. Liu, Y. Wang, X. Gao, M. Wu, Q. Xue, Z. Yan, Revealing the impacting factors of cathodic carbon catalysts for Li-CO₂ batteries in the pore-structure point of view, Electrochim. Acta 311 (2019) 41–49.
- [101] Y. Li, J. Wang, X. Li, D. Geng, M.N. Banis, Y. Tang, D. Wang, R. Li, T.-K. Sham, X. Sun, Discharge product morphology and increased charge performance of lithium-oxygen batteries with graphene nanosheet electrodes: the effect of sulphur doping, J. Mater. Chem. 22 (2012) 20170.
- [102] Y. Li, J. Wang, X. Li, D. Geng, M.N. Banis, R. Li, X. Sun, Nitrogen-doped graphene nanosheets as cathode materials with excellent electrocatalytic activity for high capacity lithium-oxygen batteries, Electrochem. Commun. 18 (2012) 12–15.
- [103] Y. Li, J. Wang, X. Li, J. Liu, D. Geng, J. Yang, R. Li, X. Sun, Nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes as cathode for lithium-air batteries, Electrochem. Commun. 13 (2011) 668–672.
- [104] C. Zhao, Z. Wang, X. Tan, H. Huang, Z. Song, Y. Sun, S. Cui, Q. Wei, W. Guo, R. Li, C. Yu, J. Qiu, X. Sun, Implanting CNT forest onto carbon nanosheets as multifunctional hosts for high-performance lithium metal batteries, Small Methods 3 (2019) 1800546.
- [105] Q. Sun, H. Yadegari, M.N. Banis, J. Liu, B. Xiao, B. Wang, S. Lawes, X. Li, R. Li, X. Sun, Self-stacked nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes as long-life air electrode for sodium-air batteries: elucidating the evolution of discharge product morphology, Nano Energy 12 (2015) 698–708.
- [106] Q. Lv, W. Si, J. He, L. Sun, C. Zhang, N. Wang, Z. Yang, X. Li, X. Wang, W. Deng, Y. Long, C. Huang, Y. Li, Selectively nitrogen-doped carbon materials as superior metal-free catalysts for oxygen reduction, Nat. Commun. 9 (2018) 3376.
- [107] C.H. Lin, H.L. Chang, C.M. Hsu, A.Y. Lo, C.T. Kuo, The role of nitrogen in carbon nanotube formation, Diam. Relat. Mater. 12 (2003) 1851–1857.
- [108] H. Jiang, J. Gu, X. Zheng, M. Liu, X. Qiu, L. Wang, W. Li, Z. Chen, X. Ji, J. Li, Defect-rich and ultrathin N doped carbon nanosheets as advanced trifunctional metal-free electrocatalysts for the ORR, OER and HER, Energy Environ. Sci. 12 (2019) 322–333.
- [109] H. Yadegari, M.N. Banis, B. Xiao, Q. Sun, X. Li, A. Lushington, B. Wang, R. Li, T.-K. Sham, X. Cui, X. Sun, Three-dimensional nanostructured air electrode for sodium-oxygen batteries: a mechanism study toward the cyclability of the cell, Chem. Mater. 27 (2015) 3040–3047.

- [110] Y. Li, H. Yadegari, X. Li, M.N. Banis, R. Li, X. Sun, Superior catalytic activity of nitrogen-doped graphene cathodes for high energy capacity sodium-air batteries, Chem. Commun. (Camb.) 49 (2013) 11731–11733.
- [111] Y. Li, J. Zhou, T. Zhang, T. Wang, X. Li, Y. Jia, J. Cheng, Q. Guan, E. Liu, H. Peng, B. Wang, Highly surface-wrinkled and N-doped CNTs anchored on metal wire: a novel fiber-shaped cathode toward high-performance flexible Li–CO₂ batteries, Adv. Funct. Mater. 29 (2019) 1808117.
- [112] L. Qie, Y. Lin, J. Connell, J. Xu, L. Dai, Highly rechargeable lithium-CO₂ batteries with a boron and nitrogen-codoped holey-graphene cathode, Angew. Chem. 56 (2017) 6970–6974.
- [113] B.W. Zhang, Y. Jiao, D.L. Chao, C. Ye, Y.X. Wang, K. Davey, H.K. Liu, S.X. Dou, S.Z. Qiao, Targeted synergy between adjacent co atoms on graphene oxide as an efficient new electrocatalyst for Li–CO₂ batteries, Adv. Funct. Mater. 29 (2019) 1904206.
- [114] A. Shen, Y. Zou, Q. Wang, R.A. Dryfe, X. Huang, S. Dou, L. Dai, S. Wang, Oxygen reduction reaction in a droplet on graphite: direct evidence that the edge is more active than the basal plane, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 53 (2014) 10804– 10808.
- [115] H. Jin, H. Huang, Y. He, X. Feng, S. Wang, L. Dai, J. Wang, Graphene quantum dots supported by graphene nanoribbons with ultrahigh electrocatalytic performance for oxygen reduction, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137 (2015) 7588–7591.
- [116] S.Y. Lim, W. Shen, Z. Gao, Carbon quantum dots and their applications, Chem. Soc. Rev. 44 (2015) 362–381.
- [117] Y. Jin, C. Hu, Q. Dai, Y. Xiao, Y. Lin, J.W. Connell, F. Chen, L. Dai, High-performance Li-CO₂ batteries based on metal-free carbon quantum dot/holey graphene composite catalysts, Adv. Funct. Mater. 28 (2018) 1804630.
- [118] J. Kim, H.E. Kim, H. Lee, Single-atom catalysts of precious metals for electrochemical reactions, ChemSusChem 11 (2018) 104–113.
- [119] Q. Shi, C. Zhu, D. Du, Y. Lin, Robust noble metal-based electrocatalysts for oxygen evolution reaction, Chem. Soc. Rev. 48 (2019) 3181–3192.
- [120] B. Sun, S. Chen, H. Liu, G. Wang, Mesoporous carbon nanocube architecture for high-performance lithium-oxygen batteries, Adv. Funct. Mater. 25 (2015) 4436–4444.
- [121] B. Sun, X. Huang, S. Chen, P. Munroe, G. Wang, Porous graphene nanoarchitectures: an efficient catalyst for low charge-overpotential, long life, and high capacity lithium-oxygen batteries, Nano Lett. 14 (2014) 3145–3152.
- [122] D. Su, D. Han Seo, Y. Ju, Z. Han, K. Ostrikov, S. Dou, H.-J. Ahn, Z. Peng, G. Wang, Ruthenium nanocrystal decorated vertical graphene nanosheets@Ni foam as highly efficient cathode catalysts for lithium-oxygen batteries, NPG Asia Mater. 8 (2016) e286-e286.
- [123] H. Jung, Y.S. Jeong, J. Park, Y. Sun, B. Scrosati, Y.J. Lee, Ruthenium-based electrocatalysts supported on reduced graphene oxide for lithium-air batteries, ACS Nano 7 (2013) 3532–3539.
- [124] C. Zhao, C. Yu, M.N. Banis, Q. Sun, M. Zhang, X. Li, Y. Liu, Y. Zhao, H. Huang, S. Li, X. Han, B. Xiao, Z. Song, R. Li, J. Qiu, X. Sun, Decoupling atomic-layer-deposition ultrafine RuO₂ for high-efficiency and ultralong-life Li-O₂ batteries, Nano Energy 34 (2017) 399–407.
- [125] J.J. Xu, Z.L. Wang, D. Xu, L.L. Zhang, X.B. Zhang, Tailoring deposition and morphology of discharge products towards high-rate and long-life lithium-oxygen batteries, Nat. Commun. 4 (2013) 2438.
- [126] Z. Zhang, C. Yang, S. Wu, A. Wang, L. Zhao, D. Zhai, B. Ren, K. Cao, Z. Zhou, Exploiting synergistic effect by integrating ruthenium-copper nanoparticles highly co-dispersed on graphene as efficient air cathodes for Li-CO₂ batteries, Adv. Energy Mater. 9 (2019) 1802805.
- [127] H. Zhao, D. Li, H. Li, A.G. Tamirat, X. Song, Z. Zhang, Y. Wang, Z. Guo, L. Wang, S. Feng, Ru nanosheet catalyst supported by three-dimensional nickel foam as a binder-free cathode for Li–CO₂ batteries, Electrochim. Acta 299 (2019) 592–599.
- [128] Y. Qiao, S. Xu, Y. Liu, J. Dai, H. Xie, Y. Yao, X. Mu, C. Chen, D.J. Kline, E.M. Hitz, B. Liu, J. Song, P. He, M.R. Zachariah, L. Hu, Transient, in situ synthesis of ultrafine ruthenium nanoparticles for a high-rate Li–CO₂ battery, Energy Environ. Sci. 12 (2019) 1100–1107.
- [129] S. Bie, M. Du, W. He, H. Zhang, Z. Yu, J. Liu, M. Liu, W. Yan, L. Zhou, Z. Zou, Carbon nanotube@RuO₂ as a high performance catalyst for Li-CO₂ batteries, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 11 (2019) 5146–5151.
- [130] Z. Guo, J. Li, H. Qi, X. Sun, H. Li, A.G. Tamirat, J. Liu, Y. Wang, L. Wang, A highly reversible long-life Li-CO₂ battery with a RuP₂ -based catalytic cathode, Small 15 (2019) e1803246.
- [131] Q. Cheng, H.F. Tu, C. Zheng, J.P. Qu, G. Helmchen, S.L. You, Iridium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic substitution reactions, Chem. Rev. 119 (2019) 1855–1969.
- [132] Y. Zhang, C. Wu, H. Jiang, Y. Lin, H. Liu, Q. He, S. Chen, T. Duan, L. Song, Atomic iridium incorporated in cobalt hydroxide for efficient oxygen evolution catalysis in neutral electrolyte, Adv. Mater. 30 (2018) e1707522.
- [133] Y. Pi, N. Zhang, S. Guo, J. Guo, X. Huang, Ultrathin laminar Ir superstructure as highly efficient oxygen evolution electrocatalyst in broad pH range, Nano Lett. 16 (2016) 4424–4430.
- [134] J. Lu, Y.J. Lee, X. Luo, K.C. Lau, M. Asadi, H.H. Wang, S. Brombosz, J. Wen, D. Zhai, Z. Chen, D.J. Miller, Y.S. Jeong, J.B. Park, Z.Z. Fang, B. Kumar, A. Salehi-Khojin, Y.K. Sun, L.A. Curtiss, K. Amine, A lithium-oxygen battery based on lithium superoxide, Nature 529 (2016) 377–382.
- [135] S. Song, W. Xu, J. Zheng, L. Luo, M.H. Engelhard, M.E. Bowden, B. Liu, C.M. Wang, J.G. Zhang, Complete decomposition of Li₂CO₃ in Li-O₂ batteries using Ir/B₄C as noncarbon-based oxygen electrode, Nano Lett. 17 (2017) 1417–1424.
- [136] C. Wang, Q. Zhang, X. Zhang, X.G. Wang, Z. Xie, Z. Zhou, Fabricating Ir/C nanofiber networks as free-standing air cathodes for rechargeable Li-CO₂ batteries, Small 14 (2018) e1800641.

- [137] W. Zhao, X. Li, R. Yin, L. Qian, X. Huang, H. Liu, J. Zhang, J. Wang, T. Ding, Z. Guo, Urchin-like NiO-NiCo₂O₄ heterostructure microsphere catalysts for enhanced rechargeable non-aqueous Li-O₂ batteries, Nanoscale 11 (2018) 50–59.
- [138] H. Wang, H. Wang, J. Huang, X. Zhou, Q. Wu, Z. Luo, F. Wang, Hierarchical mesoporous/macroporous co-doped NiO nanosheet arrays as free-standing electrode materials for rechargeable Li-O₂ batteries, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 11 (2019) 44556–44565.
- [139] J. Long, Z. Hou, C. Shu, C. Han, W. Li, R. Huang, J. Wang, Free-standing three-dimensional CuCo₂S₄ nanosheet array with high catalytic activity as an efficient oxygen electrode for lithium-oxygen batteries, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 11 (2019) 3834–3842.
- [140] Q.C. Liu, J.J. Xu, D. Xu, X.B. Zhang, Flexible lithium-oxygen battery based on a recoverable cathode, Nat. Commun. 6 (2015) 7892.
- [141] J.J. Xu, D. Xu, Z.L. Wang, H.G. Wang, L.L. Zhang, X.B. Zhang, Synthesis of perovskite-based porous La_{0.75}Sr_{0.25}MnO₃ nanotubes as a highly efficient electrocatalyst for rechargeable lithium-oxygen batteries, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 52 (2013) 3887–3890.
- [142] Q. Liu, Y. Jiang, J. Xu, D. Xu, Z. Chang, Y. Yin, W. Liu, X. Zhang, Hierarchical Co₃O₄ porous nanowires as an efficient bifunctional cathode catalyst for long life Li-O₂ batteries, Nano Res. 8 (2014) 576–583.
- [143] X. Sun, L. Lu, Q. Zhu, C. Wu, D. Yang, C. Chen, B. Han, MoP nanoparticles supported on indium-doped porous carbon: outstanding catalysts for highly efficient CO₂ electroreduction, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 57 (2018) 2427–2431.
- [144] F. Cheng, T. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Du, X. Han, J. Chen, Enhancing electrocatalytic oxygen reduction on MnO(2) with vacancies, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 52 (2013) 2474–2477.
- [145] Y. Jin, F. Chen, J. Wang, R.L. Johnston, Tuning electronic and composition effects in ruthenium-copper alloy nanoparticles anchored on carbon nanofibers for rechargeable Li-CO₂ batteries, Chem. Eng. J. 375 (2019) 121978.
- [146] Z. Zhang, Z. Zhang, P. Liu, Y. Xie, K. Cao, Z. Zhou, Identification of cathode stability in Li–CO₂ batteries with Cu nanoparticles highly dispersed on N-doped graphene, J. Mater. Chem. A 6 (2018) 3218–3223.
- [147] Y. Qiao, Y. Liu, C. Chen, H. Xie, Y. Yao, S. He, W. Ping, B. Liu, L. Hu, 3D-printed graphene oxide framework with thermal shock synthesized nanoparticles for Li-CO₂ batteries, Adv. Funct. Mater. 28 (2018) 1805899.
- [148] K. Huang, Y. Sun, Y. Zhang, X. Wang, W. Zhang, S. Feng, Hollow-structured metal oxides as oxygen-related catalysts, Adv. Mater. 31 (2019) e1801430.
- [149] R. Wang, X. Yu, J. Bai, H. Li, X. Huang, L. Chen, X. Yang, Electrochemical decomposition of Li₂CO₃ in NiO–Li₂CO₃ nanocomposite thin film and powder electrodes, J. Power Sources 218 (2012) 113–118.
- [150] M. Hong, H.C. Choi, H.R. Byon, Nanoporous NiO plates with a unique role for promoted oxidation of carbonate and carboxylate species in the Li–O₂ battery, Chem. Mater. 27 (2015) 2234–2241.
- [151] X. Zhang, C. Wang, H. Li, X.-G. Wang, Y.-N. Chen, Z. Xie, Z. Zhou, High performance Li–CO₂ batteries with NiO–CNT cathodes, J. Mater. Chem. A 6 (2018) 2792–2796.
- [152] S. Lu, Y. Shang, S. Ma, Y. Lu, Q.C. Liu, Z.J. Li, Porous NiO nanofibers as an efficient electrocatalyst towards long cycling life rechargeable Li–CO₂ batteries, Electrochim. Acta 319 (2019) 958–965.
- [153] B. Liu, Y. Sun, L. Liu, S. Xu, X. Yan, Advances in manganese-based oxides cathodic electrocatalysts for Li-air batteries, Adv. Funct. Mater. 28 (2018) 1704973.
- [154] T.T. Truong, Y. Liu, Y. Ren, L. Trahey, Y. Sun, Morphological and crystalline evolution of nanostructured MnO₂ and its application in lithium–air batteries, ACS Nano 6 (2012) 8067–8077.
- [155] W. Ma, S. Lu, X. Lei, X. Liu, Y. Ding, Porous Mn₂O₃ cathode for highly durable Li–CO₂ batteries, J. Mater. Chem. A 6 (2018) 20829–20835.
- [156] X. Lei, S. Lu, W. Ma, Z. Cao, R. Zhang, X. Liu, Y. Ding, Porous MnO as efficient catalyst towards the decomposition of Li₂CO₃ in ambient Li-air batteries, Electrochim. Acta 280 (2018) 308–314.
- [157] Q. Liu, L. Geng, T. Yang, Y. Tang, P. Jia, Y. Li, H. Li, T. Shen, L. Zhang, J. Huang, In-situ imaging electrocatalysis in a Na-O₂ battery with Au-coated MnO₂ nanowires air cathode, Energy Storage Mater. 19 (2019) 48–55.
- [158] B. Ge, Y. Sun, J. Guo, X. Yan, C. Fernandez, Q. Peng, A co-doped MnO₂ catalyst for Li-CO₂ batteries with low overpotential and ultrahigh cyclability, Small 15 (2019) e1902220.
- [159] L. Mino, G. Spoto, A.M. Ferrari, CO₂ capture by TiO₂ Anatase surfaces: a combined DFT and FTIR study, J. Phys. Chem. C 118 (2014) 25016–25026.
- [160] G.K. Ramesha, J.F. Brennecke, P.V. Kamat, Origin of catalytic effect in the reduction of CO₂ at nanostructured TiO₂ films, ACS Catal. 4 (2014) 3249–3254.
- [161] J.M.T.A. Fischer, M. Hankel, D.J. Searles, Computational studies of the interaction of carbon dioxide with graphene-supported titanium dioxide, J. Phys. Chem. C 119 (2015) 29044–29051.
- [162] R. Pipes, A. Bhargav, A. Manthiram, Nanostructured Anatase titania as a cathode catalyst for Li-CO₂ batteries, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 10 (2018) 37119–37124.
- [163] K. Oshikawa, M. Nagai, S. Omi, Characterization of molybdenum carbides for methane reforming by TPR, XRD, and XPS, J. Phys. Chem. B 105 (2001) 9124–9131.
- [164] N.M. Schweitzer, J.A. Schaidle, O.K. Ezekoye, X. Pan, S. Linic, L.T. Thompson, High activity carbide supported catalysts for water gas shift, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133 (2011) 2378–2381.
- [165] C. Wan, Y.N. Regmi, B.M. Leonard, Multiple phases of molybdenum carbide as electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 53 (2014) 6407–6410.
- [166] H. Vrubel, X. Hu, Molybdenum boride and carbide catalyze hydrogen evolution in both acidic and basic solutions, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 51 (2012) 12703–12706.

- [167] M.D. Porosoff, X. Yang, J.A. Boscoboinik, J.G. Chen, Molybdenum carbide as alternative catalysts to precious metals for highly selective reduction of CO₂ to CO, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 53 (2014) 6705–6709.
- [168] W.J. Kwak, K.C. Lau, C.D. Shin, K. Amine, L.A. Curtiss, Y.K. Sun, A Mo₂C/carbon nanotube composite cathode for lithium-oxygen batteries with high energy efficiency and long cycle life, ACS Nano 9 (2015) 4129–4137.
- [170] M. Asadi, B. Sayahpour, P. Abbasi, A.T. Ngo, K. Karis, J.R. Jokisaari, C. Liu, B. Narayanan, M. Gerard, P. Yasaei, X. Hu, A. Mukherjee, K.C. Lau, R.S. Assary, F. Khalili-Araghi, R.F. Klie, L.A. Curtiss, A. Salehi-Khojin, A lithium-oxygen battery with a long cycle life in an air-like atmosphere, Nature 555 (2018) 502–506.
- [171] J. He, G. Hartmann, M. Lee, G.S. Hwang, Y. Chen, A. Manthiram, Freestanding 1T MoS₂/graphene heterostructures as a highly efficient electrocatalyst for lithium polysulfides in Li–S batteries, Energy Environ. Sci. 12 (2019) 344–350.
- [172] R. Pipes, J. He, A. Bhargav, A. Manthiram, Efficient Li–CO₂ batteries with molybdenum disulfide nanosheets on carbon nanotubes as a catalyst, ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 2 (2019) 8685–8694.
- [173] H. Wang, K. Xie, Y. You, Q. Hou, K. Zhang, N. Li, W. Yu, K.P. Loh, C. Shen, B. Wei, Realizing interfacial electronic interaction within ZnS quantum dots/N-rGO heterostructures for efficient Li–CO₂ batteries, Adv. Energy Mater. 9 (2019) 1901806.
- [174] P. Lamagni, M. Miola, J. Catalano, M.S. Hvid, M.A.H. Mamakhel, M. Christensen, M.R. Madsen, H.S. Jeppesen, X.M. Hu, K. Daasbjerg, T. Skrydstrup, N. Lock, Restructuring metal–organic frameworks to nanoscale bismuth electrocatalysts for highly active and selective CO₂ reduction to formate, Adv. Funct. Mater. 30 (2020) 1910408.
- [175] L. Jiao, Y. Wang, H.L. Jiang, Q. Xu, Metal-organic frameworks as platforms for catalytic applications, Adv. Mater. 30 (2018) e1703663.
- [176] H. Deng, S. Grunder, K.E. Cordova, C. Valente, H. Furukawa, M. Hmadeh, F. Gandara, A.C. Whalley, Z. Liu, S. Asahina, H. Kazumori, M. O'Keeffe, O. Terasaki, J.F. Stoddart, O.M. Yaghi, Large-pore apertures in a series of metal-organic frameworks, Science 336 (2012) 1018–1023.
- [177] H.C. Zhou, J.R. Long, O.M. Yaghi, Introduction to metal-organic frameworks, Chem. Rev. 112 (2012) 673–674.
- [178] K. Sumida, D.L. Rogow, J.A. Mason, T.M. McDonald, E.D. Bloch, Z.R. Herm, T.H. Bae, J.R. Long, Carbon dioxide capture in metal-organic frameworks, Chem. Rev. 112 (2012) 724–781.
- [179] S. Li, Y. Dong, J. Zhou, Y. Liu, J. Wang, X. Gao, Y. Han, P. Qi, B. Wang, Carbon dioxide in the cage: manganese metal–organic frameworks for high performance CO₂ electrodes in Li–CO₂ batteries, Energy Environ. Sci. 11 (2018) 1318–1325.
- [180] S. Kandambeth, K. Dey, R. Banerjee, Covalent organic frameworks: chemistry beyond the structure, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 141 (2019) 1807–1822.
- [181] N. Huang, P. Wang, D. Jiang, Covalent organic frameworks: a materials platform for structural and functional designs, Nat. Rev. Mater. 1 (2016).
- [182] C.S. Diercks, O.M. Yaghi, The atom, the molecule, and the covalent organic framework, Science (2017) 355.
- [183] A. Halder, M. Ghosh, M.A. Khayum, S. Bera, M. Addicoat, H.S. Sasmal, S. Karak, S. Kurungot, R. Banerjee, Interlayer hydrogen-bonded covalent organic frameworks as high-performance supercapacitors, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140 (2018) 10941–10945.
- [184] E. Jin, J. Li, K. Geng, Q. Jiang, H. Xu, Q. Xu, D. Jiang, Designed synthesis of stable light-emitting two-dimensional sp(2) carbon-conjugated covalent organic frameworks, Nat. Commun. 9 (2018) 4143.
- [185] S. Yang, W. Hu, X. Zhang, P. He, B. Pattengale, C. Liu, M. Cendejas, I. Hermans, X. Zhang, J. Zhang, J. Huang, 2D covalent organic frameworks as intrinsic photocatalysts for visible light-driven CO₂ reduction, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140 (2018) 14614–14618.
- [186] Q. Gao, X. Li, G.-H. Ning, H.-S. Xu, C. Liu, B. Tian, W. Tang, K.P. Loh, Covalent organic framework with frustrated bonding network for enhanced carbon dioxide storage, Chem. Mater. 30 (2018) 1762–1768.
- $\label{eq:starsest} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{[187] S. Huang, D. Chen, C. Meng, S. Wang, S. Ren, D. Han, M. Xiao, L. Sun, Y. Meng, <math display="inline">\rm CO_2 \\ nanoenrichment and nanoconfinement in cage of imine covalent organic frameworks for high-performance <math display="inline">\rm CO_2 \ cathodes \ in \ Li-CO_2 \ batteries, \ Small \ 15 \ (2019) \\ e1904830. \end{array}$
- [188] X. Li, H. Wang, Z. Chen, H.S. Xu, W. Yu, C. Liu, X. Wang, K. Zhang, K. Xie, K.P. Loh, Covalent-organic-framework-based Li-CO batteries, Adv. Mater. 31 (2019) e1905879.
- [189] X. Zhang, Z. Wu, X. Zhang, L. Li, Y. Li, H. Xu, X. Li, X. Yu, Z. Zhang, Y. Liang, H. Wang, Highly selective and active CO₂ reduction electrocatalysts based on cobalt phthalocyanine/carbon nanotube hybrid structures, Nat. Commun. 8 (2017) 14675.
- [190] J. Chen, K. Zou, P. Ding, J. Deng, C. Zha, Y. Hu, X. Zhao, J. Wu, J. Fan, Y. Li, Conjugated cobalt polyphthalocyanine as the elastic and reprocessable catalyst for flexible Li-CO₂ batteries, Adv. Mater. 31 (2019) e1805484.

- [191] J.-Y. Lee, H.-S. Kim, J.-S. Lee, C.-J. Park, W.-H. Ryu, Blood protein as a sustainable bifunctional catalyst for reversible Li-CO₂ batteries, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 7 (2019) 16151–16159.
- [192] X. Li, S. Yang, N. Feng, P. He, H. Zhou, Progress in research on Li–CO₂ batteries: Mechanism, catalyst and performance, Chin. J. Catal. 37 (2016) 1016–1024.
- [193] Z. Guo, J. Li, Y. Xia, C. Chen, F. Wang, A.G. Tamirat, Y. Wang, Y. Xia, L. Wang, S. Feng, A flexible polymer-based Li–air battery using a reduced graphene oxide/Li composite anode, J. Mater. Chem. A 6 (2018) 6022–6032.
- [194] W.-J. Kwak, D. Hirshberg, D. Sharon, M. Afri, A.A. Frimer, H.-G. Jung, D. Aurbach, Y.-K. Sun, Li–O₂ cells with LiBr as an electrolyte and a redox mediator, Energy Environ. Sci. 9 (2016) 2334–2345.
- [195] Z. Liang, Y.C. Lu, Critical role of redox mediator in suppressing charging instabilities of lithium-oxygen batteries, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138 (2016) 7574–7583.
- [196] C. Zhao, J. Liang, X. Li, N. Holmes, C. Wang, J. Wang, F. Zhao, S. Li, Q. Sun, X. Yang, J. Liang, X. Lin, W. Li, R. Li, S. Zhao, H. Huang, L. Zhang, S. Lu, X. Sun, Halide-based solid-state electrolyte as an interfacial modifier for high performance solid-state Li–O₂ batteries, Nano Energy 75 (2020) 105036.
- [197] J.L. Ma, F.L. Meng, Y. Yu, D.P. Liu, J.M. Yan, Y. Zhang, X.B. Zhang, Q. Jiang, Prevention of dendrite growth and volume expansion to give high-performance aprotic bimetallic Li-Na alloy-O₂ batteries, Nat. Chem. 11 (2019) 64–70.
- [198] X.-G. Wang, C. Wang, Z. Xie, X. Zhang, Y. Chen, D. Wu, Z. Zhou, Improving electrochemical performances of rechargeable Li–CO₂ batteries with an electrolyte redox mediator, ChemElectroChem 4 (2017) 2145–2149.
- [199] T. Shiga, Y. Kato, M. Inoue, Y. Hase, Bifunctional catalytic activity of iodine species for lithium–carbon dioxide battery, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 7 (2019) 14280–14287.
- [200] J.H. Rheinhardt, P. Singh, P. Tarakeshwar, D.A. Buttry, Electrochemical capture and release of carbon dioxide, ACS Energy Lett. 2 (2017) 454–461.
- [201] Y. Ding, Y. Li, G. Yu, Exploring bio-inspired quinone-based organic redox flow batteries: a combined experimental and computational study, Chem 1 (2016) 790–801.
- [202] W. Yin, A. Grimaud, I. Azcarate, C. Yang, J.-M. Tarascon, Electrochemical reduction of CO₂ mediated by quinone derivatives: implication for Li–CO₂ battery, J. Phys. Chem. C 122 (2018) 6546–6554.
- [203] A. Khurram, M. He, B.M. Gallant, Tailoring the discharge reaction in Li-CO₂ batteries through incorporation of CO₂ capture chemistry, Joule 2 (2018) 2649–2666.
- [205] A. Khurram, Y. Yin, L. Yan, L. Zhao, B.M. Gallant, Governing role of solvent on discharge activity in lithium-CO₂ batteries, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 10 (2019) 6679–6687.
- [206] J. Yi, S. Guo, P. He, H. Zhou, Status and prospects of polymer electrolytes for solid-state Li–O₂ (air) batteries, Energy Environ. Sci. 10 (2017) 860–884.
- [207] K. Chen, G. Huang, J.L. Ma, J. Wang, D.Y. Yang, X.Y. Yang, Y. Yu, X.B. Zhang, The stabilization effect of CO₂ in lithium-oxygen/CO₂ batteries, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. (2020).
- [208] C. Zhao, J. Liang, Q. Sun, J. Luo, Y. Liu, X. Lin, Y. Zhao, H. Yadegari, M.N. Banis, R. Li, H. Huang, L. Zhang, R. Yang, S. Lu, X. Sun, Ultralong-life quasi-solid-state Li-O₂ batteries enabled by coupling advanced air electrode design with Li metal anode protection, Small Methods 3 (2018) 1800437.
- [209] X. Lin, F. Sun, Q. Sun, S. Wang, J. Luo, C. Zhao, X. Yang, Y. Zhao, C. Wang, R. Li, X. Sun, O₂/O₂⁻ crossover- and dendrite-free hybrid solid-state Na–O batteries, Chem. Mater. 31 (2019) 9024–9031.
- [210] C. Zhao, J. Liang, Y. Zhao, J. Luo, Q. Sun, Y. Liu, X. Lin, X. Yang, H. Huang, L. Zhang, S. Zhao, S. Lu, X. Sun, Engineering a "nanonet"-reinforced polymer electrolyte for long-life Li–O₂ batteries, J. Mater. Chem. A 7 (2019) 24947–24952.
- [211] J. Yi, X. Liu, S. Guo, K. Zhu, H. Xue, H. Zhou, Novel stable gel polymer electrolyte: toward a high safety and long life Li-air battery, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 7 (2015) 23798–23804.
- [212] C. Li, Z. Guo, B. Yang, Y. Liu, Y. Wang, Y. Xia, A rechargeable Li-CO₂ battery with a gel polymer electrolyte, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 56 (2017) 9126–9130.
- [213] M. Mushtaq, X.-W. Guo, J.-P. Bi, Z.-X. Wang, H.-J. Yu, Polymer electrolyte with composite cathode for solid-state Li–CO₂ battery, Rare Met. 37 (2018) 520–526.
- [214] J. Shi, S. Peng, J. Pei, Y. Liang, F. Cheng, J. Chen, Quasi-solid-state dye-sensitized solar cells with polymer gel electrolyte and triphenylamine-based organic dyes, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 1 (2009) 944–950.
- [215] Z. Zhu, M. Hong, D. Guo, J. Shi, Z. Tao, J. Chen, All-solid-state lithium organic battery with composite polymer electrolyte and pillar[5]quinone cathode, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136 (2014) 16461–16464.
- [216] X. Hu, Z. Li, J. Chen, Flexible Li-CO₂ batteries with liquid-free electrolyte, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 56 (2017) 5785–5789.
- [217] R. Wang, X. Zhang, Y. Cai, Q. Nian, Z. Tao, J. Chen, Safety-reinforced rechargeable Li-CO₂ battery based on a composite solid state electrolyte, Nano Res. 12 (2019) 2543–2548.